replace existing temporary facilities, a hostel in the entrance area, a new interpretive center with additional administrative space, a 50-site expansion to Riley Creek campground, and upgraded trail maintenance in the entrance area.

Alternative C (Reduce Facilities and Services Inside Park) would reduce the level of development and visitor services inside the park and encourage the private sector to provide necessary new facilities such as overnight accommodations, campgrounds, and camper conveniences outside the park boundary. Major new park facilities such as an interpretive center and an environmental education center would be constructed outside the park as well. The park entrance area would function primarily as a staging area for trips farther into the park rather than as a destination in itself. This alternative allows for minimizing resource impacts and therefore maximizing resource protection inside the park.

Alternative E (Emphasize Visitor Services and Recreational Opportunities Within the Park) would significantly enhance the visitor experience by concentrating new development inside the park and providing a diversity of visitor facilities and services in the front country to meet a wide range of visitor needs and interests. The NPS would take the leading role in providing new visitor services. A new hotel would replace the existing temporary building, and a hostel or similar low-cost accommodations would be constructed at a separate location. A new interpretive center, a camper conveniences center, and an environmental education facility would be constructed just north of Riley Creek Campground. Additional campsites would be developed throughout the front country. New permanent rest areas would be constructed at Toklat and Savage, and trails would be upgraded and expanded at several locations. Road maintenance and repair along the park road would be upgraded to address documented structural problems as well as safety concerns and actual structural failures.

Dated: June 6, 1996. Robert D. Barbee, Field Director, Alaska Field Office. [FR Doc. 96–15451 Filed 6–18–96; 8:45 am]

## Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

## Notice of Proposed Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement. **ACTION:** Notice and request for

comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request approval for the collections of information for 30 CFR parts 886 and 887.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed information collection must be received by August 19, 1996 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: . Comments may be mailed to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave, NW., Room 120–SIB, Washington, DC 20240.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

To request a copy of the information collection request, explanatory information and related forms, contact John A. Trelease, at (202) 208–2783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8 (d)). This notice identifies information collections that OSM will be submitting to OMB for extension. These collections are contained in 30 CFR part 886, State and Tribal Reclamation Grants; and part 887, Subsidence Insurance Program Grants.

OSM has revised burden estimates, where appropriate, to reflect current reporting levels or adjustments based on reestimates of burden or respondents. OSM will request a 3-year term of approval for each information collection activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; (2) the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of

automated means of collection of the information. A summary of the public comments will be included in OSM's submissions of the information collection requests to OMB.

The following information is provided for each information collection: (1) title of the information collection; (2) OMB control number; (3) summary of the information collection activity; and (4) frequency of collection, description of the respondents, estimated total annual responses, and the total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden for the collection of information.

*Title:* State and Tribal Reclamation Grants—30 CFR 886.

OMB control Number: 1029–0059.

Summary: States and Indian tribes participating in the Abandoned Mined Land Reclamation Fund (AMLR) Program are requested to cooperate with OSM in developing budget information for use by the Director, OSM, in the preparation of his request to Congress for appropriation of monies from the AMLR as authorized by section 405(f) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977.

Bureau Form Number: OSM–49. Frequency of Collection: Annually. Description of Respondents: State and Tribal reclamation authorities.

Total Annual Responses: 26. Tatal Annual Burden Hours: 130 hours

*Title:* Subsidence Insurance Program Grants—30 CFR 887.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0107.

Summary: States having an approved reclamation plan may establish, administer and operate self-sustaining state-administered programs to insure private property against damages caused by land subsidence resulting from underground mining. States interested in requesting monies for their insurance programs would apply to the Director of OSM

Bureau Form Number: None.
Frequency of Collection: Once.
Description of Respondents: States
with approved coal reclamation plans.
Total Annual Responses: 0.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.

Dated: June 14, 1996. Gene E. Krueger,

Acting Chief, Office of Technology Development and Transfer.

[FR Doc. 96–15623 Filed 6–18–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-380]

Certain Agricultural Tractors Under 50 Power Take-Off Horsepower; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding Three Respondents To Be in Default

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission had determined not to review the initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation finding respondents Tractor Company, Sonica Trading, Inc. (Sonica Trading), and Toyo Service Co., Ltd. (Toyo Service) in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shara L. Aranoff, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–

205-3090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Neither Tractor Company, Sonica Trading, nor Toyo Service filed a response to the notice of investigation or the complaint, a discovery statement, a target date statement, or responses to complainants' discovery requests. On April 8, 1996, complainants Kubota Tractor Corporation, Kubota Manufacturing of America Corporation, and Kubota Corporation moved that Tractor Company, Sonica Trading, and Toyo Service be ordered to show cause why they should not be found in default, and if they failed to make such a showing, that an ID be issued finding them to be in default. On April 17, 1996, the ALJ ordered the subject respondents to show cause no later than May 3, 1996, why each should not be found in default (Order No. 8). None of the three respondents filed a response to the order. Accordingly, on May 8, 1996, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 13) finding Tractor Company, Sonica Trading, and Toyo Service in default pursuant to Commission final rule 210.16, and ruling that they had waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were received.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act

of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and section 210.42 of the Commission's final Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42).

Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202–205–1810.

Issued: June 10, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–15610 Filed 6–18–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[Inv. No. 337-TA-388]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Controllers and Certain Multi-Layer Integrated Circuits, as well as Chipsets and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 13, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, California 95052-8119. The complaint was amended on May 24, 1996, and June 4, 1996, and supplemented on May 28, 1996. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory controllers and certain multi-layer integrated circuits, as well as chipsets and products containing same, that infringe claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 15 of United States Letters Patent 5,307,320, and claims 1 and 11 of United States Letters Patent 4,775,550.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Smith R. Brittingham IV, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2576.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10.

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 12, 1996, ORDERED THAT—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory controllers and certain multi-layer integrated circuits, as well as chipsets and products containing same, by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 5, 7, or 15 of United States Letters Patent 5,307,320, or claims 1 or 11 of United States Letters Patent 4,775,550; and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is—Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, California 95052–8119.
- (b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
- United Microelectronics Corporation, No. 13 Innovation Road I, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan
- Silicon Integrated Systems Corporation, 2F No. 17 Innovation Rd. I, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan