Project. The FEA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the human environment. The Greenup Project is located on the Ohio River in Greenup County, Kentucky and Scioto County, Ohio.

The FEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the FEA are available for review at the Commission's Public Reference and Information Center, Room 2-A, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. Copies can also be obtained by calling the project manager, Jon Cofrancesco at (202) 219-0079.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7671 Filed 3-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

March 25, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. Type of Application: Non-project Use of Project Lands and Waters.

b. Project No.: 184–050.c. Date filed: March 13, 1996.

- d. Applicant: Pacific Gas and Electric Company.
  - e. Name of Project: El Dorado Project.
- f. Location: The project is located on the South Fork American River in El Dorado and Alpine Counties in California.
- g. Filed pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)-825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Ms. Rhonda Shiffman, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 770000, P10A, San Francisco, CA 94177, (415) 973-5852.
- i. FERC Contact: Jon E. Confrancesco,  $(202)\ 219-0079$ 
  - Comment Date: April 29, 1996.
- k. Description of Amendment: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (licensee), proposes to grant permission to Kirkwood Associates, Inc. to divert water from a project reservoir (Caples Lake) for snow making purposes at the Kirkwood Ski Resort. The proposal involves the construction and operation of a water intake facility at Caples Lake and the withdrawal of up to 500 acrefeet of water during the ski season. The proposal is part of the Kirkwood Water Rights and Snowmaking Project previously reviewed by the U.S. Forest Service, Alpine County, and other federal, state, and local agencies. During the review process, a final

environmental impact report and environmental assessment was prepared for the project. On September 18, 1995, the U.S. Forest Service issued a Decision Notice approving the project.

 This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs; B, C1,

and D2. B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular

application. C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title

"COMMENTS"

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission, 888** First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's

representatives. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–7667 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

March 25, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed

with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. Type of Application: Request for Commission Approval to Grant a Permit for the Construction and Operation of a Marina Facility.

b. Project No.: 1494-116.

c. Dated Filed: February 12, 1996.

d. Applicant: Grand River Dam Authority (licensee).

e. Name of Project: Pensacola Project.

f. Location: The Duck Creek arm of Grand Lake O' The Cherokees, Delaware County, Afton Oklahoma.

g. Filed Pursuant to Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Robert W. Sullivan, Jr., Grand River Dam Authority, P.O. Box 409, Drawer G, Vinita, OK 74301, (918) 256-5545.

i. FERC Contact: Joseph C. Adamson,

(202) 219-1040.

j. Comment Date: April 30, 1996.

k. Description of Proposed Action: The licensee requests Commission approval to grant a permit to Mr. John Mullen, d/b/a Thunder Bay Marina for the construction and operation of a marina facility. The proposed facility includes the addition of 151 boat slips to an existing facility with 3 floating docks containing 58 boat slips, for a total of 209 boat slips.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1,

and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title

"COMMENTS"

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–7670 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP96-254-000, et al.]

#### Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 22, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-254-000]

Take notice that on March 15, 1996, Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation (DOMAC), 75 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, filed in Docket No. CP96-254-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7 and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to install additional vaporization capacity and to install and construct additional facilities appurtenant thereto at DOMAC's liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminal in Everett, Massachusetts, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

DOMAC seeks authorization to construct and install additional LNG vaporization facilities wholly within the existing boundary of DOMAC's Everett Marine Terminal. DOMAC states that the new LNG vaporization system will be located in the same general area of the plant as the existing vaporization facilities. There will be two vaporization trains, each with a nominal capacity rating of 75,000 Mcf/d to be delivered through a new 750 psig send-out system. In addition to providing new vaporization capacity of 150,000 Mcf/d, the new system can serve as a back-up to existing vaporizer facilities. DOMAC

states that it anticipates the project will have an approximate cost of \$15.5 million and will be financed by DOMAC using cash on hand. DOMAC further states that the proposed facilities will be installed to meet the anticipated need for increased vaporization capacity in the fall of 1998. DOMAC states that it will assume 100 percent of the cost recovery risk related to the project and that the project will have no impact on the rates charged for DOMAC's sales services.

DOMAC also states that it anticipates the construction of a pipeline interconnection between its facilities and those of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) which is the subject of a pending certificate application, Docket No. CP96-164-000, that is before the Commission. DOMAC states that Tennessee's proposed 7.5mile, 20-inch pipeline will directly connect Tennessee's existing Revere Lateral line in Saugus, Massachusetts with DOMAC's facilities in Everett. DOMAC further states that although DOMAC's proposed vaporization facilities are necessary to deliver vaporized LNG into Tennessee's new pipeline at 750 psig, DOMAC's need for additional vaporization capacity is independent of Tennessee's proposal to directly connect to the facilities. DOMAC states that it intends to proceed with the expansion of its vaporization capacity even in the absence of the Tennessee interconnection.

Comment date: April 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation [Docket No. CP96–258–000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP96-258-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain facilities and to construct and operate upgraded replacement facilities at an existing delivery point in Benton County, Washington, to accommodate deliveries of natural gas to Cascade Natural Gas Company (Cascade), under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–433–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest requests authorization to abandon facilities at the Kennewick Meter Station consisting of 2 2-inch regulators, 2 4-inch orifice meters and appurtenant piping and valves and a 2-inch tap. Northwest proposes to abandon the regulators and meters by removal and to abandon the tap in place. It is stated that Northwest proposes to replace these facilities because they are undersized for the existing maximum daily delivery obligation to Cascade of 12,092 dt equivalent of natural gas per day.

To replace the facilities proposed for abandonment, Northwest proposes to install 2 3-inch regulators, 2 6-inch turbine meters and appurtenant piping and valves and a 4-inch tap. These proposed facilities would increase the maximum design capacity of the meter station from 8,900 dt equivalent per day to approximately 21,830 dt equivalent per day. It is estimated that the cost to remove the old facilities would be \$13,000, and the cost to install the replacement facilities would be \$371,800. It is asserted that Northwest makes deliveries to Cascade under its Rate Schedules TF-1 and TF-2.

It is stated that no customers would lose service as a result of the proposed abandonment and replacement. It is further stated that Northwest's tariff does not prohibit the upgrade of delivery point facilities and that there would be no impact on Northwest's peak day and annual deliveries. It is explained that deliveries at the Kennewick delivery point would be within authorized entitlements of Cascade or other shippers.

Comment date: May 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Williams Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–260–000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-260-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.208 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.208 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain pipeline facilities and to construct and operate replacement facilities located in Cowley County, Kansas, under Williams' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williams requests authorization to abandon partly by reclaim and partly in place approximately 7.5 miles of Williams' Dilworth-Cambridge 16-inch pipeline and to construct and operate