

with a total installed capacity of 32,460 kilowatts.

k. With this notice, we are initiating consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), as required by § 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 CFR 800.4.

l. In accordance with section 4.32 (b)(7) of the Commission's regulations, if any resource agency, SHPO, Indian Tribe, or person believes that an additional scientific study should be conducted in order to form an adequate, factual basis for a complete analysis of this application on its merits, they must file a request for the study with the Commission, together with justification for such request, not later than 60 days from the filing date and serve a copy of the request on the Applicant.

Standard Paragraphs

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be

presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Dated: January 11, 1996, Washington, D.C.
Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-513 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP96-117-000, et al.]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

January 4, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. NorAm Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-117-000]

Take notice that on December 21, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-117-000, a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to operate an existing delivery tap on Line AC in Arkansas, for delivery of natural gas to ARKLA, a distribution division of NorAm Energy Corporation (ARKLA). NGT makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket Nos. CP82-384-000 and CP82-384-001, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT specifically proposes to use the existing delivery tap on Line AC in Hot Springs County, Arkansas for deliveries to ARKLA, for ARKLA's service to a consumer other than the right-of-way grantor for whom the tap was originally installed. It is estimated that approximately 170 MMBtu will be delivered through this tap annually and 2 MMBtu on a peak day. NGT indicates that the volumes to be delivered are within ARKLA's existing entitlements.

Comment date: February 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Transwestern Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-119-000]

Take notice that on December 22, 1995, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-119-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale transmission facilities located in Pecos County, Texas, all as

more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transwestern proposes to abandon by sale to Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (Chevron) 48.31 miles of 20-inch pipeline, 3.44 miles of 6-inch pipeline, and 2 farm taps, all located in Pecos County. It is stated that the facilities are part of Transwestern's West Texas Lateral transmission system and were installed in 1959 under Commission authorization in Docket No. G-14871, et al., to gain access to gas produced in the Puckett Field in Pecos County for sale to the California market. It is asserted that because of declining production, Transwestern has terminated its purchases from the Puckett Field and abandoned other facilities associated with it. It is explained that Chevron would purchase the facilities for \$3.6 million, acting by and through its Warren Petroleum Company (Warren) division. It is stated that Warren would continue using the facilities as part of its gathering system. It is further stated that Warren would continue to offer service to the farm tap customers comparable to what they are presently receiving from Transwestern. It is asserted that the proposed abandonment would not impair Transwestern's existing service obligations and would not adversely affect the operation of Transwestern's mainline facilities.

Comment date: January 25, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-125-000]

Take notice that on December 29, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed an application pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) for authorization to replace certain facilities located in Columbia Gas' Pavonia Storage Field located in Ashland and Richfield Counties, Ohio, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia Gas indicates that, as part of its objective to ensure reliable operation of its pipeline system, it has initiated a program to install on-line pigging facilities, consisting of bi-directional pig launchers and receivers in its existing storage fields. Columbia Gas also indicates that in certain of its fields the installation of the pigging facilities will necessitate the replacement of short sections of telescoped pipelines to provide longer lengths of uniform pipe

diameter to facilitate the utilization of intelligent pigs.

Columbia Gas states that, as part of this program, Columbia Gas proposes to replace approximately 1.4 miles of 12, 16 and 20-inch pipeline with approximately 1.4 miles of 20-inch pipeline and 0.002 miles of 12-inch pipeline in its Pavonia Storage Field. In addition, Columbia Gas also proposes to construct a bi-directional pig launcher and receiver on its Line SL-2444 and replace or remove various appurtenances, including but not limited to valves and drips.

Columbia Gas estimates a total construction cost of \$2,284,000, and indicates that the costs will be financed with funds generated from internal sources.

Comment date: January 25, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-127-000]

Take notice that on December 29, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, West Virginia, 25325-1273, filed in Docket No. CP96-127-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Sections 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia requests NGA Sections 7(c) and 7(b) authorization for the following:

The construction and operation of approximately 7.0 miles of storage pipelines and appurtenant facilities consisting of approximately 0.5 miles of 12-inch pipeline, 1.0 miles of 10-inch pipeline, 0.8 miles of 8-inch pipeline, 2.6 miles of 6-inch pipeline, and 2.1 miles of 4-inch pipeline. Columbia indicates that the abandonment of the facilities being replaced consists of approximately 7.5 miles of existing storage pipeline and appurtenances within the Lanham (X-2) Storage Field located in Kanawha and Putnam Counties, West Virginia.

Columbia states that it does not request authorization for any new or additional service. Columbia indicates that the segments of pipeline to be replaced have become physically deteriorated to the extent that replacement is deemed advisable. It is further indicated that the estimated cost of the proposed construction is \$5,000,000.

Comment date: January 25, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-129-000]

Take notice that on December 29, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, filed in Docket No. CP96-129-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to add four delivery points to serve Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corporation under MRT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-489-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT proposes to add four 2-inch delivery taps and appurtenant facilities to serve Arkla along MRT's 12-inch Newport Loop in Jackson County, Arkansas. The taps would be located at Mile Posts 203.1, 206.2, 208.0 and 209.8 of MRT's Newport Loop. MRT estimates that the total cost of the proposed facilities will be \$20,000, which would be reimbursed by Arkla. MRT states that Arkla would install and own a metering and regulating station and appurtenant facilities at each of the four locations. MRT estimates that it would deliver up to 825 MMBtu of natural gas per day and 30,010 MMBtu on an annual basis at the four delivery points. MRT states that the volumes that would be delivered would be within Arkla's certificated entitlements.

Comment date: February 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing

therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-514 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. ER96-392-000]

Energy West Power Company, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Order

January 11, 1996.

On November 17, 1995, Energy West Power Company, LLC (EWPC) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which EWPC will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. EWPC also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, EWPC requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34