days after the date of publication, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/ or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. The Department will issue the final results of the administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing, within 120 days of issuance of these preliminary results.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and NV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping dumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of estimated duties.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of pure magnesium from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for NHCI will be the rate established in the final results of administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the final results of this review or the LTFV investigation; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 21 percent, the "all others" rate established in Pure Magnesium From Canada: Amendment of Final Determination of Sales At Less Than Fair Value and Order in

Accordance With Decision on Remand, 58 FR 62643, November 29, 1993.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: May 29, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–14619 Filed 6–7–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### [A-588-046]

## Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

SUMMARY: On April 5, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber (rubber) from Japan. The review covers six manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period December 1, 1993, through November 30, 1994. These manufacturers/exporters are Denki Kaguku, K.K. (Denki), Denki/Hoei Sangyo Co., Ltd. (Denki/Hoei Sangyo), Mitsui Bussan K.K. (Mitsui Bussan), Suzugo Corporation (Suzugo), Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh) (formerly Toyo Soda), and Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo Co., Ltd. (Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to submit oral or written comments on the preliminary results of review. We received no comments. Based on our analysis, these final results of review are unchanged from those presented in our preliminary results of review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roy F. Unger, Jr. or Thomas Futtner, Office

of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0651 or 482–3814.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On April 5, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 15222) the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on rubber from Japan. The Department has now conducted that administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

# Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations refer to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

#### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.42.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00, 4462.15.21 and 4462.00.00. HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

## Final Results of Review

We were unable to locate the following companies, Denki/Hoei Sangyo, Suzugo, and Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo, in spite of requests for assistance from various sources including the American Embassy in Tokyo, the Japanese Embassy in Washington, D.C., and the U.S. Customs Service. Therefore, we were unable to conduct administrative reviews for these firms, and upon issuance of these final results we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to assess any entries by these firms at the rate determined by the last completed administrative review on November 26, 1984 (49 FR 46454). See Certain Fresh Cut Flowers from Colombia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order (In Part) (Flowers from Colombia), 60 FR 30271 (June 8, 1995)).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. The Department received no written comments or requests for a hearing. Based on our analysis, these final results of review are the same as those presented in the preliminary results of review, and we determine that the following margins for the companies exist for the period December 1, 1993, through November 30, 1994:

Manufacturer/Producer/Exporter	Percent Margin
Denki	10.00 10.00 10.00

<sup>1</sup>No shipments during the POR. Rate is from the last administrative review in which there were shipments.

The U.S. Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States Price (USP) and Foreign Market Value (FMV) may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning each respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Denki, Mitsui Bussan, and Tosoh will be zero percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for Denki/Hoei Sangyo, Suzugo, and Tosoh/Hoei Sangyo will be the rate determined by the last completed administrative review on November 26, 1984 (49 FR 46454); and (5) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated May 31, 1996. Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–14622 Filed 6–7–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### [A-570-601]

Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results: 1989–90 Administrative Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,

International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 10, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
John Beck, Office of Antidumping
Investigations, Import Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202)

# 482–3464. Summary:

On February 27, 1996, in the case of *UCF America Inc. and Universal Automotive Co. Ltd.* v. *United States and the Timken Company*, Cons. Ct. No. 92–01–00049, Slip Op. 96–42 (UCF), the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) results of redetermination on remand of the *Final Results of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: 1989–1990 Administrative Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China.* As there is now a final and conclusive court

decision in this action, we are amending our final results in this matter and will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to change the cash deposit and assessment rates accordingly.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

During 1987, the Department completed its investigation of tapered roller bearings from the People's Republic of China (Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Tapered Roller Bearings From the People's Republic of China (52 FR 19748, May 27, 1987)). In addition to setting a rate for Premier Bearing (a Hong Kong trading company), the Department issued an "all others" rate of 0.97 percent.

Subsequently, interested parties challenged the final determination. The Court remanded the case and, on February 26, 1990, the Department issued an amendment to the final determination (Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand: Tapered Roller Bearings From the People's Republic of China (55 FR 6669, Feb. 26, 1990)). In its amendment, the Department issued a new "all others" rate of 2.96 percent.

On July 26, 1990, the Department initiated the third administrative review of tapered roller bearings from the People's Republic of China, covering the period June 1, 1989 through May 31, 1990 (Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews (55 FR 30490, July 26, 1990)). The Department initiated on CMEC (a state trading company) and Premier.

In 1991, the Department established a new policy concerning non-market economies. Under this policy, all nonmarket economy exporters are presumed to be a single enterprise controlled by the central government, which receives a single rate (the "PRC rate") (see the Final Determination of Sales At Less Than Fair Value: Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China (56 FR 241, Jan. 3, 1991); and Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China (56 FR 2742, Jan. 24, 1991)). A company is entitled to a separate rate only if it establishes that it is not subject to de jure or de facto control by the central government (see the the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the