ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

VI

It is further ordered that respondent shall, within sixty (60) days after service of this Order, and at such other times as the Commission may require, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this Order.

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement, subject to final approval, to a proposed consent order Grey Advertising, Inc. (''Grey'') in connection with its advertising of the Colorblaster Design Toy (the ''Colorblaster''), manufactured by Hasbro, Inc. In a related matter, the Commission has also accepted, subject to final approval, and separately placed on the public record, an agreement to a proposed consent order from Grey involving claims made in advertising created by Grey for Dannon Pure Indulgence frozen yogurts.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

According to the complaint, the Colorblaster is a spray painting toy consisting of a plastic drawing tray with an oblong plastic air tank underneath. An attached handle is used to pump up pressure inside the air tank. Special color pens are inserted into a sprayer connected to a hose attached to the air tank. The enclosed instructions state: ''Fully extend handle and pump it quickly 50 strokes * * * The more you pump, the more you spray.''

The complaint alleges that television advertisements for the Colorblaster represented that the demonstrations of the toy were unaltered and the results shown accurately represent the performance of actual, unaltered toys under the depicted conditions. This representation is alleged to be false and misleading. According to the complaint, the Colorblaster depicted in the advertisements was not manually pumped to provide the air pressure necessary to operate the paint sprayer. Instead, a motorized air compressor was attached to the toy to provide the air pressure necessary to operate the paint sprayer, making it appear that children can operate the toy and complete multi-part stencils with a small amount of pumping and little effort.

The complaint also alleges that the advertisements for the Colorblaster misrepresented that children can operate the toy and complete multi-part stencils with a small amount of pumping and little effort.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to remedy the violations charged and to prevent Grey from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I.A. of the proposed order prohibits Grey from misrepresenting that a demonstration, experiment, or test depicted in an advertisement proves, demonstrates, or confirms any material quality, feature, or merit of any toy when it does not do so. Part I.A. enumerates examples of such misrepresentations, including:

1. The undisclosed use or substitution of a material mock-up or prop;

2. the undisclosed material alteration in a material characteristic of the advertised toy or any other material prop or device depicted in the advertisement; or

3. the undisclosed use of a visual perspective or camera, film, audio, or video technique;

that, in the context of the advertisement as a whole, materially misrepresents a material characteristic of the advertised toy or any other material aspect of the demonstration or depiction.

Part I.A. does not preclude the use of fantasy segments or prototypes which use is otherwise not deceptive. Part I.A. provides Grey with a defense liability if it neither knew nor had reason to know that a demonstration, experiment or test did not prove, demonstrate or confirm a representation.

Part I.B prohibits Grey from misrepresenting any performance characteristic of the Colorblaster Design Toy or any other toy.

The proposed order also requires Grey to maintain certain materials relating to advertisements covered by the order, to distribute copies of the order to its operating divisions and certain company officials, to notify the Commission of any changes in corporate structure that might affect compliance with the order, and to file one or more reports detailing compliance with the order. The order also contains a provision stating that it will terminate after twenty (20) years absent the filing in federal court, by either the United States or the FTC, of a complaint against Grey alleging a violation of the order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order, or to modify any of their terms.

Benjamin I. Berman,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–21030 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Release of Draft Findings of the Fernald Dosimetry Reconstruction Project: Meeting

The National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces the following meeting.

Name: Release of Draft Findings of the Fernald Dosimetry Reconstruction Project.

Times and Date:

4:30 p.m.—5:30 p.m., August 22, 1996.

7:30 p.m.—8:30 p.m., August 22, 1996.

Place: The Plantation, 9660 Dry Fork Road, Harrison, Ohio 45020, telephone 513/367–5610.

Status: Open to the public for observation, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 300 people.

Matters to be Discussed

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH), and its contractor, the Radiological Assessments Corporation, will release the draft findings of the Fernald Dosimetry Reconstruction Project. The draft final report provides dose and risk estimates for radiation releases in the area surrounding the Department of Energy's Fernald uranium production facility (formerly the Feed Materials Production Center [FMPC]) during operations from 1951–1988. This meeting will be held in two sessions as indicated.

Agenda items may change as priorities dictate.

Contact Person for More Information

Steven A. Adams, Radiation Studies Branch, Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, NCEH, CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, Mailstop F–35, Atlanta, Georgia 30341–3724, telephone 770/448–7040, FAX 770/488–7044.

Dated: August 13, 1996.

Nancy C. Hirsch,

Acting Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 96–21035 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–M

Citizens Advisory Committee on Public Health Service Activities and Research at Department of Energy (DOE) Sites: Fernald Health Effects Subcommittee

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announce the following meeting.

Name: Citizens Advisory Committee on Public Health Service Activities and Research at DOE Sites: Fernald Health Effects Subcommittee.

Times and Dates:

9 a.m.–4:45 p.m., September 4, 1996.

9 a.m.–5 p.m., September 5, 1996.

Place: Sheraton Springdale Hotel, 11911 Sheraton Lane, Springdale, Ohio 45246, telephone 513/671–6600, FAX 513/671–0507.

Status: Open to the public, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 50 people.

Background

Under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed in December 1990 with DOE, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has been given the responsibility and resources for conducting analytic epidemiologic investigations of residents of communities in the vicinity of DOE facilities, workers at DOE facilities, and other persons potentially exposed to radiation or to potential hazards from non-nuclear energy production use. HHS delegated program responsibility to CDC.

In addition, an MOU was signed in October 1990 and renewed in November 1992 between ATSDR and DOE. The MOU delineates the responsibilities and procedures for ATSDR's public health activities at DOE sites required under sections 104, 105, 107, and 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or ''Superfund''). These activities include health consultations and public health assessments at DOE sites listed on, or proposed for, the Superfund National Priorities List and at sites that are the subject of petitions from the public; and other health-related activities such as epidemiologic studies, health surveillance, exposure and disease registries, health education, substance-specific applied research, emergency response, and preparation of toxicological profiles.

Purpose

This subcommittee is charged with providing advice and recommendations to the Director, CDC, and the Administrator, ATSDR, regarding community, American Indian Tribes, and labor concerns pertaining to CDC's and ATSDR's public health activities and research at respective DOE sites. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a forum for community, and labor interaction and serve as a vehicle for community concern to be expressed as advice and recommendations to CDC and ATSDR.

Matters To Be Discussed

Agenda items include: presentations from the National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) on current activities; and from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and ATSDR on the progress of current studies.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

Contact Persons for More Information

Steven A. Adams, or Nadine Dickerson, Radiation Studies Branch, Division of Environmental Hazards and Health, NCEH, CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, (F–35), Atlanta, Georgia 30341–3724, telephone 770/488–7040, FAX 770/488–7044.

Dated: August 13, 1996.

Nancy C. Hirsch,

Acting Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 96–21036 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–M

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 96D–0267]

Compliance Policy Guides; Revocation and Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the revocation of three compliance policy guides (CPG's) because they are outdated and have been superseded by the more comprehensive seafood nomenclature guidance contained in FDA's ''Seafood List.'' To reflect its reliance on the ''Seafood List,'' FDA also is announcing the availability of a new CPG. These actions are being taken to ensure that FDA's CPG's accurately reflect current agency views on compliance policy.

DATES: Written comments may be submitted at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of CPG Sec. 540.750 ''Common or Usual Names for Seafood In Interstate Commerce'' (CPG 7108.26) to the Director, Division of Enforcement Policy (HFC–230), Office of Enforcement, Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Send a self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. Submit written comments on CPG 7108.26 to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857. Requests and comments should be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of CPG Sec. 540.100 ''Capelin; Prohibited From Being Labeled as Smelt'' (CPG 7108.22), CPG Sec. 540.300 ''Crabmeat—Product Name'' (CPG 7108.04), and CPG Sec. 540.350 ''Common or Usual Names for Crustaceans'' (CPG 7108.23) and received comments are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Spring C. Randolph, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–416), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA is revoking three of its CPG's that address the labeling of seafood because they have been superseded by more comprehensive guidance provided by the ''Seafood List.'' The following three compliance policy guides are being revoked: (1) CPG Sec. 540.100 ''Capelin; Prohibited From Being Labeled as Smelt'' (CPG 7108.22); (2) CPG Sec. 540.300 ''Crabmeat—Product Name'' (CPG 7108.04); and (3) CPG Sec. 540.350 ''Common or Usual Names for Crustaceans'' (CPG 7108.23). The above CPG's are superseded by FDA's ''Seafood List.'' The ''Seafood List'' includes more accurate and comprehensive guidance than that contained in these CPG's.

Developed in recognition of the need for a single source of recommended market names for an expanding variety of seafood, the ''Seafood List'' is a revision of the ''FDA Guide to Acceptable Market Names for Food Fish Sold in Interstate Commerce'' (sometimes referred to as the ''Fish