20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–7670 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP96-254-000, et al.]

### Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 22, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

### 1. Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-254-000]

Take notice that on March 15, 1996, Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation (DOMAC), 75 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, filed in Docket No. CP96-254-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7 and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to install additional vaporization capacity and to install and construct additional facilities appurtenant thereto at DOMAC's liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminal in Everett, Massachusetts, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

DOMAC seeks authorization to construct and install additional LNG vaporization facilities wholly within the existing boundary of DOMAC's Everett Marine Terminal. DOMAC states that the new LNG vaporization system will be located in the same general area of the plant as the existing vaporization facilities. There will be two vaporization trains, each with a nominal capacity rating of 75,000 Mcf/d to be delivered through a new 750 psig send-out system. In addition to providing new vaporization capacity of 150,000 Mcf/d, the new system can serve as a back-up to existing vaporizer facilities. DOMAC

states that it anticipates the project will have an approximate cost of \$15.5 million and will be financed by DOMAC using cash on hand. DOMAC further states that the proposed facilities will be installed to meet the anticipated need for increased vaporization capacity in the fall of 1998. DOMAC states that it will assume 100 percent of the cost recovery risk related to the project and that the project will have no impact on the rates charged for DOMAC's sales services.

DOMAC also states that it anticipates the construction of a pipeline interconnection between its facilities and those of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) which is the subject of a pending certificate application, Docket No. CP96-164-000, that is before the Commission. DOMAC states that Tennessee's proposed 7.5mile, 20-inch pipeline will directly connect Tennessee's existing Revere Lateral line in Saugus, Massachusetts with DOMAC's facilities in Everett. DOMAC further states that although DOMAC's proposed vaporization facilities are necessary to deliver vaporized LNG into Tennessee's new pipeline at 750 psig, DOMAC's need for additional vaporization capacity is independent of Tennessee's proposal to directly connect to the facilities. DOMAC states that it intends to proceed with the expansion of its vaporization capacity even in the absence of the Tennessee interconnection.

Comment date: April 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation [Docket No. CP96–258–000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP96-258-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain facilities and to construct and operate upgraded replacement facilities at an existing delivery point in Benton County, Washington, to accommodate deliveries of natural gas to Cascade Natural Gas Company (Cascade), under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–433–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest requests authorization to abandon facilities at the Kennewick Meter Station consisting of 2 2-inch regulators, 2 4-inch orifice meters and appurtenant piping and valves and a 2-inch tap. Northwest proposes to abandon the regulators and meters by removal and to abandon the tap in place. It is stated that Northwest proposes to replace these facilities because they are undersized for the existing maximum daily delivery obligation to Cascade of 12,092 dt equivalent of natural gas per day.

To replace the facilities proposed for abandonment, Northwest proposes to install 2 3-inch regulators, 2 6-inch turbine meters and appurtenant piping and valves and a 4-inch tap. These proposed facilities would increase the maximum design capacity of the meter station from 8,900 dt equivalent per day to approximately 21,830 dt equivalent per day. It is estimated that the cost to remove the old facilities would be \$13,000, and the cost to install the replacement facilities would be \$371,800. It is asserted that Northwest makes deliveries to Cascade under its Rate Schedules TF-1 and TF-2.

It is stated that no customers would lose service as a result of the proposed abandonment and replacement. It is further stated that Northwest's tariff does not prohibit the upgrade of delivery point facilities and that there would be no impact on Northwest's peak day and annual deliveries. It is explained that deliveries at the Kennewick delivery point would be within authorized entitlements of Cascade or other shippers.

Comment date: May 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Williams Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–260–000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-260-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.208 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.208 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain pipeline facilities and to construct and operate replacement facilities located in Cowley County, Kansas, under Williams' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williams requests authorization to abandon partly by reclaim and partly in place approximately 7.5 miles of Williams' Dilworth-Cambridge 16-inch pipeline and to construct and operate 7.5 miles of replacement 6-inch pipeline. It is stated that this proposal is a continuation of the replacement of the Dilworth-Cambridge Line begun in Docket No. CP95-682-000. It is asserted that the replacement of the line by 6inch pipe will allow for more efficient use of Williams' facilities. Williams proposes to uprate the line on completion of its replacement from its present maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 315 to 265 psig to a proposed MAOP of 720 psig. It is stated that the uprating of the line will eliminate the need for pressure regulation and reduce related maintenance costs. It is estimated that the cost to reclaim facilities would be \$1,000, the cost to construct the replacement facilities would be \$1,644,000, and the estimated salvage value would be \$3,000. It is asserted that Williams has sufficient capacity to make the changes without detriment or disadvantage to its customers. It is stated that the present volume of gas transported on the Dilworth-Cambridge pipeline is 13,400 Mcf of gas per day.

Comment date: May 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G

at the end of this notice.

4. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation [Docket No. CP96–262–000]

Take notice that on March 19, 1996, Texas Gas Transmission Company (Texas Gas), P.O. Box 20008. Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, filed in Docket No. CP96-262-000 a request pursuant Sections 157.205(b) and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205(b) and 157.212) for authorization to add a new delivery point in Henderson County, Kentucky, to serve Western Kentucky Gas Company (Western), a local distribution company, under Texas Gas' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas states that it has received a request from Western for a new delivery point on Texas Gas' Slaughters-Evansville 10-inch Line in Henderson County, Kentucky, to enable Western to render natural gas service to a new customer, Hudson Foods, Inc. It is also stated that the natural gas delivered to the proposed delivery point would be used for service to Hudson's new chicken processing plant. Texas Gas states that Western would reimburse Texas Gas for the cost of this delivery point, which cost is estimated to be \$81,100.

Texas Gas further states that Western would not require any increase in existing firm contract quantities to accommodate service to the new delivery point. Since no increase in contract quantities has been requested by Western, Texas Gas states that the service to the proposed delivery point could be accomplished without detriment to Texas Gas' other customers.

It is further asserted that the natural gas volumes that would be delivered at the proposed delivery point would be a maximum daily quantity of 4,500 MMBtu, with a maximum annual quantity of 1,200,000 MMBtu.

Comment date: May 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Michigan Gas Storage Company [Docket No. CP96–263–000]

Take notice that on March 20, 1996, Michigan Gas Storage Company (MGSČo), 212 West Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan 49201, filed in Docket No. CP96-263-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to construct and operate certain pipeline facilities in the Cranberry Lake Storage Field in Clare County, Michigan and pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the pipeline facilities being replaced, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MGSCo requests authorization to construct and operate 5.2 miles of 20-inch pipeline to replace 1.3 miles of 10-inch, 3.9 miles of 16-inch and 5.2 miles of 8-inch pipeline in the Cranberry Lake Storage Field from Station 60 to the Muskegon River Compressor Station, all located in Clare County, Michigan. MGSCo states that the purpose of the proposed project is to replace deteriorating pipeline and to allow for efficient cleaning/inspection of the header pipeline for the storage field.

MGSCo estimates the cost of the proposed project to be \$3,550,000.
MGSCo states that it proposes to recover the construction and operation costs of the 20-inch piping replacement in a future Section 4 rate filing with the Commission, on a rolled-in basis.

Comment date: April 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

6. Sea Robin Pipeline Company [Docket No. CP96–266–000]

Take notice that on March 20, 1996, Sea Robin Pipeline Company (Sea Robin), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202–2563, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96–266–000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point, to enable Sea Robin to deliver gas to Equitable Storage Company (Equitable), authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–429–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Sea Robin proposes to construct, install and operate a new delivery point at its existing Erath Compressor Station site. The delivery point would be located in Sea Robin's Erath Compressor Station yard in Section 41, Township 13 South, Range 4 East, in Vermillion Parish, Louisiana. The delivery point would be used to deliver gas to Equitable. Sea Robin states that the estimated cost of the construction and installation of the delivery point facilities would be approximately \$434,148. Equitable has agreed to reimburse Sea Robin for the total actual cost of the facilities.

Comment date: May 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the

matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–7672 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5414-8]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 18, 1996 Through March 22, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960130, FINAL EIS, SFW, TX, Balcones Canyonlands Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of Golden-cheeked Warbler, Black-capped Vireo and Six Karst Invertebrates, Travis County, TX, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Joseph E. Johnston (512) 490–0063.

EIS No. 960131, FINAL EIS, BLM, OR, Lake Abert Area Designation as an Area of Critical Environmental Concerns (ACEC), High Desert Management Framework Amendment Plan, Right-of-Way Grant and Drilling Permit, Valley Falls, Lake County, OR, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Paul Whitman (503) 947–6110.

EIS No. 960132, FINAL EIS, FHW, NC, Winston-Salem Northern Beltway (Western Section), Construction, from US 158 Northward to US 52, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Forsyth County, NC, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf (919) 856–4346.

EIS No. 960133, FINAL EIS, IBR, MT, Tongue River Basin Project, Implementation, Tongue River Dam and Reservior, COE Section 404 Permit, Bighorn County, MT, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: John Boehmke (406) 247–7715.

EIS No. 960134, DRAFT EIS, UAF, CO, NM, KS, NB, WY, Colorado Airspace Initiative, Modifications to the National Airspace System, such as the F–16 Aircraft and Aircrews of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard, Also modifying existing Military Operations Areas (MOAs) and Military Training Routes (MTRs), CO, NM, KS, NB and WY, Due: June 05, 1996, Contact: Harry A. Knudsen (301) 836–8143.

EIS No. 960135, DRAFT EIS, APH,
Programmatic EIS—Veterinary
Services (VS) Programs,
Implementation, to Detect, Prevent,
Control, and Eradicate Domestic and
Foreign Animal Diseases and Pests,
All 50 States and the United States
Territories, Due: May 28, 1996,
Contact: Dr. William E. Ketter (301)
734–8565.

EIS No. 960136, REVISED DRAFT EIS, NPS, AK, Denali (South Slope) National Park and Preserve Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Additional Information, Mantanuska-Susitna Borough, AK, Due: May 13, 1996, Contact: Nancy Swanton (907) 257– 2651.

Dated: March 26, 1996. William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–7753 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

### [ER-FRL-5414-9]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 11, 1996 Through March 15, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the Federal Register dated April 14, 1996 (60 FR 19047).

#### Draft EIS's

ERP No. D-AFS-J02033-UT Rating LO, Dixie National Forest Oil and Gas Leasing on Federal Lands, Implementation, Garfield, Kane, Iron, Washington, Piute and Wayne Counties, UT

Summary: EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65254-AK Rating LO, 1995 Mendenhall Glacier Recreation Area Management Plan, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Juneau Ranger District, Chatham Area, AK.

*Summary:* EPA expressed a lack of objections for the proposed action.

ERP No. D-BLM-G65064-TX Rating LO, Texas Land and Resource Management Plan (RMP), Implementation, Split Estates Federal Mineral Ownership (FMO), Several Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40763-NC Rating EC2, Winston-Salem Northern Beltway, (Eastern Section) from US 52 North of Winston-Salem to US 421/I-40 Business east of Winston-Salem, Construction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Forsyth County, NC.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns that the 12 mile long Bypass evaluated in the draft EIS is only one of two segments of a planned Northern Bypass. The NEPA review should have been comprehensive. EPA is also concerned about secondary impacts to a water supply.

ERP No. Ď–FHW–E40765–FL Rating EC2, East-West Multimodal Corridor Transportation Improvements, Beginning at the Tamiami Campus of Florida International University (FIU) extending the length of FL 836, Port of Miami, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA's review found that all of the proposed alternatives will have relatively minor impact to the natural environment, but did express concerns for impacts to the urban human environment in the form of noise and relocations.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40215-CA Rating EC2, East Sonora Bypass/CA-108 Construction, CA-108 from Post Mile