

where the respondent company used special financial accounting treatment to reflect only its regular depreciation (*i.e.*, non-tax depreciation) as a cost in its audited income statements for that year, Union recorded the full special depreciation charge as a cost in its audited income statement in accordance with Korean GAAP. We note that it is the Department's normal practice to use costs recorded in normal books and records of the respondent unless it can be shown that such costs do not reasonably reflect the amounts incurred to produce the subject merchandise. See, *e.g.*, Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina (60 FR 33539, 33548—June 28, 1995); High-Tenacity Rayon Filament yarn from Germany; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (59 FR 15897, 15898—March 28, 1995).

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we have determined that the following margins exist for the period February 4, 1993, through July 31, 1994:

CERTAIN CORROSION-RESISTANT CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Dongbu	1.50
Union	10.74

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates will be the rates for those firms as stated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period

for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 17.70 percent, which is the "all others" rate in the LTFV investigation.

Article VI[5] of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade provides that "(n)o product * * * shall be subject to both antidumping and countervailing duties to compensate for the same situation of dumping or export subsidization." This provision is implemented by section 772(d)(1)(D) of the Act. Since antidumping duties cannot be assessed on the portion of the margin attributable to export subsidies, there is no reason to require a cash deposit or bond for that amount. Accordingly, the level of export subsidies as determined in Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations and Final Negative Critical Circumstances Determinations; Certain Steel Products from Korea (58 FR 327328—July 9, 1993), which is 0.10 percent *ad valorem*, will be subtracted from the cash deposit rate for deposit or bonding purposes.

The deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 16, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-10404 Filed 4-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-570-820]

Certain Compact Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings and Glands From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping duty administrative review, Certain Compact Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings and Glands (CDIW), from the People's Republic of China (PRC), A-570-820.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CDIW from the PRC which has a September anniversary date. In accordance with Department regulations, we are initiating this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 26, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul M. Stolz, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received a timely request from Beijing M Star Pipe Corp., Ltd. (BMSP), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and section 353.22(h) of the Department's Interim Regulations (60 FR 25130, 25134 (May 11, 1995)), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on CDIW from the PRC which has a September anniversary date.

Initiation of Review

BMSP has certified that it did not export CDIW to the U.S. during the period of investigation (POI) (2/1/92-7/31/92), and that it is not affiliated with any exporter or producer which did export CDIW during the POI. This certification is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and the

Department's Interim Regulations, 19 CFR 353.22(h). Therefore, we are initiating the new shipper review as requested. However, it is the Department's usual practice with non-market economies to require information regarding de jure and de facto government control over a company's export activities to establish its eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate. Accordingly, we will issue a separate rates questionnaire to BMSP and seek additional information from the PRC government, as appropriate, allowing 30 days for response. If the responses from BMSP and the PRC government adequately demonstrate that BMSP is not subject to de jure and de facto government control with respect to its exports of CDIW, the review will proceed. If, on the other hand, BMSP does not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, BMSP will be deemed to be affiliated with other companies that exported during the POI which did not establish their entitlement to a separate rate and the review will be terminated.

If this review proceeds normally, we will issue the final results of review not later than February 16, 1997. The period to be reviewed is the seven months immediately preceding the semi-anniversary month of March 1996, which includes August 1, 1995 through February 29, 1996.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
People's Republic of China: Certain Compact Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings and Glands	08/01/95– 02/29/96
A-570-820 Beijing M Star Pipe Corp., Ltd.	

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion or termination of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) and Interim Regulation 19 CFR 353.22(h)(4) (1995).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and Interim Regulation 19 CFR 353.22(h).

Dated: April 19, 1996.
Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.
[FR Doc. 96-10405 Filed 4-25-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Meeting of the Fastener Quality Act Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, DoC.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will hold a meeting of the Fastener Advisory Committee on May 15-16, 1996. The meeting will be for the purpose of reviewing implementing regulations for the Fastener Quality Act (P.L. 101-592, as amended by P.L. 104-113), and for discussing other subjects dealing with implementation of the Act (e.g. scheduling of workshops for industry, training of enforcement officials, etc.).

DATES: The meeting will be held on May 15, 1996, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and on May 16, 1996, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. or earlier if so adjourned.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held on the Green Auditorium of the Administration Building (101), located on the grounds of NIST at the intersection of Quince Orchard and Clopper Roads, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899.

AGENDA: The Committee will review draft implementing regulations for the amended Fastener Quality Act. The committee will also discuss a suggested format and content for regional workshops designed to familiarize fastener manufacturers, distributors, and importers with the requirements of the Fastener Quality Act and implementing regulations.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: The meeting is open to the public. Attendance shall be on a first-come, first-serve basis in so far as seating is concerned, up to the reasonable and safe capacity of the meeting room (298 persons). The public may file written statements with the Advisory Committee by forwarding them to David Edgerly at the address below. An effort shall be made to set aside a portion of the meeting for public participation. To the extent that the meeting time and agenda permits, interested persons will be allowed to present oral statements or to participate in the discussions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David E. Edgerly, Deputy Director, Technology Services, National Institute

of Standards and Technology, Building 820, Rm. 306, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20899, Telephone 301-975-4510.

Dated: April 22, 1996.
Samuel Kramer,
Associate Director.
[FR Doc. 96-10379 Filed 4-25-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-13-M

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Public Hearing on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Management Plan for the Proposed Mullica River-Great Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve in New Jersey

AGENCY: Sanctuaries and Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Public hearing notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Sanctuaries and Reserves Division, of the Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), U.S. Department of Commerce, will hold public hearings for the purpose of receiving comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Management Plan (DEIS/DMP) prepared on the proposed designation of the Mullica River-Great Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve in New Jersey. The DEIS/DMP address research, monitoring, education and resource protection needs for the proposed reserve.

The Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management will hold public hearings at 3:00 p.m. and at 7:00 p.m. on Friday, May 31, 1996, at the Rutgers University Marine Field Station Dorm, 132 Great Bay Boulevard, Tuckerton, New Jersey 08087.

The views of interested persons and organizations on the adequacy of the DEIS/DMP are solicited, and may be expressed orally and/or in written statements. Presentations will be scheduled on a first-come, first-heard basis, and may be limited to a maximum of five (5) minutes. The time allotment may be extended before the hearing when the number of speakers can be determined. All comments received at the hearing will be considered in the preparation of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Draft Management Plan.