

IA	Ottumwa	OTM	OH	Zanesville	ZZV
ID	Burley	BYI	OK	Gage	GAG
IN	Valparaiso	VPZ	OK	Hobart	HBR
KS	Chanute	CNU	OK	Mc Alester	MLC
KS	Concordia	CNK	OK	Ponca City	PNC
KS	Dodge City	DDC	OR	Astoria	AST
KS	Emporia	EMP	OR	Baker City	BKE
KS	Garden City	GCK	OR	Burns	BNO
KS	Goodland	GLD	OR	The Dalles	DLS
KS	Hill City	HLC	PA	Altoona	AOO
KS	Manhattan	MHK	PA	Johnstown	JST
KS	Russell	RSL	SC	Anderson	AND
KY	Bowling Green	BWG	SD	Huron	HON
KY	Jackson	JKL	SD	Pierre	PIR
KY	London	LOZ	SD	Watertown	ATY
KY	Paducah	PAH	TN	Crossville	CSV
MD	Salisbury	SBY	TN	Jackson	MKL
ME	Augusta	AUG	TX	Alice	ALI
ME	Caribou	CAR	TX	Childress	CDS
ME	Houlton	HUL	TX	Cotulla	COT
MI	Alpena	APN	TX	Dalhart	DHT
MI	Hancock	CMX	TX	Del Rio	DRT
MI	Houghton Lake	HTL	TX	Galveston	GLS
MI	Pellston	PLN	TX	Lufkin	LFK
MN	Alexandria	AXN	TX	Mineral Wells	MWL
MN	Hibbing	HIB	TX	Victoria	VCT
MN	International Falls	INL	TX	Wichita Falls	SPS
MN	Redwood Falls	RWF	TX	Wink	INK
MN	St Cloud	STC	UT	Bryce Canyon	BCE
MO	Cape Girardeau	CGI	UT	Cedar City	CDC
MO	Rolla/Vichy	VIH	UT	Milford	MLF
MO	St Charles	3SZ	VA	Danville	DAN
MS	McComb	MCB	VA	Wallops	WAL
MS	Tupelo	TUP	VT	Barre/Montpelier	MPV
MT	Bozeman	BZN	WA	Ephrata	EPH
MT	Butte	BTM	WA	Hoquiam	HQM
MT	Glasgow	GGW	WA	Quillayute	UIL
MT	Havre	HVR	WI	Lone Rock	LNR
MT	Kalispell	FCA	WI	Wausau	AUW
MT	Livingston	LVM	WV	Beckley	BKW
MT	Miles City	MLS	WV	Bluefield	BLF
NC	Elizabeth City	ECG	WV	Elkins	EKN
NC	Hatteras	HSE	WV	Martinsburg	MRB
NC	New Bern	EWN	WY	Laramie	LAR
NC	Rocky Mount	RWI	WY	Riverton	RIW
ND	Dickinson	DIK	WY	Sheridan	SHR
ND	Jamestown	JMS	WY	Worland	WRL
ND	Williston	ISN			
NE	Alliance	AIA			
NE	Chadron	CDR			
NE	McCook	MCK			
NE	Norfolk	OFK			
NE	North Platte	LBF			
NE	Scottsbluff	BFF			
NE	Sidney	SNY			
NE	Valentine	VTN			
NH	Concord	CON			
NJ	Millville	MIV			
NM	Carlsbad	CNM			
NM	Clayton	CAO			
NM	Deming	DMN			
NM	Gallup	GUP			
NM	Las Vegas	LVS			
NM	Truth Or Con-sequences.	TCS			
NM	Tucumcari	TCC			
NV	Ely	ELY			
NV	Lovelock	LOL			
NV	Mercury	DRA			
NV	Tonopah	TPH			
NV	Winnemucca	WMC			
NY	Glens Falls	GFL			
NY	Massena	MSS			
NY	Monticello	MSV			
NY	Watertown	ART			
OH	Akron	AKR			

Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1983 Yamaha RD 350 that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) it is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATE: The closing date for comments on the petition is July 25, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5109, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9:30 am to 4 pm]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i)(I) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115 (formerly section 114 of the act), and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Dated: June 19, 1996.

Neil R. Planzer,

Program Director for Air Traffic Plans and Requirements.

[FR Doc. 96-16046 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 96-058; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1983 Yamaha RD 350 Motorcycles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1983 Yamaha RD 350 motorcycles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania ("Champagne") (Registered Importer 90-009) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1983 Yamaha RD 350 motorcycles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Champagne believes is substantially similar is the 1983 Yamaha RZ 350, which was manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by its manufacturer, Yamaha Motor Company, as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the 1983 Yamaha RD 350 to the 1983 Yamaha RZ 350, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the 1983 Yamaha RD 350, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as the 1983 Yamaha RZ 350, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the 1983 Yamaha RD 350 is identical to the 1983 Yamaha RZ 350 with respect to compliance with Standards Nos. 106 *Brake Hoses*, 111 *Rearview Mirrors*, 115 *Vehicle Identification Number*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 119 *New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars*, 120 *Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars*, and 122 *Motorcycle Brake Systems*.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*: installation of U.S.- model headlamp assemblies.

Standard No. 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays*: installation of a U.S. model speedometer calibrated in miles per hour.

Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition

will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: June 19, 1996.
Marilynne Jacobs,
Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.
[FR Doc. 96-16117 Filed 6-24-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

[Docket No. 96-061; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) it is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is July 25, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5109, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i)(I) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the Act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless

NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115 (formerly section 114 of the Act), and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania (Registered Importer No. R-90-009) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Champagne believes is substantially similar is the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 300E. Champagne has submitted information indicating that Daimler Benz, A.G., the company that manufactured the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 300E, certified that vehicle as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards and offered it for sale in the United States.

The petitioner contends that it carefully compared the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D to the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 300E, and found the two models to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 300E that was offered for sale in the United States, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the 1992 Mercedes-Benz 250D is identical to the certified 1992 Mercedes-Benz 300E with respect to compliance with Standards Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence . . .*, 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing*