dated June 12, 1996. This Service Agreement specifies that CORAL has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co., and Pennsylvania Electric Co., Docket No. ER96-276-000 and allows GPU and CORAL to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of June 12, 1996 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: July 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 7. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-2158-000]

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing on behalf of its operating companies, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) and PSI Energy, Inc. (PSI), an Interchange Agreement, dated May 1, 1996 between Cinergy, CG&E, PSI and Southern Energy Marketing, Inc. (SEMI).

The Interchange Agreement provides for the following service between Cinergy and SEMI:

Exhibit A—Power Sales by SEMI
 Exhibit B—Power Sales by Cinergy

Cinergy and SEMI have requested an effective date of June 24, 1996.

Copies of the filing were served on Southern Energy Marketing, Inc., Georgia Public Service Commission, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

Comment date: July 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 8. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-2159-000]

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), tendered for filing a Service Agreement under APS-FERC Electric Tariff

Original Volume No. 1 (APS Tariff) with the following entity:

Ajo Improvement Company

A copy of this filing has been served on the above listed party and the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Comment date: July 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 9. New England Power Company [Docket No. ER96-2160-000]

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, New England Power Company, tendered amendments to two agreements under which it receives transmission and distribution service from Northeast Utilities Service Company and Western Massachusetts Electric Company. The amendments propose to lift the restrictions against retail wheeling in the agreements so that NEP's affiliate, Massachusetts Electric Company, may sponsor a retail wheeling experiment in selected areas of its service territory.

Comment date: July 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 10. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-2161-000]

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO), filed a Service Agreement dated June 3, 1996, with Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, PSI Energy, Inc., and Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy Operating Companies and Cinergy Services) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff First, Revised Volume No. 4 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds Cinergy **Operating Companies and Cinergy** Services as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of June 3, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to Cinergy Operating Companies and Cinergy Services and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: July 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 11. Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P.

[Docket No. QF88-438-003]

On June 14, 1996, Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. (Applicant), of 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite No. 7F, New York, New York 10021 submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the dualfuel topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Kings County, Brooklyn, New York. The Commission subsequently certified and then recertified the facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility, WCP Ltd. Partnership, 44 FERC ¶ 62,115 (1988), and Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P., 53 FERC ¶ 62,023 (1990), respectively. The instant request for recertification is due to the reconfiguration of the facility and an increase in the maximum net electric power production capacity from 31.93 MW to 34 MW. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. purchases the electric output of the Facility not taken by the Facility's host, Amalgamated Warbasse Houses, Inc.

Comment date: Fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this

## 12. Calpine Corporation

[Docket No. QF96-54-000]

On June 17, 1996, Calpine Corporation tendered for filing a supplement to its filing in this docket.

The supplement pertains to the ownership structure and technical aspects of the facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing

Comment date: Fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16814 Filed 7-01-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### [Project No. 2705-003 Washington]

# Seattle City Light; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

June 26, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission)
Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a new license for the existing Newhalem Creek Hydroelectric Project, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. The project is located on Newhalem Creek, a tributary of the Skagit River, near the town of Newhalem, in northern Washington.

In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protective measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. For further information, contact Mr. John Costello, Environmental Coordinator, at (202) 219–2914.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16815 Filed 7–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **Southwestern Power Administration**

#### Integrated System Power Rates— Proposed Extension

**AGENCY:** Southwestern Power Administration, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of public review and comment.

SUMMARY: The Current Integrated System rates were approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) on September 18, 1991, Docket No. EF91–4011–000. These rates were effective October 1, 1990, through September 30, 1994. These rates were extended on an interim basis by the Deputy Secretary of Energy through September 30, 1996. The Administrator,

Southwestern, has prepared Current and Revised 1996 Power Repayment Studies for the Integrated System which show the need for a minor rate adjustment of \$1,239,868 (1.3 percent increase) in annual revenues. In accordance with Southwestern's rate adjustment threshold, dated June 23, 1987, the Administrator, Southwestern, may determine, on a case by case basis, that for a revenue decrease or increase in the magnitude of plus-or-minus two percent, deferral of a formal rate filing is in the best interest of the Government. Also, the Deputy Secretary of Energy has the authority to extend rates, previously confirmed and approved by FERC, on an interim basis, pursuant to 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 902.23(a)(3). In accordance with DOE rate extension authority and Southwestern's rate adjustment threshold, the Administrator is proposing that the rate adjustment be deferred and that the current rates be extended for a one-year period effective through September 30, 1997.

**DATES:** Written comments are due on or before July 17, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to the Administrator, Southwestern Power Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 1619, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George C. Grisaffe, Assistant Administrator, Office of Administration and Rates, Southwestern Power Administration, Department of Energy, PO Box 1619, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, (918) 595–6628.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The U.S. Department of Energy was created by an Act of the U.S. Congress, Department of Energy Organization Act, Pub. L. 95–91, dated August 4, 1977, and Southwestern's power marketing activities were transferred from the Department of the Interior to the Department of Energy, effective October 1, 1977.

Southwestern markets power from 24 multiple-purpose reservoir projects with power facilities constructed and operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. These projects are located in the States of Arkansas, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas. Southwestern's marketing area includes these states plus Kansas and Louisiana. Of the total, 22 projects comprise an Integrated System and are interconnected through Southwestern's transmission system and exchange agreements with other utilities. The other two projects (Sam Rayburn and Robert Douglas Willis) are not interconnected with Southwestern's Integrated System. Instead, their power is marketed under separate contracts

through which two customers purchase the entire power output of each of the projects at the dams.

Following Department of Energy Order Number RA 6120.2, the Administrator, Southwestern, prepared a 1996 Current Power Repayment Study (PRS) using existing Integrated System rate schedules. The PRS shows the cumulative amortization through FY 1995 at \$355,572,353 on a total investment of \$982,272,106. The FY 1996 Revised PRS indicates the need for an increase in annual revenues of \$1,239,868, or 1.3 percent, over and above the present annual revenues.

As a matter of practice, Southwestern would defer an indicated rate adjustment that falls within Southwestern's plus-or-minus two percent rate adjustment threshold. The threshold was developed to add efficiency to the process of maintaining adequate rates and is consistent with cost recovery criteria within DOE Order Number RA 6120.2 regarding rate adjustment plans. The Integrated System's FY 1995 (last year's) PRS concluded that the annual revenues needed to be increased by 1.1 percent. At that time, it was determined prudent to defer the increase in accordance with the established threshold and extend the rates on an interim basis for one year. It once again seems prudent to defer this rate adjustment of 1.3 percent, or \$1,239,868 per year in accordance with Southwestern's rate adjustment threshold and reevaluate the ability of the existing rate to provide sufficient revenues to satisfy costs projected in the FY 1997 (next year's) PRS.

On September 18, 1991, the current rate schedules for the Integrated System were confirmed and approved by the FERC on a final basis for a period that ended on September 30, 1994. In accordance with 10 CFR Sections 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3), the Deputy Secretary may extend existing rates on an interim basis beyond the period specified by the FERC.

On September 19, 1994, and August 8, 1995, the Deputy Secretary approved extensions of the Integrated System power rates on an interim basis for the periods October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995, and October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996. As a result of the benefits obtained by a rate adjustment deferral (reduced Federal expense and rate stability) and the Deputy Secretary's authority to extend a previously approved rate, Southwestern's Administrator is proposing to again extend the current Integrated System rate schedules for the one-year period beginning October 1,