

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14607 Filed 6-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-122-814]

**Pure Magnesium From Canada;
Preliminary Results of Antidumping
Duty Administrative Review**

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of
Antidumping Duty Administrative
Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995.

We have preliminarily determined that U.S. sales have not been made below the normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 10, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Rausher or Richard Rimlinger,
Office of Antidumping Compliance,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone:
(202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On August 31, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 39399) the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. On August 1, 1995, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of this antidumping duty order for the period of August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995 (60 FR 39151). We received a timely request for review from the respondent, Norsk Hydro Canada Inc. (NHCI). On September 15, 1995, the Department initiated a review of NHCI (60 FR 47930).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is pure magnesium. Pure unwrought magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Granular and secondary magnesium are excluded from the scope currently classified under subheading 8104.11.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The review covers one Canadian manufacturer/exporter, NHCI, and the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995.

United States Price (USP)

In calculating USP for NHCI, the Department treated respondent's sale as an export price (EP) sale, as defined in section 772(a) of the Act, because the subject merchandise was sold to an unaffiliated U.S. purchaser prior to the date of importation.

We calculated EP based on the packed, delivered, duty-paid price to the unaffiliated customer in the United

States. We made deductions from the gross unit price, where appropriate, for freight and U.S. customs duty, in accordance with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act.

No other adjustments to USP were claimed or allowed.

Normal Value (NV)

Based on a comparison of the aggregate quantity of home market and U.S. sales, we determined that the quantity of foreign like product sold in the exporting country was sufficient to permit a proper comparison with the sales of the subject merchandise to the United States, pursuant to section 773(a) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we based NV on the prices at which the foreign like products were first sold for consumption in the exporting country.

Pursuant to section 777A(d)(2) of the Act, we compared the EP of the individual transaction to the monthly weighted-average price of sales of the foreign like product. We compared the EP sale to sales in the home market of identical merchandise.

We based NV on the price at which the foreign like product is first sold for consumption in the exporting country, in the usual commercial quantities and in the ordinary course of trade and at the same level of trade as the EP, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act. We made adjustments, where applicable, for freight charges and home market credit expenses, in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(B)(ii) of the Act. We increased home market price by U.S. packing costs in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(A) of the Act and reduced it by home market packing costs in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(B) of the Act. In accordance with section 773(a)(6)(C) of the Act, we increased NV by adding U.S. credit expense. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc.	8/1/94-7/31/95	0.00

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within five days of the date

of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing

within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44

days after the date of publication, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. The Department will issue the final results of the administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing, within 120 days of issuance of these preliminary results.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and NV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of estimated duties.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of pure magnesium from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for NHCI will be the rate established in the final results of administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the final results of this review or the LTFV investigation; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 21 percent, the "all others" rate established in *Pure Magnesium From Canada: Amendment of Final Determination of Sales At Less Than Fair Value and Order in*

Accordance With Decision on Remand, 58 FR 62643, November 29, 1993.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: May 29, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14619 Filed 6-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-046]

Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

SUMMARY: On April 5, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber (rubber) from Japan. The review covers six manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period December 1, 1993, through November 30, 1994. These manufacturers/exporters are Denki Kagaku, K.K. (Denki), Denki/Hoei Sangyo Co., Ltd. (Denki/Hoei Sangyo), Mitsui Bussan K.K. (Mitsui Bussan), Suzugo Corporation (Suzugo), Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh) (formerly Toyo Soda), and Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo Co., Ltd. (Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to submit oral or written comments on the preliminary results of review. We received no comments. Based on our analysis, these final results of review are unchanged from those presented in our preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 10, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy F. Unger, Jr. or Thomas Futtner, Office

of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0651 or 482-3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 5, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 15222) the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on rubber from Japan. The Department has now conducted that administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations refer to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.42.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00, 4462.15.21 and 4462.00.00. HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We were unable to locate the following companies, Denki/Hoei Sangyo, Suzugo, and Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo, in spite of requests for assistance from various sources including the American Embassy in Tokyo, the Japanese Embassy in Washington, D.C., and the U.S. Customs Service. Therefore, we were unable to conduct administrative reviews for these firms, and upon issuance of these final results we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to assess any entries by these firms at the rate determined by the last completed administrative review on November 26, 1984 (49 FR 46454). *See Certain Fresh Cut Flowers from Colombia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order (In Part) (Flowers from Colombia)*, 60 FR 30271 (June 8, 1995).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. The Department received no written