

## FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

## Explanation of the Requirements of the Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed AD would require modification of the shock absorber sub-assembly of the MLG. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

## Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 115 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 24 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operator. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$165,600, or \$1,440 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

## Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not

a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Industrie: Docket 95-NM-267-AD.

*Applicability:* Model A320-200 series airplanes on which Airbus Modification 24594 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320-32-1144) has not been installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent damage to the internal area of the shock absorber sub-assembly, which could cause an overextension of the shock absorber and failure of the torque link, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 6,000 total landings since the shock absorber of the main landing gear (MLG) was removed, built, or overhauled; or within 6 months after the

effective date of this AD; whichever occurs later: Modify the shock absorber assembly of the MLG, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320-32-1144, dated December 8, 1994.

Note 2: Airbus Service Bulletin A320-32-1144 references Dowty Aerospace Service Bulletin 200-32-215, dated July 7, 1994, and Dowty Aerospace Service Bulletin 200-32-216, Revision 1, dated November 18, 1994, as additional sources of service information for modification of the shock absorber.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 23, 1996.

S.R. Miller,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-10507 Filed 4-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-218-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-400 Series Airplanes Equipped With BFGoodrich Evacuation Slide/Rafts

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747-400 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of door 5 evacuation slide/rafts. This proposal is prompted by reports that the door 5 evacuation slide/raft failed to deploy properly due to adverse loads caused by the geometry of this evacuation slide/raft. The actions specified by the proposed AD are

intended to prevent failure of the door 5 evacuation slide/raft to deploy properly, which could contribute to injury of passengers on the slide and could delay or impede the evacuation of passengers during an emergency.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 10, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-218-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from BFGoodrich Company, Aircraft Evacuation Systems, Department 7916, Phoenix, Arizona 85040. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Gfrerer, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627-5338; fax (310) 627-5210.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this

proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95-NM-218-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-218-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

##### **Discussion**

The FAA has received reports indicating that the door 5 evacuation slide/raft installed on Boeing Model 747-400 series airplanes failed to deploy properly. Investigation revealed that the apparent cause of one of these failures has been attributed to the improper gluing method used during the manufacturing process. The FAA finds this situation to be isolated to a specific builder and limited to only seven units in which only one unit failed.

However, further investigation has revealed that, during the initial deployment stages of door 5 evacuation slide/raft, the inflation bottle bag can apply adverse loads to both the forward side bottle hanger strap and the lower girt attachment on the forward side of this evacuation slide/raft. Such adverse loads could pull the center girt attachment partially loose at the forward side, or could tear the lower inflation tube assembly at the forward edge of the center girt. The cause of such adverse loads has been attributed to the geometry of this particular evacuation slide/raft. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of door 5 evacuation slide/raft to deploy properly, which could contribute to injury of passengers on the slide, and could delay or impede the evacuation of passengers during an emergency.

##### **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The FAA has reviewed and approved BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 7A1469-25-283, dated November 6, 1995, which describes procedures for modification of door 5 evacuation slide/rafts. The modification involves replacing the bottle support straps of door 5 with new support straps, relocating these straps, and directly lacing them to the center girt attachment. Accomplishment of the

modification will eliminate bonded attachments from the load path and prevent damage to the slide/raft fabric.

##### **Explanation of the Requirements of the Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require modification of the door 5 evacuation slide/rafts. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the alert service bulletin described previously.

##### **Explanation of the Applicability of the Proposed Rule**

Operators should note that the applicability of this proposed rule affects Boeing Model 747-400 series airplanes that are equipped with certain BFGoodrich escape slide/rafts. The FAA's general policy is that, when an unsafe condition results from the installation of an appliance or other item that is installed in only one particular make and model of aircraft, the AD is issued so that it is applicable to the aircraft, rather than the item. The reason is simple: Making the AD applicable to the airplane model on which the item is installed ensures that operators of those airplanes will be notified directly of the unsafe condition and the action required to correct it. While it is assumed that an operator will know the models of airplanes that it operates, there is a potential that the operator will not know or be aware of specific items that are installed on its airplanes. It is for this reason that this proposed AD would be applicable to Model 747-400's rather than to the BFGoodrich escape slide/rafts. Additionally, calling out the airplane model as the subject of the AD prevent "unknowing non-compliance" on the part of the operator.

The FAA recognizes that there are situations when an unsafe condition exists in an item that is installed in many different aircraft. In those cases, the FAA considers it impractical to issue AD's against each aircraft; in fact, many times, the exact models and number of aircraft on which the item is installed may not be known. Therefore, in those situations, the AD is issued so that it is applicable to the item; furthermore, those AD's usually indicate that the item is known to be installed on, but not limited to, various aircraft models.

##### **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 150 BFGoodrich evacuation slide/rafts installed on 75 Boeing Model 747-400

series airplanes (2 slides per airplane) of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hour per slide to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$84 per slide. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$21,600, or \$144 per slide.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### **PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### **§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 95–NM–218–AD.

Applicability: Model 747–400 series airplanes equipped with BFGoodrich Evacuation Slide/Rafts at door 5; having slide/raft assembly part number 7A1469–1, –2, –3, –4, –7, –8, –9, –10, –11, or –12 (all unit serial numbers); certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the door 5 evacuation slide/raft to deploy properly, which could contribute to injury of passengers on the slide and could delay or impede the evacuation of passengers during an emergency, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 36 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the door 5 evacuation slide/raft in accordance with BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 7A1469–25–283, dated November 6, 1995.

Note 2: Modification previous to the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–25A3096, which references BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 7A1469–25–283, dated November 6, 1995, is considered acceptable for compliance with the modification requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 23, 1996.

S.R. Miller,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 96–10506 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 96–NM–49–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Jetstream Model 4101 Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Jetstream Model 4101 airplanes, that currently requires inspection to determine the number of hours time-in-service on the landing gear control unit, and modification of the cable (electrical wiring circuit) of the landing gear control unit. That AD was prompted by a report of failure of a micro-switch in the landing gear control unit. This action would require installation of a new landing gear control unit. This action also would expand the applicability of the existing AD to include additional airplanes. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent uncommanded retraction of a landing gear, which could adversely affect airplane controllability.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 10, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–49–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Jetstream Aircraft, Inc., P.O. Box 16029, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041–6029. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Schroeder, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate,