

Department will terminate the suspension of liquidation in the companion countervailing duty investigation of *Certain Pasta From Turkey*, effective February 14, 1995, which is 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determination. Accordingly, on February 14, 1996, the antidumping deposit rate will revert to the full amount calculated in this preliminary determination. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Exporter/manu- facturer	Weighted- average margin per- centage	Bonding percentage
Filiz .....	10.44	0.00
Maktas .....	18.80	0.00
All Others .....	15.61	0.00

#### ITC Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

#### Public Comment

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, case briefs or other written comments in at least ten copies must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than April 2, 1996, and rebuttal briefs, no later than April 5, 1996. A list of authorities used and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. Such summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. Tentatively, the hearing will be held on April 9, 1996, time and place to be determined, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room B-099, within ten days of the publication of this notice.

Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(b), oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If this investigation proceeds normally, we will make our final determination by 135 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

This determination is published pursuant to section 733(f) of the Act.

Dated: December 14, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-463 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [C-201-505]

#### Porcelain-on-Steel Cookingware From Mexico; Preliminary Results of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper administrative review of the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookingware from Mexico. We preliminarily determine the net subsidy to be zero percent for Esmaltaciones San Ignacio S.A. (San Ignacio) for the period January 1, 1995 through June 30, 1995. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from San Ignacio exported on or after January 1, 1995, and on or before June 30, 1995. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 19, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norma Curtis or Kelly Parkhill, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; Telephone: (202) 482-2786.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 12, 1986, the Department published in the Federal

Register (55 FR 51139) the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookingware from Mexico. On June 20, 1995 the Department received a request from San Ignacio for a new shipper administrative review of the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookingware from Mexico pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act), and in accordance with interim regulation 19 CFR 355.22(j)(2) (60 FR 25130 (May 11, 1995)). In its request, San Ignacio certified that it met the requirements set forth in the Act and interim regulations for new shippers.

We initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1995 through June 30, 1995 (POR), on July 20, 1995 (60 FR 37426). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, San Ignacio, and nine programs.

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

#### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of porcelain-on-steel cookingware from Mexico. The products are porcelain-on-steel cookingware (except teakettles), which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel, and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under item number 7323.94.0020 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS). The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Analysis of Programs

##### *Programs Preliminarily Found Not To Be Used*

We examined the following programs and preliminarily determine that the exporter of the subject merchandise did not apply for or receive benefits under these programs during the review period:

- (A) Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C. (Bancomext)
- (B) Certificates of Fiscal Promotion (CEPROFI)
- (C) PITEX
- (D) Other Bancomext Preferential Financing
- (E) State Tax Incentives
- (F) Article 15 Loans

- (G) NAFINSA FOGAIN-type Financing
- (H) NAFINSA FONEI-type Financing
- (I) FONEI

#### Preliminary Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1995 through June 30, 1995, we preliminarily determine the net subsidy to be zero for San Ignacio. If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from San Ignacio exported on or after January 1, 1995, and on or before June 30, 1995.

The Department also intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of zero percent of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from San Ignacio entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. The cash deposit rates for all other producers/exporters remain unchanged from the last completed administrative review (See *Porcelain-on-Steel Cookingware From Mexico*; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (60 FR 53165; October 12, 1995)).

Interested parties may request a hearing not later than 10 days after the date of publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, may be submitted seven days after the time limit for filing the case brief. Parties who submit written arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any hearing, if requested, will be held seven days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties.

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(2)(B)).

Dated: December 14, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-464 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

##### August 1993 Tampa Bay Oil Spill: Notice of Availability and Request for Comments on a Draft Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan

**AGENCIES:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce, United States Department of the Interior (DOI), and Department of Environmental Protection, State of Florida.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a draft damage assessment and restoration plan and of a 45-day period for public comment on the plan.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is given that the draft document entitled "Draft Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan for the 1993 Tampa Bay Oil Spill, Volume I—Ecological Injuries" is available for public review and comment. The document represents the first part (Volume I) of the draft damage assessment and restoration plan (Draft DARP) being developed by the State and Federal natural resource trustees to assess natural resource damages for the injury, loss, destruction and lost use of natural resources that resulted from the oil spill in Tampa Bay, Florida, following the August 10, 1993 collision of certain vessels in Tampa Bay. Volume I presents the methods proposed for use to restore and compensate for natural resources injuries and losses of an ecological nature. Volume I of the Draft DARP is consistent with Section 1006 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), Chapter 376 of the Florida Statutes and the guidance provided by the Natural Resource Damage Assessment regulations at 43 CFR Part 11 (1994), as amended. Public review of this draft plan, as announced by this notice, is consistent with Section 1006 of OPA and 43 CFR 11.32(c) of those regulations.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted in writing on or before March 4, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of Volume I of the Draft DARP should be sent to Jim Jeanson of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration (NOAA) Damage Assessment Center, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., Suite 134, St. Petersburg, FL 33702, or Jane Urquhart-Donnelly of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Office of Coastal Protection, 8407 Laurel Fair Circle, Rm. 214, Tampa, FL 33619. Volume I is also available for public review at the St. Petersburg Public Library, Main Library Reference Dept., 3745 9th Ave N., St. Petersburg FL during normal library hours. Written comments on the plan should be sent to either Jim Jeanson of the NOAA Damage Assessment Center or to Jane Urquhart-Donnelly of the DEP Office of Coastal Protection at the same address as listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Jeanson of the NOAA Damage Assessment Center, (813) 570-5391 or Jane Urquhart-Donnelly, (813) 744-6462.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 10, 1993, at approximately 5:45 a.m., the tank barge "OCEAN 255" and the tank barge "B-155" collided with the freighter "BALSA 37" just south of Mullet Key near the entrance of Tampa Bay, Florida. The OCEAN 255 caught fire upon impact and burned for approximately 18 hours. During that period, approximately 32,000 gallons of Jet A fuel, diesel, and gasoline were discharged from the OCEAN 255 into lower Tampa Bay. The B-155 was also damaged by the collision and discharged approximately 330,000 gallons of #6 fuel oil in the same vicinity. A number of different natural resources were eventually exposed to oil as a result of these discharges, including mangroves, seagrasses, salt marshes, birds, sea turtles, shellfish beds, bottom sediments, sandy shorelines and the estuarine water column, with a variety of direct injuries and lost uses of natural resources documented to have resulted from such exposure.

The incident is subject to the authority of OPA, 33 U.S.C. 2701-2761 (OPA), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1321 *et seq.* (FWPCA) and the Florida Pollutant Discharge and Control Act, Fla. Stat. 376.121. NOAA, the U.S. Department of the Interior, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection are trustees for natural resources pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, OPA, the FWPCA, subpart G of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 CFR 300.600-300.615, and, in the case of the Florida Department of