(SPS), tendered for filing pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations, an Interconnection Agreement between the West Texas Municipal Power Agency (WTMPA) and SPS. The Interconnection Agreement cancels and replaces the four individual rate schedules SPS has with the municipalities of Lubbock, Tulia, Floydada, and Brownfield, Texas. Subsequent to the filing of the individual rate schedules with the municipalities of Lubbock, Tulia, Floydada, and Brownfield, Texas, the four municipalities incorporated WTMPA. SPS files the interconnection Agreement to allow it to sell directly to WTMPA, to harmonize its treatment of each municipality, and to revise its charges for emergency service. SPS requests waiver of the Commission's 60 day prior notice and filing requirements to allow the Interconnection Agreement to become effective June 1, 1996. SPS states that a copy of this filing has been served on the customer.

Comment date: June 24, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1970-000]

Take notice that on May 31, 1996, Virginia Electric and Power Company (the Company), tendered for filing a letter agreement implementing the rate schedules included in the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between the Company and Old Dominion Electric Cooperative.

Copies of the filing were served upon Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Comment date: June 24, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1971-000]

Take notice that on May 31, 1996, New England Power Company, filed Service Agreements and Certificates of Concurrence with NorAm Energy Services, Inc. marketers under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

Comment date: June 24, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–15253 Filed 6–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Project Nos. 1494-124, et al.]

Hydroelectric Applications [Grand River Dam Authority, et al.]; Notice of Applications

Take notice that the following hydroelectric applications have been filed with the Commission and are available for public inspection:

- 1 a. Type of Application: Request for Commission Approval to Grant a Permit for the Construction and Operation of a Marina Facility.
 - b. Project No.: 1494-124.
 - c. Dated Filed: April 30, 1996.
- d. Applicant: Grand River Dam Authority (licensee).
 - e. Name of Project: Pensacola Project.
- f. Location: The Duck Creek arm of Grand Lake O' The Cherokees, Delaware County, Afton, Oklahoma.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Robert W. Sullivan, Jr., Grand River Dam Authority, P.O. Box 409, Drawer G, Vinita, OK 74301, (918) 256–5545.
- i. FERC Contact: Joseph C. Adamson, (202) 219–1040.
- j. Comment Date: July 15, 1996.
- k. Description of Proposed Action: The licensee requests Commission approval to grant a permit to Mr. John Mullen, d/b/a Thunder Bay Marina for the construction and operation of a marina facility. The proposed facility includes the addition of 5 floating docks containing 129 boat slips to an existing facility, with 3 floating docks containing 80 boat slips, for a total of 8 floating docks containing 209 boat slips.
- 1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.
- 2 a. Type of Application: As-Built Exhibits.

- b. Project No: 5376-034.
- c. Date Filed: October 12, 1995 and April 16, 1996.
- d. Applicant: Horseshoe Bend Hydroelectric Co.
- e. Name of Project: Horseshoe Bend Project.
- f. Location: On the Payette River in Boise County, Idaho.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Mr. David O'Day, Project Manager, Horseshoe Bend Hydroelectric Co., P.O. Box 2797, Boise, ID 83701, (208) 345–7515.
- i. FERC Contact: Paul Shannon, (202) 219–2866.
 - j. Comment Date: July 17, 1996.
- k. Description of Filing: Horseshoe Bend Hydroelectric Company filed asbuilt exhibits A, F, and G for the Commission's approval in accordance with article 35 of the project's license. The exhibit A is a written project description. The exhibit F includes drawings of the constructed project features. The exhibit G includes drawings of the project boundary as described by surveyed bearings and distances. The as-built project boundary is more detailed and slightly different than the project boundary approved in the license.

Interested parties can request a copy of the as-built exhibits by calling the applicant contact from item (h) of this notice.

- l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.
- 3 a. Type of Application: Surrender of Exemption (5 MW or Less).
 - b. Project No.: 6789-003.
 - c. Date Filed: May 14, 1996.
 - d. Applicant: Robert A. Lodi.
- e. Name of Project: Advance Mills Hydroelectric Project.
- f. Location: On the North Rivanna River in Albemarle County, Virginia.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 USC §§ 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Contact: Mr. Robert A. Lodi, 1785 Frays Mill Road, Ruckersville, VA 22968, (804) 975–0113.
- i. FERC Contact: Mr. Lynn R. Miles, (202) 219–2671.
 - j. Comment Date: July 22, 1996.
- k. Description of the Proposed Action: The existing project, for which the exemption is being surrendered, consists of: (1) a 12-foot-high concrete dam; (2) a reservoir with a gross storage capacity of 12 acre feet; (3) a raceway approximately 200 feet in length; (4) a building containing the project's electrical controls; (5) two turbine/generators with a total capacity of 65 kilowatts; and (6) related facilities.

The exemptee is requesting surrender of the exemption because the project is not economically feasible.

- l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.
- 4 a. Type of Application: Amendment of Preliminary Permit.
 - b. Project No.: 11524-001.
 - c. Date filed: May 1, 1996.
- d. Applicant: Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority.
 - e. Name of Project: Middle bar.
- f. Location: Partially on lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management, on the Mokelumne River, in Amador and Calaveras Counties, California. Township 5 N, Range 11 E, and Section 16.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act 16 USC §§ 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Henry M. Hirata, Secretary, Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority, P.O. Box 1810, 1810 E. Hazelton Avenue, Stockton, CA 95201, (209) 468–3000.
- i. FERC Contact: Michael Spencer at (202) 219–2846.
 - j. Comment Date: August 8, 1996.
- k. Description of Amended Project: The proposed project would utilize the upper reach of Pardee Reservoir for the Lower Mokelumne Project No. 2916 and consist of: (1) a 420-foot- high concrete arch dam; (2) a reservoir with a storage capacity of 434,000 acre-feet; (3) a powerhouse containing a generating unit with a capacity of 80 MW and an average annual generation of 227 GWh; and $(\bar{4})$ a 3-mile-long transmission line. The amended project would be operated as a peaking plant. The amended project would also relocate the powerhouse for PG&E's Project No. 137. Six county roads would need to be modified or reconstructed for the project.

No new access road will be needed to conduct the studies. The applicant estimates that the cost of the studies to be conducted under the preliminary permit would be \$2,484,000.

- l. Purpose of Project: Project power would be sold.
- m. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: A8, A10, B, C, and D2.
- 5 a. Type of Application: Preliminary Permit.
 - b. Project No.: 11579–000.
 - c. Date filed: May 1, 1996.
- d. Applicant: SOCAL Energy Limited Partnership.
- e. Name of Project: Boulder Valley Pumped Storage Project.
- f. Location: Partially on lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management, approximately 30 miles northeast of San Diego, in San Diego

- County, California. BLM lands are located in sections 1, 2, 11, and 12 in T14S, R1W.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Ms. Carol H. Cunningham, Consolidated Pumped Storage, Inc., 680 Washington Blvd., Fifth Floor, Stamford, CT 06901, (203) 425–8850.
- i. FERC Contact: Mr. Michael Strzelecki, (202) 219–2827.
- j. Comment Date: August 15, 1996.
- k. Description of Project: The applicant is exploring two alternative schemes for the proposed pumped storage project. Both alternatives would use the city of San Diego's existing San Vicente reservoir and dam as a lower reservoir. The first alternative would also consist of: (1) a 235-foot-high dam and 93-acre upper reservoir; (2) a 20foot-diameter, 12,300-foot-long tunnel; (3) a powerhouse containing an unspecified number of turbines with a total installed capacity of 500 MW; (4) a 0.5-mile-long transmission line interconnecting with an existing San Diego Gas & Electric Company transmission line; and (5) appurtenant

The second alternative would also consist of: (1) a 180-foot-high dam, a 240-foot-high dam, and a 100-acre upper reservoir; (2) a 30-foot-diameter, 3,000-foot-long tunnel; (3) a powerhouse containing two generating units with a total installed capacity of 400 MW; (4) a 0.5-mile-long transmission line interconnecting with an existing San Diego Gas & Electric Company transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilities.

No new access roads will be needed to conduct the studies.

- l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: A5, A7, A9, A10, B, C, and D2.
- 6 a. Type of Application: Surrender of License.
 - b. Project No.: 9085-015.
 - c. Date Filed: May 24, 1996.
 - d. Applicant: Richard Balagur.
 - e. Name of Project: Great Falls Project.
- f. Location: On the Ompompanoosuc River, in Orange County, Vermont.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 USC Section 791(a)–825(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Richard Balagur, RR 1, Box 68, Thetford Center, VT 05075, (802) 785–4514.
- i. FERC Contact: Regina Saizan, (202) 219–2673.
- j. Comment Date: July 31, 1996.
- k. Description of Application: The licensee seeks to surrender the license for this unconstructed project because it's no longer feasible to build.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

Standard Paragraphs

A5. Preliminary Permit—Anyone desiring to file a competing application for preliminary permit for a proposed project must submit the competing application itself, or a notice of intent to file such an application, to the Commission on or before the specified comment date for the particular application (see 18 CFR 4.36). Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing preliminary permit application no later than 30 days after the specified comment date for the particular application. A competing preliminary permit application must conform with 18 CFR 4.30(b) and 4.36.

A7. Preliminary Permit—Any qualified development applicant desiring to file a competing development application must submit to the Commission, on or before a specified comment date for the particular application, either a competing development application or a notice of intent to file such an application. Submission of a timely notice of intent to file a development application allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than 120 days after the specified comment date for the particular application. A competing license application must conform with 18 CFR 4.30(b) and 4.36.

A8. Preliminary Permit—Public notice of the filing of the initial preliminary permit application, which has already been given, established the due date for filing competing preliminary permit applications or notices of intent. Any competing preliminary permit or development application or notice of intent to file a competing preliminary permit or development application must be filed in response to and in compliance with the public notice of the initial preliminary permit application. initial preliminary permit application. No competing applications or notices of intent to file competing applications may be filed in response to this notice. A competing license application must conform with 18 CFR 4.30(b) and 4.36.

A9. Notice of intent—A notice of intent must specify the exact name, business address, and telephone number of the prospective applicant, and must include an unequivocal statement of intent to submit, if such an application may be filed, either a preliminary permit application or a development application (specify which type of

application). A notice of intent must be served on the applicant(s) named in this

public notice.

A10. Proposed Scope of Studies under Permit—A preliminary permit, if issued, does not authorize construction. The term of the proposed preliminary permit would be 36 months. The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on the results of these studies, the Applicant would decide whether to proceed with the preparation of a development application to construct and operate the project.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION",

"PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the abovementioned address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular

application. C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title 'COMMENTS'

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the

filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Dated: June 10, 1996, Washington, D.C. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary. [FR Doc. 96-15254 Filed 6-14-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP96-213-001, et al.]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas **Certificate Filings**

June 11, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-213-001]

Take notice that on June 7, 1996. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), a Delaware corporation, having its principal place of business at 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E. Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, to amend its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity previously filed with the Commission on February 28, 1996, in Docket No. CP96-213-000, for its Market Expansion Project as supplemented on March 18, 1996 and April 30, 1996.

Columbia's February 28, 1996 application sought a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing construction to provide 506,795 dekatherms per day (dth/d) of additional daily firm entitlements to its customers over a three-year period beginning in 1997. Specifically, Columbia sought authority to: (i) increase the performance capabilities of

certain existing storage fields; (ii) construct and operate, upgrade, and replace certain natural gas facilities; (iii) abandon certain natural gas facilities and certain base storage gas; and (iv) such other authorizations and/or waivers as may be deemed necessary to implement Columbia's Project.

By this amendment, Columbia now proposes to withdraw certain proposed facility projects including 45.5 miles of pipeline and 14,130 horsepower of compression located in southern Pennsylvania, and in lieu of such projects, to lease firm capacity from **Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation** (Texas Eastern).

After the filing of Columbia's application, Texas Eastern proposed to Columbia that Texas Eastern expand a portion of its pipeline system in Pennsylvania in order to make available to Columbia an amount of firm capacity (141,500 dekatherms (Dth) per day) pursuant to a Lease at less cost to Columbia and its customers than Columbia's cost to expand its southern Pennsylvania Line 1804 system, which construction has been previously identified in Columbia's application as Projects 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.12, 4.13, 4.15, 4.16, 5.2, 5.3, 5.11, 5.12, 5.13 and 5.14.

Columbia requests Commission approval of the lease arrangement with Texas Eastern to treat the lease as an operating lease, and to recover its costs pursuant to its TCRA. The lease costs to be paid by Columbia to Texas Eastern are proposed to be recovered by Columbia as an operating cost under Account 858.

Under the terms of a lease agreement entered into by Texas Eastern and Columbia, Texas Eastern will: i) construct, own, operate, and maintain certain facilities on its pipeline system in southern Pennsylvania and make available the resulting 141,500 Dth/d of capacity to Columbia on a firm basis.

The lease provides that Texas Eastern will lease capacity to Columbia on a phased-in basis commencing November 1, 1997, consistent with the phased implementation of Columbia's Project, up to a total of 141,500 Dth/d of firm transportation capacity, plus such additional capacity as needed to accommodate retainage, as follows:

Phase-in date of capacity in (Dth/d) and monthly charge

- 1. November 1, 1997 36,000 \$242,310 2. November 1, 1998 85,800 540,750 141,500 3. November 1, 1999 807,670
- and continuing through the remainder of the term of the Lease.

In addition, Columbia will reimburse Texas Eastern for its monthly operation and maintenance costs associated with