

soils, and the local communities. This list may be verified, expanded, or modified based on public scoping for this proposal.

The Draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in April 1997. At that time, the EPA will publish a notice of availability of the Draft EIS in the Federal Register. The comment period on the Draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA's notice of availability appears in the Federal Register. It is very important that those interested in expansion of the Lost Trail Pass Ski Area participate at that time. To be most helpful, comments on the Draft EIS should be as site-specific as possible. The Final EIS is scheduled to be completed in November 1997.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC* 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day scoping comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in developing issues and alternatives.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues on the proposed action, comments should be as specific as possible. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Counsel on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

I am the responsible official for this environmental impact statement. My address is Bitterroot National Forest, 1801 N First, Hamilton, Montana 59840.

Dated: July 3, 1996.  
Stephen K. Kelly,  
Forest Supervisor.  
[FR Doc. 96-18883 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

**Solitude Ski Resort Master Development Plan Update, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Salt Lake Ranger District, Salt Lake County, Utah**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** The Salt Lake Ranger District, of the Uinta and Wasatch-Cache National Forests, will prepare an EIS on Solitude Ski Resort's (Solitude) proposal to update their Master Development Plan.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received in writing by August 23, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Michael Sieg, District Ranger, 6944 South 3000 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84121.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Scheid, Environmental Analyst, (801) 943-9483.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Solitude in proposing to update its Master Development Plan. Solitude's proposal, if approved, would require Forest Plan amendments to allow an increase in permit area boundary and parking capacity on National Forest System lands.

Solitude proposes to improve their base facilities by replacing their outdated Main and Eagle Express lodges with two new buildings, which will house ski operations, skier services (restrooms, food service, day care, ski school and ski patrol) and a connected Salt Lake County Fire Station. They also propose to construct an addition to the existing Moonbeam Center day lodge to help alleviate overcrowded conditions.

Solitude's proposed base area projects include the following: a landing pad for rescue helicopters, recreational vehicle hookups, expanding Moonbeam parking lot, upgrading base transportation and visitor circulation systems, a satellite and communications base station, and upgrading the snowmaking system (stream diversion points, a pump house and dredging Lake Solitude) to provide snowmaking capacity for 250 acres.

Solitude is also proposing to upgrade its lift system by constructing two new double chairlifts and upgrading an existing lift to a high-speed detachable quad. They are also proposing numerous improvements to their trail

system and a new trail near the Sunrise lift. Solitude is also proposing summertime recreation use improvements by upgrading its mountain bike trail system, constructing an alpine slide and building two regulation-size tennis courts.

Additional information on the proposed actions is available through the Salt Lake Ranger District office. Before any decision is made on this proposal, Solitude must obtain the following: a water change application from the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Rights, State Engineer; all applicable building permits from Salt Lake County; a 404 permit from the Army Corps of Engineers; and consultation with the Environmental Protection Agency.

A scoping document, dated August 4, 1995, was sent to more than 540 individuals, organizations, and local and state government agencies. Preliminary issues identified by a Forest Service interdisciplinary team include effects on riparian and wetland areas, visual quality, transportation, parking, wildlife and vegetation, soil erosion, and water quality and quantity in a culinary watershed. Two preliminary alternatives have been identified. The proposed action alternative would permit Solitude to implement all of its proposed upgrades and may require Solitude to convert to a new Ski Area Term Special Use Permit. The no action alternative would permit use as it presently exists with no new improvements.

The public is invited to submit comments or suggestions to the address above. The responsible official is Bernie Weingardt, Forest Supervisor. A Draft EIS is expected to be filed in August of 1997 and the final EIS filed in November of 1997.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the Federal Register. It is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate during that time. To be most helpful, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see The Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that the reviewers of the draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is

meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final EIS. City of Angoon v. Hodel, (9th Circuit, 1986), and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

Dated: July 18, 1996.  
Steven W. Scheid,  
*District Environmental Analyst.*  
[FR Doc. 96-18876 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

### **Intergovernmental Advisory Committee Subcommittee Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Intergovernmental Advisory Committee will meet on August 7, 1996, at the Robert Duncan Plaza Building, 333 SW First Ave., Portland, Oregon 97208 in the Regional Forester's conference room on the 6th floor. The purpose of the meeting is to continue discussions to identify issues and solutions to improve the implementation of the Northwest Forest Plan (NFP) and in particular to focus on better ways to integrate the ecological and economic aspects of the NFP. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and continue until 5:00 p.m. Agenda items to be discussed include, but are not limited to: (1) issues which impede the efficient implementation of the NFP, (2) recommendations to resolve the issues, and (3) identification of procedures to implement recommendations. The IAC meeting will be open to the public and is fully accessible for people with disabilities. Interpreters are available upon request in advance. Written comments may be submitted for the record at the meeting. Time will also be scheduled for oral public comments. Interested persons are encouraged to attend.

### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions regarding this meeting may be directed to Don Knowles, Executive Director, Regional Ecosystem Office, 333 SW 1st Avenue, P.O. Box 3623, Portland, OR 97208 (Phone: 503-326-6265).

Dated: July 12, 1996.  
Donald R. Knowles,  
*Designated Federal Official.*  
[FR Doc. 96-18948 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

**[Order No. 839]**

#### **Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Shell Oil Company (Oil Refinery), St. Charles Parish, Louisiana**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment \* \* \* of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the South Louisiana Port Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 124, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the oil refinery/petrochemical complex of Shell Oil Company located at sites in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana, was filed by the Board on January 18, 1996, and notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (FTZ Docket 4-96, 61 FR 2486, 1-26-96); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that approval of the application would be in the public interest if approval is subject to the conditions listed below;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 124F) at the oil refinery/petrochemical complex of Shell Oil Company, at sites in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana, in the locations described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations,

including § 400.28, and subject to the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR §§ 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for the refinery shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR § 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR § 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings # 2709.00.1000-# 2710.00.1050 and # 2710.00.2500 which are used in the production of:

—Petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (examiners report, Appendix D);  
—Products for export; and,  
—Products eligible for entry under HTSUS #9808.00.30 and 9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).

3. The authority with regard to the NPF option is initially granted until September 30, 2000, subject to extension.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of July 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,  
*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:  
John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,  
*Executive Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. 96-18940 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[Order No. 838]**

#### **Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Sun Company Inc. (Oil Refinery), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Area**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment \* \* \* of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;