

opportunities for small entities to collect data or perform other services. The added time could also work to benefit smaller companies who may have slower computers and could benefit from a longer time period for review of data.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This proposed rule does not contain any information collection requirements.

#### *Takings Implication Assessment*

The DOI determined that this proposed rule does not represent a governmental action capable of interference with constitutionally protected property rights. Thus, DOI does not need to prepare a Takings Implication Assessment pursuant to E.O. 12630, Government Action and interference with constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### *Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995*

This rule does not contain any unfunded mandates to State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector.

*E.O. 12778*

The DOI certified to OMB that this proposed rule meets the applicable civil justice reform standards provided in Sections 2(a) and 2(b)(2) of E.O. 12778.

#### *National Environmental Policy Act*

The DOI determined that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment; therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

#### *List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 250*

Continental shelf, Environmental impact statements, Environmental protection, Government contracts, Incorporation by reference, Investigations, Mineral royalties, Oil and gas development and production, Oil and gas exploration, Oil and gas reserves, Penalties, pipelines, Public lands—mineral resources, Public lands—rights-of-way, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulphur development and production, Sulphur exploration, Surety bonds.

Dated: April 3, 1996.

Bob Armstrong,  
*Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, Minerals Management Service (MMS) proposes to amend 30 CFR Part 250 as follows:

### **PART 250—OIL AND GAS AND SULPHUR OPERATIONS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF**

1. The authority citation for part 250 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 43 U.S.C. 1334.

2. Section 250.13 is revised to read as follows:

#### **§ 250.13 How Does Production, Drilling, or Well-reworking Affect Your Lease Term?**

Continuous production or drilling or well-reworking operations on the lease will allow you to keep a lease past its primary term. The drilling or well-reworking programs must be part of a plan that has as its objective continuous production on the lease. Throughout the remainder of this section (250.13), the term "operations" will refer to continuous production, drilling, or well-reworking.

(a) How can I keep my lease in effect if I stop conducting continuous operations during the last 180 days of the primary lease term? If you stop conducting operations during the last 180 days of the primary lease term, you must:

(1) Resume operations on the lease no later than 180 days after the operations ended; or

(2) Ask us for a suspension of operations or production under 30 CFR 250.10, before the 180th day after you stop operations. The Regional Supervisor must approve this request; or

(3) Receive a directed suspension of operations or production from the Regional Supervisor under 30 CFR 250.10 before the 180th day after you stop operations.

(b) How can I keep my lease in effect if I stop conducting operations on a lease that has been continued beyond its primary term? If you stop conducting operations on the lease, you must comply with either paragraph (a) (1), (2), or (3) of this section.

(c) Can I have more than 180 days to resume operations? You may ask the Regional Supervisor in writing to allow you more time to resume operations on a lease continued beyond its primary term, when warranted by operating conditions. In allowing additional time, the Regional Supervisor must determine that the longer period is in the national interest and that it conserves resources, prevents waste, or protects correlative rights.

[FR Doc. 96-10059 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### **40 CFR Part 52**

[KS-6-1-6985b, MO-31-1-7153b; FRL-5449-1]

#### **Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; States of Kansas and Missouri**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the states of Kansas and Missouri for the purpose of updating the emissions inventory in the Kansas City Maintenance Plan. The submittals also establish a motor vehicle emissions budget for the purposes of fulfilling the requirements of the Federal Transportation Conformity rule. In the final rules section of the Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 28, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Lisa V. Haugen, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa V. Haugen at (913) 551-7877.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the Federal Register.

Dated: February 9, 1996.

Dennis Grams,  
*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-10133 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**40 CFR Part 52**

[WI68-01-7294b; FRL-5461-8]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Industrial Adhesives SIP Revision****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on December 11, 1995 and later supplemented on January 12, 1996. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation to control emissions from industrial adhesives operations in ozone nonattainment areas classified as moderate or worse. In the final rules of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received by May 28, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. EPA, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the proposed SIP revision and EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the U.S. EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Douglas Aburano at (312) 353-6960 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Douglas Aburano, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. EPA, Region 5, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6960.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 5, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,  
*Regional Administrator.*  
[FR Doc. 96-10130 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**40 CFR Part 52**

[FL-64-2-9611b; FRL-5444-5]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida SIP****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Florida which includes amendments to the federally enforceable state operating permit program and the SIP regulations for perchloroethylene dry cleaning facilities. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received by May 28, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Joey LeVasseur, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.  
EPA, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.  
Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office

Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Interested persons wanting to examine documents relative to this action should make an appointment with the Region 4 Air Programs Branch at least 24 hours before the visiting day. To schedule the appointment or to request additional information, contact Joey LeVasseur, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 EPA, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ext. 4215. Reference file FL64-2-9611b.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: January 26, 1995.

Phyllis P. Harris,  
*Acting Regional Administrator.*  
[FR Doc. 96-10128 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Chapter I**

[CC Docket No. 96-98, FCC 96-182]

**Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996****AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In enacting the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) Congress sought to establish a pro-competitive, deregulatory national policy framework for the telecommunications industry. In adding new sections 251, 252, and 253 to the Communications Act of 1934, Congress set forth a blueprint for ending monopolies in local telecommunications markets. Section 251(d)(1) of the Act directs the Commission to establish rules to implement the requirements of Section 251. In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") the Commission seeks to implement the local competition provisions of the 1996 Act. The Commission's rules that arise from this rulemaking proceeding will serve to promote the procompetitive provisions of the statute. These rules will assist incumbent LECs, telecommunications carriers, state commissions, the Commission, and the courts in defining rights and responsibilities regarding