

become prohibited but for this exemption. In determining compliance with the conditions of the exemption at the time that the transaction was entered into for purposes of the preceding sentence, section I(e) will be deemed satisfied if the transaction was entered into between a plan and a person who was not then a party in interest.

(f) **Exemption Audit.** An "exemption audit" of a plan must consist of the following:

(1) A review of the written policies and procedures adopted by the INHAM pursuant to section I(g) for consistency with each of the objective requirements of this exemption (as described in section IV(g)).

(2) A test of a representative sample of the plan's transactions in order to make findings regarding whether the INHAM is in compliance with (i) the written policies and procedures adopted by the INHAM pursuant to section I(g) of the exemption and (ii) the objective requirements of the exemption.

(3) A determination as to whether the INHAM has satisfied the definition of an INHAM under the exemption; and

(4) Issuance of a written report describing the steps performed by the auditor during the course of its review and the auditor's findings.

(g) For purposes of section IV(f), the written policies and procedures must describe the following objective requirements of the exemption and the steps adopted by the INHAM to assure compliance with each of these requirements:

(1) The definition of an INHAM in section IV(a).

(2) The requirements of Part I and section I(a) regarding the discretionary authority or control of the INHAM with respect to the plan assets involved in the transaction, in negotiating the terms of the transaction, and with regard to the decision on behalf of the plan to enter into the transaction.

(3) That any procedure for approval or veto of the transaction meets the requirements of section I(a).

(4) For a transaction described in Part I:

(A) that the transaction is not entered into with any person who is excluded from relief under section I(e)(1), section I(e)(2), to the extent such person has discretionary authority or control over the plan assets involved in the transaction, or section I(f), and

(B) that the transaction is not described in any of the class exemptions listed in section I(b).

(5) For a transaction described in Part II:

(A) If the transaction is described in section II(a),

(i) that the transaction is with a party described in section II(a);

(ii) that the transaction occurs under the circumstances described in section II(a) (1) and (2);

(iii) that the transaction does not extend beyond the period of time described in section II(a)(3); and

(iv) that the percentage test in section II(a)(4) has been satisfied or

(B) If the transaction is described in section II(b),

(i) that the transaction is with a party described in sections II(b)(1);

(ii) that the transaction is not entered into with any person excluded from relief under section II(b)(2) to the extent such person has discretionary authority or control over the plan assets involved in the lease transaction or section II(b)(3); and

(iii) that the percentage test in section II(b)(5) has been satisfied.

(h) The term "plan" means a plan maintained by the INHAM or an affiliate of the INHAM.

Signed at Washington, DC, 4th day of April 1996.

Alan D. Lebowitz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Program Operations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 96-8841 Filed 4-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Commonwealth Edison Company; Notice of Partial Denial of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses and Opportunity for Hearing

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, STN 50-456, STN 50-457]

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has partially denied a request by Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-37, NPF-62, NPF-72 and NPF-77, issued to the licensee for operation of Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Ogle County, Illinois and Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Will County, Illinois. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of this amendment was published in the Federal Register on February 28, 1996 (61 FR 7547).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise the Technical Specifications (TS) to replace the existing scheduling requirements for overall integrated and local containment

leakage rate testing with a requirement to perform the testing in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Option B. As part of its submittal, ComEd proposed to revise the TS regarding the testing of valves with resilient seal material. The scope of the staff's revisions to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, did not include changes to testing of such valves and ComEd's submittal did not include sufficient information for the staff to evaluate the proposed change independently of the others. Therefore, the staff has concluded that that portion of the licensee's request can not be granted. The licensee was notified of the Commission's partial denial of the proposed change by a letter dated April 4, 1996.

By May 10, 1996, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the partial denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Michael I. Miller, Esquire; Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60603, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 6, 1995, as supplemented February 27, 1996, and March 28, 1996, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated April 4, 1996.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at: for Byron, the Byron Public Library District, 109 N. Franklin, P.O. Box 434, Byron, Illinois 61010; for Braidwood, the Wilmington Public Library, 201 S. Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois 60481.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of April 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Ramin R. Assa,
*Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2,
Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*
[FR Doc. 96-8908 Filed 4-9-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Application for a License to Export a Utilization Facility

Pursuant to 10 CFR 110.70(b) "Public notice of receipt of an application", please take notice that the Nuclear

Regulatory Commission has received the following application for an export license. Copies of the application are on file in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room located at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

A request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene may be filed within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Any request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene shall be served by the requester or petitioner upon the applicant, the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC. 20555; the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and the Executive Secretary, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC. 30520.

In its review of the application for a license to export a utilization facility as defined in 10 CFR part 110 and noticed herein, the Commission does not evaluate the health, safety or environmental effects in the recipient nation of the facility to be exported. The information concerning this application follows.

Name of applicant	U.S. dept. of energy—HO	Description of facility	End use
Date of Application	28 March 1996	Complete Control Rod system; main coolant pump and various reactor components.	Convert IAN-R1 research reactor from HEU core to LEU core.
Date Received	29 March 1996		
Application Number	XR164		
Country of Destination	Colombia		

Dated this 4th day of April 1996 at Rockville, Md.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Ronald D. Hauber,
*Director, Division of Nonproliferation,
Exports and Multilateral Relations, Office of
International Programs.*
[FR Doc. 96-8962 Filed 4-9-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel Meeting

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel (LSSARP) will hold its next meeting on May 2 and 3, 1996, in Pueblo Room #1119, Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155. The meeting will be open to the public pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 94-463, 86 Stat. 770-776).

AGENDA: The meeting will be held from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. on Thursday, May 2, and from 8:30 a.m. to 10 a.m., as needed, on Friday, May 3, 1996. The following agenda is planned:

1. LSS Administrator's Report
2. DOE Activity Report
 - a. Schedule for LSS Development
 - b. Availability of Records Information System (RIS) and Demonstration
3. LSS Senior Management Team Report
 - a. Topical Guidelines Publication
 - b. Assessment of Licensing Support Technology/Options
 - c. Decision Capture Process and Procedure
4. Future Panel Activity

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) established the LSSARP in 1989 to provide advice and recommendations to the NRC and to the Department of Energy (DOE) concerning the design, development and operation of an electronic information management system, known as the Licensing Support System (LSS), for the storage and retrieval of information relevant to the Commission's future licensing proceeding for a geologic repository for the disposal of high-level radioactive waste. Membership on the Panel consists of representatives of the State of Nevada, Nye County Nevada, a coalition of local counties of Nevada and California adjoining Nye County, the National Congress of American Indians, the nuclear industry, DOE, NRC and other agencies of the Federal government which have experience with large electronic information management systems.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John C. Hoyle, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone 301-415-1969.

Public Participation

Interested persons may make oral presentations to the Panel or file written statements. Requests for oral presentations should be made to the contact person listed above as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: April 5, 1996.

John C. Hoyle,
*Acting Advisory Committee Management
Officer.*
[FR Doc. 96-8964 Filed 4-9-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 83rd meeting on May 15-16, 1996, Room T-2B3, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, December 6, 1995 (60 FR 62485).

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed to discuss information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6).

The agenda for this meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, May 15, 1996—8:30 A.M. until 6 P.M.

Thursday, May 16, 1996—8:30 A.M. until 4 P.M.

During this meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. Total System Performance Assessment 1995—The Committee will review comments from the NRC staff on the Department of Energy's Total System Performance Assessment 1995. Participation by the staffs of both DOE and NRC is anticipated.

B. Natural Analogues—The Committee will discuss Zirconolite as a natural mineral analog for nuclear waste disposal. A representative from the Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie