

Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 30, 1985 (50 FR 4281).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 25, 1996. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 22, 1996 (61 FR 17728).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96-16152 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Correction

On March 4, 1996, a Notice of Application for Johnson Matthey, Inc. (Johnson Matthey), Custom Pharmaceuticals Department, 2003 Nolte Drive, West Deptford, New Jersey 08066, was published in the Federal Register requesting registration as a bulk manufacturer of Schedules I and II controlled substances. See 61 FR 8303. The notice invited that comments or objections be filed by May 3, 1996. A correction was subsequently published on June 5, 1996, deleting meperidine (9230) from the list of controlled substances for which Johnson Matthey made application to manufacture in bulk. See 61 FR 28597.

However, Johnson Matthey does wish to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of meperidine. Therefore, meperidine is hereby added to the list of controlled substances for which Johnson Matthey made application to manufacture in bulk.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture meperidine may file comments or objections to the issuance of the above application.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than August 26, 1996.

Dated: June 18, 1996.

Gene R. Haislip,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-16053 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Notification of Methane Detected in Mine Atmosphere

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed reinstatement of the information collection related to the Notification of Methane Detected in Mine Atmospheres. MSHA is particularly interested in comments which:

- * Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- * Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- * Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- * Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the contact section of this notice.

DATES: Submit written comments to the office listed in the ADDRESSES section below on or before August 26, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments shall be mailed to Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 627, Arlington, VA 22203-1984. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments on a computer disk, or via E-mail to psilvey@msha.gov, along with an original printed copy. Ms. Silvey can be reached at (703) 235-1910 (voice) or (703) 235-5551 (facsimile).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George M. Fesak, Director, Office of Program Evaluation and Information Resources, U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 715, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22203-1984. Mr. Fesak can be reached at gfsak@msha.gov (Internet E-mail), (703) 235-8378 (voice), or (703) 235-1563 (facsimile).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Sections 103 (c), (i), and (j) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 authorized the recordkeeping and reporting requirements implemented in 30 CFR Part 57, Subpart T—Safety Standards for Methane in Metal and Nonmetal mines. Methane is a flammable gas found in underground mining. Methane is a colorless, odorless, tasteless gas, and it tends to rise to the roof of a mine because it is lighter than air. Although methane itself is nontoxic, its presence reduces the oxygen content by dilution when mixed with air, and consequently can act as an asphyxiant when present in large quantities. Methane mixed with air is explosive in the range of 5 to 15 percent, provided that 12 percent or more oxygen is present. The presence of dust containing volatile matter in the mine atmosphere may further enhance the explosion potential of methane in a mine.

Metal and Nonmetal mine operators are required to notify MSHA when: (a) There is an outburst that results in 0.25 percent or more methane in the mine atmosphere; (b) there is a blowout that results in 0.25 percent or more methane in the mine atmosphere; (c) there is an ignition of methane; (d) air sample results indicate 0.25 percent or more methane in the atmosphere of a Subcategory I-B, I-C, II-B, V-B, or Category VI mine, or (e) methane reaches 2.0 percent in a Category IV mine. MSHA investigates the occurrence to determine that the mine is placed in the proper category to follow appropriate precautionary standards.

II. Current Actions

MSHA is seeking to continue the certification and notification of methane detected in mine atmosphere.

Type of Review: Reinstatement (without change).
Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.
Title: Notification of Methane Detected in Mine Atmosphere.

OMB Number: 1219-0103.
Recordkeeping: Certification of examinations shall be kept for at least one year.
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit

Cite/reference	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time/response	Burden hours
57.22004	1	Annual	1	1 hour	1
57.22229 and 57.22230	7	Weekly	364	5 min	30
Totals	8	365	1.083 hour ...	31

Estimated Total Burden Cost: \$2,496.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 17, 1996.

Donald Henderliter,

Acting Director, Program Evaluation and Information Resources.

[FR Doc. 96-16162 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering approval of the transfer of Facility Operating License No. NPF-58, issued to The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al., the licensees, for operation of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1, located in Lake County, Ohio.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would consent to the transfer of the license with respect to Ohio Edison Company's (Ohio Edison) 12.58-percent ownership interest in the "common facilities" of the Perry plant to its wholly owned subsidiary, OES Nuclear, Inc. (OES).

The proposed action is in accordance with Ohio Edison's request for approval dated December 29, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is required to obtain the necessary consent to the

transfer of the license discussed above. The underlying transaction is needed to allow Ohio Edison to reduce its current operating costs.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has reviewed the proposed action and concludes that there will be no changes to the facility or its operation as a result of the proposed action. Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, documented in NUREG-0884.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 5, 1996, the staff consulted with the Ohio State official, C. O'Clare of the Ohio Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the Ohio Edison submittal under cover of letter from Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, dated December 29, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jon B. Hopkins, Sr.

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-16100 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE: Weeks of 24, July 1, 8, and 15, 1996.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of June 24

Tuesday, June 25

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on Operating Reactors and Fuel Facilities (Public Meeting)
 (Contact: Victor McCree, 301-415-1711)

Wednesday, June 26

11:30 a.m.