appealed in writing to the Administrator within 10 days after receipt of the written notification of the withdrawal. The appeal shall state all of the facts and reasons upon which the appellant relies to show that the agreement was wrongfully withdrawn. The Administrator shall grant or deny the appeal, in writing, stating the reasons for granting or denying the appeal as promptly as circumstances permit. If there is a conflict as to any material fact and the person from whom the agreement is withdrawn requests a hearing, a hearing shall be held to resolve the conflict. Rules of practice concerning the hearing shall be adopted by the Administrator. No written agreement will be signed with an individual or a company representative of the concerned agricultural or forestry company or association who has had a written agreement withdrawn during the 12 months following such withdrawal, unless the withdrawn agreement was reinstated upon appeal.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0579-0052)

PART 354—OVERTIME SERVICES **RELATING TO IMPORTS AND EXPORTS; AND USER FEES**

2. The authority citation for part 354 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 2260; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 49 U.S.C. 1741; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(c).

3. In § 354.3, paragraph (a), the definitions for *Designated State* inspector and Processed product certificate are removed; new definitions for Designated State or county inspector and Export certificate for processed plant products are added in alphabetical order; the definitions for Phytosanitary certificate and Phytosanitary certificate for reexport are revised, and paragraph (g)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 354.3 User fees for certain international services.

(a) * * *

Designated State or county inspector. A State or county plant regulatory official designated by the Secretary of Agriculture to inspect and certify to shippers and other interested parties, as to the phytosanitary condition of plant products inspected under the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944.

Export certificate for processed plant products. A certificate (PPQ Form 578) issued by an inspector, describing the plant health condition of processed or manufactured plant products based on

inspection of submitted samples and/or by virtue of the processing received.

Phytosanitary certificate. A certificate (PPQ Form 577) issued by an inspector, giving the phytosanitary condition of domestic plants or unprocessed or unmanufactured plant products based on inspection of the entire lot or representative samples drawn by a Federal or State employee authorized to

conduct such sampling.

Phytosanitary certificate for reexport. A certificate (PPQ Form 579) issued by an inspector, giving the phytosanitary condition of foreign plants and plant products legally imported into the United States and subsequently offered for reexport. The certificate certifies that, based on the original foreign phytosanitary certificate and/or additional inspection or treatment in the United States, the plants and plant products are considered to conform to the current phytosanitary regulations of the receiving country and have not been subjected to the risk of infestation or infection during storage in the United States. Plants and plant products which transit the United States under Customs bond are not eligible to receive the phytosanitary certificate for reexport.

(g) * * * (2) There is no APHIS user fee for a certificate issued by a designated State or county inspector.

Done in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of April 1996.

Lonnie J. King,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 96-8621 Filed 4-5-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

13 CFR Part 301

[Docket No. 950525142-6078-03]

RIN 0610-AA47

Designation of Public Works Impact Program Areas: Simplification and Streamlining of Regulations: Correction

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration (EDA), Commerce. **ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to EDA's final rule which adopted EDA's interim-final rule (60 FR 56702), September 26, 1995, as modified by the changes noted in the final rule (61 FR 7979), published and effective on March 1, 1996. This correction is to the regulation on requirements for Public Works Impact Program Area (PWIP) designations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Awilda R. Marquez, (202) 482-4687; fax number: (202) 482–5671.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

EDA amended its entire body of regulations to make them easier to read and to understand, by removing numerous unnecessary, redundant, and outdated parts, sections and portions thereof, and by clarifying and simplifying those remaining. The final rule includes program requirements, evaluation criteria, and the selection process in implementing programs under the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended, (PWEDA or the Act), the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the Trade Act), and other applicable statutes.

Need for Correction

As published, the final rule contains an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification. Currently, § 301.6(a)(4) requires a redevelopment area to meet the qualifications of (a) through (d) of § 301.5. Paragraph (d) requires an overall economic development plan (OEDP) to be submitted to EDA. Since not requiring an OEDP from entities is what the Act provides, § 301.6(a)(4) is being corrected by deleting the reference to paragraph (d) of § 301.5.

List of Subjects in 13 CFR Part 301

Community development.

Accordingly, 13 CFR Part 301 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

PART 301—DESIGNATION OF AREAS

1. The authority citation for Part 301 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 701. Pub. L. 89-136: 79 Stat. 570 (42 U.S.C. 3211); Department of Commerce Organization Order 10-4, as amended (40 $\check{F}R$ 56702, as amended).

2. Section 301.6 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

§ 301.6 Designation of public works impact program areas.

(a) * * *

(4) An actual or threatened abrupt rise of unemployment due to the closing or

curtailment of a major source of employment. The area must meet the qualifications as set forth in § 301.5 (a) through (c). Although no boundary constraints, as set forth in § 301.13, shall apply, the area for which designation is sought must be one for which EDA can obtain data establishing its eligibility for designation.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Wilbur F. Hawkins,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic

Development.

[FR Doc. 96–8518 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-24-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM-122; Special Conditions No. 25-M-111]

Special Conditions: McDonnell Douglas Model DC9-10, -20, -30, -40, -50, High-Intensity Radiated Fields

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments; correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects the comment due date in a final special conditions; request for comments, published on March 22, 1996, (61 FR 11728) concerning McDonnell Douglas Model DC9–10, –20, –30, –40, –50, high-intensity radiated fields.

DATES: The effective date of these special conditions is March 14, 1996. Comments must be received on or before May 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on these final special conditions, request for comments, may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Assistant Chief Council, Attn: Rules Docket (ANM–7), Docket No. NM–122, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Office of the Assistant Chief Council at the above address. Comments must be marked: Docket No. NM–122.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gerald Lakin, (206) 227–1187.

Correction of Publication

In the final special conditions; request for comments, on page 11728 in the issue of Friday, March 22, 1996, make the following correction:

In the **DATES** section on page 11728 in the third column the comments due

date was previously listed as April 6, 1996. This date should be changed to read May 6, 1996.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 1, 1996. Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 96–8645 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

22 CFR Part 514

Exchange Visitor Program

AGENCY: United States Information

Agency.

ACTION: Interim final rule with request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Agency is amending its regulations which will clarify the procedures for requesting an extension of program duration for designated sponsors seeking such extension on behalf of a professor or research scholar participating in activities conducted by the sponsor. This amendment will also provide new procedures whereby the Agency may authorize a sponsor to design and conduct research programs that allow for the participation of a professor or research scholar for a period of time in excess of three years. Limitations governing the eligibility for program participation of professor and research scholar participants are also set forth. These limitations are set forth to enhance the integrity and programmatic effectiveness of the Exchange Visitor Program.

DATES: These rules are effective April 8, 1996. Written comments regarding this rule will be accepted until May 23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this rule must be presented in duplicate and addressed as follows: United States Information Agency, Office of the General Counsel, Rulemaking 120, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley S. Colvin, Assistant General Counsel, United States Information Agency, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547; Telephone,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 19, 1993, the Agency published a final rule that set forth comprehensive regulations for the Exchange Visitor Program (See 58 FR 15180.) This final rule defined clearly, the obligations, duties and relationships owed to or existing between the Agency, its designated exchange program sponsors,

(202) 619 - 4979.

and exchange participants. This rule also set forth, for the first time, specific regulations governing professor and research scholar exchange participants.

In formulating this comprehensive rule, the Agency consulted extensively with designated sponsors conducting various exchange activities under the umbrella of the Exchange Visitor Program. Thus, the academic community was instrumental in assisting the Agency in its drafting of regulations governing academic-based exchanges. This assistance resulted in the devotion of particular attention to the development of regulations governing professor and research scholar regulations and the length of time such exchange visitors should be permitted to participate in the Exchange Visitor Program.

Since 1949, a three year period of program duration has been afforded to professor and research scholar participants. During the development of the comprehensive rules published in 1993, the Agency received numerous comments suggesting that the period of program duration for professors and research scholars should be greater than three years. The Agency studied these comments at length but was unable to identify a compelling public diplomacy reason to abandon the long-standing three year limitation governing the program participation of professors and research scholars.

However, the Agency did recognize that in some circumstances an extension of this three year period of program participation would enhance the effectiveness of the Exchange Visitor Program. Accordingly, and in consultation with the academic community, the Agency adopted provisions that would allow the Responsible Officer of a designated exchange program to extend, in his or her discretion and for a six month period, the permitted length of program duration for a professor or research scholar participating in that sponsor's program. Such six month extension, if given, was to allow the professor or research scholar to complete his or her

In similar fashion, the Agency adopted provisions whereby a Responsible Officer could request that the Agency extend the program duration of a professor or research scholar for up to an additional three years. This regulatory provision, set forth at \$514.20(j)), has resulted in the false impression by some members of the academic community that the period of time that a professor or research scholar could participate in the program was now six, rather than three years. This