

available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10139 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-335-000]

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company;
Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order**

April 19, 1996.

Take notice that on April 17, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP96-335-000 a petition under Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.207) for a declaratory order determining that CIG is authorized: (i) to hold 79,071 Dth per day of capacity on Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd. (WIC) and 10,000 Dth per day of capacity on Trailblazer Pipeline Company (Trailblazer) for shippers that have requested CIG obtain such capacity on their behalf and (ii) to hold 20,000 Dth per day of capacity on WIC for operational use, all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that WIC (an affiliate of CIG) originates in Sweetwater County, Wyoming, and terminates in Weld County, Colorado. WIC receives gas at interconnections in Sweetwater and Carbon Counties, Wyoming with CIG, Questar Pipeline Company, Overthrust Pipeline Company, and Western Gas Resources, Inc. CIG states that WIC delivers gas to CIG and Trailblazer in Weld County, Colorado.

CIG states that in January 1996, both Trailblazer and WIC posted on their electronic bulletin boards announcements of an open season during which interested shippers could submit requests for capacity on expansions that both pipelines were then considering.

It is stated that CIG submitted bids and was awarded capacity for two discrete parcels of WIC expansion capacity consisting of (i) 79,071 Dth/d to give shippers increased service options on CIG, and (ii) 20,000 Dth/d to support system operations on CIG. CIG contends that is also submitted a bid and was eventually awarded 10,000 Dth/d of expansion capacity on Trailblazer. CIG states that it has now signed a 10-year firm transportation agreement for the WIC capacity. It is stated that final execution of the comparable agreement is expected soon.

CIG states that its bids for the WIC and Trailblazer capacity were supported largely by other contracts executed by Shippers on CIG's own system who sought to have CIG hold such additional capacity in order to provide service for this amount.

It is stated that CIG has allocated the WIC/Trailblazer capacity to the following customers of CIG on the basis of their contracts with CIG for such capacity.

Customer	Quantity
Public Service Company of Colorado ¹	49,371 Dth/d
Colorado Springs Utilities ...	¹ 19,700 Dth/d
Snyder Oil Corporation ²	10,000 Dth/d
Total	79,071 Dth/d

¹ Capacity on WIC only with terms ending September 30, 2001.

² Capacity on WIC and Trailblazer with a term of ten years from the in-service date of WIC and Trailblazer expansions.

CIG states that the 20,000 Dth/d parcel on WIC is to be held by CIG solely for operational purposes. It is stated that in its Order No. 636 compliance filing (Docket No. RS92-4), CIG provided a detailed showing of its need to retain 150 MMcf/d of WIC capacity to support system operations, which the Commission has approved.

It is stated that the same operational reasons for continued retention of the 150 MMcf/d of capacity on WIC,³ along with additional data submitted in its petition, justify an additional 20,000 Dth/d of CIG capacity on WIC.

CIG states that its petition is in accordance with the Commission's policy on acquisition of capacity by an interstate pipeline on a third party pipeline set forth in *Texas Eastern Pipeline Corporation*, Docket No. CP95-218-000, 74 FERC ¶61,074 (1996). In addition, CIG requests that the Commission find that such capacity will be accorded rolled-in rate treatment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before May 10, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the

³ CIG states that in Docket No. RP95-114-000, 73 FERC ¶61,035 (1995), the Commission permitted CIG to retain its WIC capacity.

protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10138 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-212-000]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Section 4 Filing

April 19, 1996.

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), tendered for filing, pursuant to Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, Revised Volume 1A of CNG Transmission's FERC Gas Tariff, to become effective May 15, 1996.

CNG further states that the filing is made pursuant to and in compliance with the Commission's order issued November 28, 1995, in Docket No. CP94-757 requiring the filing of revisions to Volume 1A to delete gathering lines abandoned by sale to Ashland Exploration, Inc.

CNG also states that deletions have been made to reflect all other sales and abandonments through the date of filing, including the recent abandonment by sale to Eastern States Oil & Gas, Inc. in Docket No. CP93-200 et al.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a part in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10135 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-308-000]**Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

April 19, 1996.

Take notice that on April 10, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP96-308-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211) for authorization to abandon an existing obsolete, undersized meter and to construct and operate an upgraded replacement meter at the Mancos Meter Station in Montezuma County, Colorado, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to upgrade the meter station by removing the existing 2-inch positive displacement meter and appurtenances and installing a new 2-inch positive displacement meter and appurtenances. The maximum design capacity of the meter station will increase from 233 Dth per day to approximately 438 Dth per day at 150 psig. The estimated upgrade cost is \$79,680 (\$69,680 for installation of new facilities and \$10,000 for removal of the old). Northwest states that this proposal will better accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations at this delivery point to Greeley Gas Company. Northwest has stated that its existing FERC Gas Tariff does not prohibit the proposed upgrading; that there will be no impact on Northwest's system peak day or annual deliveries; and, that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If not protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request

shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10136 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-313-000]**Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

April 19, 1996

Take notice that on April 12, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-313-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to operate in interstate commerce certain facilities that were previously constructed and operated to effectuate transportation service pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA). Williams makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Williams is proposing to use a delivery facility that was installed in Mitchell County, Kansas, for purposes other than Section 311 transport. It is stated that the subject facilities were constructed to enable Williams to perform NGPA Section 311 transportation to Western Resources, Inc. (WRI) for use by Plum Creek Farms (Plum Creek). The Section 311 facilities consist of a 2-inch tap, metering, regulating and appurtenant facilities. Williams states that it commenced gas delivery to WRI for Plum Creek on December 14, 1995. Williams states that the volumes of gas that will be delivered to WRI for Plum Creek, after approval of the request herein, will not exceed WRI's existing entitlements.

Williams declares that such a change in facility use is not prohibited by its existing tariff, and that Williams has sufficient capacity to accomplish the delivery specified without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers. It is stated that WRI reimbursed Williams the \$4,451 construction cost of the subject facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the

Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene a notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protests filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10137 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EC96-18-000, et al.]**Great Bay Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

April 18, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Great Bay Power Corporation

[Docket No. EC96-18-000]

Take notice that on April 11, 1996, Great Bay Power Corporation (Great Bay), submitted an application pursuant to § 203 of the Federal Power Act for authority to effect a "disposition of facilities" that would be deemed to occur as a result of implementation of a proposed holding company structure, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that implementation of the holding company structure proposed would be accomplished through the creation of a holding company (Holding Company) of which Great Bay would be a subsidiary. It is stated that the proposed holding company structure is intended to facilitate the separation of Great Bay's activities as an exempt wholesale generator (EWG), as that term is defined under Section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, from any other business. Such separation will permit Holding Company to engage in business activities through subsidiaries other than Great Bay, which Great Bay is prohibited from engaging in due to its status as an EWG.

Comment date: May 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.