Commission, telephone 202-205-3116. Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 8, 1995, based on a complaint filed by AMP Inc. of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania and The Whitaker Corporation of Wilmington, Delaware (collectively "complainants"). 60 FR 25247. The following firms were named as respondents: Berg Electronics, Inc; Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd. (Hon Hai); Foxconn International (Foxconn); and Tekcon Electronics Corp. On September 8, 1995, the presiding ALJ issued an initial determination ID (Order No. 24) finding adverse inferences against Hon Hai and an ID (Order No. 26) finding Foxconn in default. On February 9, 1996, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 38) making the additional adverse inference that Hon Hai violated section 337. No petitions for review of this ID were received. On February 9, 1996, the ALJ also issued a recommended determination on the issues of remedy and bonding.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/ or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents Foxconn and Hon Hai being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see the Commission Opinion, In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation. If the Commission orders some form

of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed, if remedial orders are issued. **WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS:** The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the February 9, 1996, recommended determination by the ALJ. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on March 28, 1996. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on April 4, 1996. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 14 true copies thereof with the Office of the Secretary on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 C.F.R. § 201.6. Documents for which confidential

treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and rules 210.42, 210.49 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42, 210.49 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 13, 1996.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-6516 Filed 3-18-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Inv. No. 337-TA-385]

Certain Random Access Memories, Processes for the Manufacture of Same, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 12, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Main Building, 250, 2-KA, Taepyung-ro, Chung-ku, Seoul, Korea. Supplements to the complaint were filed on February 29, March 5, and March 8, 1996. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain random access memories and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,947,059, claims 1-7 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,444,026, and claims 1 and 5 of U.S. Letters Patent B1 5,072,134. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the

Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in § 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10.

Scope of Investigation:

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 12, 1996, ORDERED THAT—

- Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain random access memories or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,947,059, claims 1-7 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,444,026, or claims 1 or 5 of U.S. Letters Patent B1 5,072,134, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is—Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Main Building, 250, 2–KA, Taepyung-ro, Chung-ku, Seoul, Korea.
- (b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
- Texas Instruments Incorporated, 13500 North Central Expressway, Dallas, Texas 75265.
- Texas Instruments Singapore (PTE) Ltd., 990 Bendemeer Road, Singapore, 1233, Singapore.
- Texas Instruments Japan Ltd., Aoyama Fuji Bldg., 6–12, Kita Aoyama 3chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan.
- (c) Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401–O, Washington,

- D.C. 20436, shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.13. Pursuant to §§ 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 13, 1996.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6515 Filed 3–18–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of February and March, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-31,493; Moormans, Inc., Quincy, IL
- TA-W-31,905; Bass Manufacturing Co., Inc., Camden, TN
- TA-W-31,884; Niagara Falls Business Forms, Inc., Niagara Falls, NY
- TA-W-31,701; Dressing for Two, New York, NY
- TA-W-31,757; Envirosys, Moorhead, MN
- TA-W-31,848; La-Del Mfg., Co., Inc., Lawrenceburg, TN
- TA-W-31,770; Allied Signal, Maryville, TN
- TA-W-31,886; Anchor Glass Container, Glass Container Plant #18, Houston, TX

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-31,725; York International, Miller-Picking Div., Johnstown, PA TA-W-31,724; Kentucky Cap Mfg Co,

Uniontown, KY

TA-W-31,720; DL Benite, Buffalo, NY TA-W-31,780 & TA-W-31,781; Cray Research, Inc., Eagan, MN & Chippewa Falls, WI

TA-W-31,698 & TA-W-31,699; Tops Company, Duryea, PA & Scranton, PA

TA-W-31,802 & TA-W-31,803; Kirschner Medical Corp., A Biomet Co., Fairlawn, NY & Hunt Valley, MD

TA-W-31,856; Central Penn Sewing Machine Co., Inc., Bloomsburg, PA