

Y (12 CFR 225.25) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notice has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" (12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 10, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (Lloyd W. Bostian, Jr., Senior Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261:

1. *First Union Corporation*, Charlotte, North Carolina; to acquire Home Financial Corporation, Hollywood, Florida, and thereby indirectly acquire Home Savings Bank, FSB, Hollywood, Florida, and thereby engage in operating a savings association, pursuant to § 225.25 (b)(9) of the Board's Regulation Y.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Centennial Holdings, Ltd.*, Olympia, Washington; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary, Totten, Inc., Olympia, Washington, in arranging commercial real estate equity financing, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(14) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 21, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson

Deputy Secretary of the Board

[FR Doc. 96-21774 Filed 8-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 942-3311]

Computer Business Services, Inc.; Proposed Consent Agreement with Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would prohibit, among other things, the Sheridan, Indiana home-based computer business opportunity firm from misrepresenting the success rates or profitability of its clients and from using deceptive testimonials or other deceptive statements to entice consumers to buy its products. The firm would also be required to disclose that federal laws restrict the use of certain automatic telephone dialing systems it sells and to pay \$5 million in consumer redress.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. Steven Baker, Federal Trade Commission, Chicago Regional Office, 55 East Monroe Street, Suite 1860, Chicago, IL 60603. (312) 353-8156; Catherine R. Fuller, Federal Trade Commission, Chicago Regional Office, 55 East Monroe Street, Suite 1860, Chicago, IL 60603. (312) 353-5576.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the following consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in

accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Agreement Containing Consent Order

In the Matter of Computer Business Services, Inc., a corporation, Andrew L. Douglass, individually and as an officer of the corporation, Matthew R. Douglass, individually, and Peter B. Douglass, individually.

The Federal Trade Commission has conducted an investigation of certain acts and practices of Computer Business Services, Inc., Andrew L. Douglass, individually and as an officer of Computer Business Services, Inc., Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, ("proposed respondents"). Proposed respondents, having been represented by counsel, are willing to enter into an agreement containing a consent order resolving the allegations contained in the draft complaint. Therefore,

It is hereby agreed by and between Computer Business Services, Inc., Andrew L. Douglass, individually and as an officer of Computer Business Services, Inc., Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, and counsel for the Federal Trade Commission that:

1. Proposed respondent Computer Business Services, Inc. is an Indiana Corporation with its principal office or place of business at CBSI Plaza, Sheridan, Indiana 46069.

2. Proposed respondent Andrew L. Douglass is an officer of Computer Business Services, Inc. and resides at 9 E. 191st Street, Westfield, Indiana 46074. His principal office or place of business is the same as that of Computer Business Services, Inc.

3. Proposed respondent Matthew R. Douglass is a supervisory employee of Computer Business Services, Inc. and resides at 9 Forest Bay Lane, Cicero, Indiana 46034. His principal office or place of business is the same as that of Computer Business Services, Inc.

4. Proposed respondent Peter B. Douglass is a supervisory employee of Computer Business Services, Inc. and resides at 18846 Casey Rd., Sheridan, Indiana 46069. His principal office or place of business is the same as that of Computer Business Services, Inc.

5. Proposed respondent admit all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the draft complaint.

6. Proposed respondents waive:

- (a) Any further procedural steps;
- (b) The requirement that the Commission's decision contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law; and
- (c) All rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the

validity of the order entered pursuant to this agreement.

7. This agreement shall not become part of the public record of the proceeding unless and until it is accepted by the Commission. If this agreement is accepted by the Commission, it, together with the draft complaint, will be placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days, and information about it publicly released. The Commission thereafter may either withdraw its acceptance of this agreement and so notify proposed respondents, in which event it will take such action as it may consider appropriate, or issue and serve its complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require) and decision in disposition of the proceeding.

8. This agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by proposed respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in the draft complaint, or that the facts as alleged in the draft complaint, other than the jurisdictional facts, are true.

9. This agreement contemplates that, if it is accepted by the Commission, and if such acceptance is not subsequently withdrawn by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules, the Commission may, without further notice to proposed respondents, (1) issue its complaint corresponding in form and substance with the draft complaint and its decision containing the following order in disposition of the proceeding, and (2) make information about it public. When so entered, the order to cease and desist shall have the same force and effect and may be altered, modified, or set aside in the same manner and within the same time provided by statute for other orders. The order shall become final upon service. Delivery of the complaint and the decision and order to proposed respondents by any means specified in Section 4.4 of the Commission's Rules shall constitute service. Proposed respondents waive any right they may have to any other manner of service. The complaint may be used in construing the terms of the order. No agreement, understanding, representation, or interpretation not contained in the order or in the agreement may be used to vary or contradict the terms of the order.

10. Proposed respondents have read the draft complaint and consent order. They understand that they may be liable for civil penalties in the amount provided by law and other appropriate relief for each violation of the order after it becomes final.

Order

Definitions

For purposes of this order, the following definitions shall apply:

1. "Business venture" means any written or oral business arrangement, however denominated, whether or not covered by the Federal Trade Commission's trade regulation rule entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures," 16 CFR part 436, and which consists of payment of any consideration for:

A. the right to offer, sell, or distribute goods, or services (whether or not identified by a trademark, service mark, trade name, advertising, or other commercial symbol); and

B. more than nominal assistance to any person or entity in connection with or incident to the establishment, maintenance, or operation of a new business or the entry by an existing business into a new line or type of business.

2. "Clearly and prominently" shall mean as follows:

A. In a television or video advertisement, the disclosure shall be presented simultaneously in both the audio and video portions of the advertisement. The audio disclosure shall be delivered in a volume and cadence sufficient for an ordinary consumer to hear and comprehend it. The video disclosure shall be of a size and shade, and shall appear on the screen for a duration, sufficient for an ordinary consumer to read and comprehend it.

B. In a radio advertisement, the disclosure shall be delivered in a volume and cadence for an ordinary consumer to hear and comprehend it.

C. In a print or electronic advertisement, the disclosure shall be in a type size, and in a location, that is sufficiently noticeable for an ordinary consumer to see and read, in print that contrasts with the background against which it appears.

Nothing contrary to, inconsistent with, or in mitigation of the disclosure shall be used in any advertisement.

3. Unless otherwise specified, "respondents" shall mean Computer Business Services, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns and its officers; Andrew L. Douglass, individually and as an officer of the corporation; Matthew R. Douglass, individually; and Peter B. Douglass, individually; and each of the above's agents, representatives and employees.

4. "In or affecting commerce" shall mean as defined in Section 4 of the

Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 44.

5. "Automatic telephone dialing system" shall mean as defined in the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. 227(a)(1).

I

It is ordered that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale or distribution of any business venture, shall not misrepresent, expressly or by implication:

A. That consumers who purchase or use such business ventures ordinarily succeed in operating profitable businesses out of their own homes;

B. That consumers who purchase or use such business ventures ordinarily earn substantial income;

C. The existence of a market for the products and services promoted by respondents;

D. The amount of earnings, income, or sales that a prospective purchaser could reasonably expect to attain by purchasing a business venture;

E. The amount of time within which the prospective purchaser could reasonably expect to recoup his or her investment; or

F. By use of hypothetical examples or otherwise, that consumers who purchase or use such business ventures earn or achieve from such participation any stated amount of profits, earnings, income, or sales. Nothing in this paragraph or any other paragraph of this order shall be construed so as to prohibit respondents from using hypothetical examples which so not contain any express or implied misrepresentations or from representing a suggested retail price for products or services.

II

It is further ordered that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale or distribution of any business venture, shall not represent, expressly or by implication, the performance, benefits, efficacy or success rate of any product or service that is a part of such business venture, unless such representation is true and, at the time of making the representation, respondents possess and rely upon competent and reliable evidence that substantiates such representation. For purposes of this order, if such evidence consists of any test, analysis, research, study, or other evidence based on the expertise of

professionals in the relevant area, such evidence shall be "competent and reliable" only if it has been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by persons qualified to do so, using procedures generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.

III

It is further ordered that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of any business venture or any product or service that is part of any business venture in or affecting commerce, shall not:

A. Use, publish, or refer to any user testimonial or endorsement unless respondents have good reason to believe that at the time of such use, publication, or reference, the person or organization named subscribes to the facts and opinions therein contained; or

B. Represent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, that the experience represented by any user testimonial or endorsement of the product represents the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the product, unless.

1. The representation is true and, at the time it is made, respondents possess and rely upon competent and reliable evidence that substantiates the representation; or

2. Respondents disclose, clearly and prominently, and in close proximity to the endorsement or testimonial, either:

a. What the generally expected results would be for users of the products, or

b. The limited applicability of the endorser's experience to what consumers may generally expect to achieve, that is, that consumers should not expect to experience similar results.

Provided, however, that when endorsements and user testimonials are used, published, or referred to in an audio cassette tape recording, such disclosure shall be deemed to be in close proximity to the endorsements or user testimonials when the disclosure appears at the beginning and end of each side of the audio cassette tape recording containing such endorsements or user testimonials. Provided further, however, that when both sides of an audio cassette tape recording contain such endorsements or user testimonials, the disclosure need only appear at the beginning and end of the first side and the end of the second side of the audio cassette tape recording.

For purposes of this Part, "endorsement" shall mean as defined in 16 CFR 255.0(b).

IV

It is further ordered that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale or distribution of any business venture utilizing, employing or involving in any manner, an automatic telephone dialing system, shall disclose, clearly and prominently, and in close proximity to any representation regarding the use or potential use of an automatic telephone dialing system to transmit an unsolicited advertisement for commercial purposes without the prior express consent of the called party, that federal law prohibits the use of an automatic telephone dialing system to initiate a telephone call to any residential telephone line using an artificial or prerecorded voice to transmit an unsolicited advertisement for commercial purposes without the prior express consent of the called party unless a live operator introduces the message. Nothing in this paragraph or any other paragraph of this order shall be construed so as to prohibit respondents from making truthful statements or explanations regarding the laws and regulations regarding the use of automatic telephone dialing systems.

V

It is further ordered that respondent Computer Business Services, Inc., directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale or distribution of any product or service, shall not make any false or misleading statement or representation of fact, expressly or by implication, material to a consumer's decision to purchase respondents' products or services.

VI

It is further ordered that:

A. Respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, shall pay to the Federal Trade Commission by electronic funds transfer the sum of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) no later than fifteen (15) days after the date of service of this order. In the event of any default on any obligation to make payment under this Part, interest, computed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a) shall accrue from the date of default to the date of payment. In the event of default, respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B.

Douglass, shall be jointly and severally liable.

B. Payment of the sum of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) in accordance with subpart A above shall extinguish any monetary claims the FTC has against Jeanette L. Douglass and George L. Douglass based on the allegations set forth in the Complaint as of the date of entry of this Order. Nothing in this paragraph or any other paragraph of this order shall be construed to prohibit the FTC from seeking administrative or injunctive relief against Jeanette L. Douglass or George L. Douglass.

C. The funds paid by respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, pursuant to subpart A above shall be paid into a redress fund administered by the FTC and shall be used to provide direct redress to purchasers of Computer Business Services, Inc. Payment to such persons represents redress and is intended to be compensatory in nature, and no portion of such payment shall be deemed a payment of any fine, penalty, or punitive assessment. If the FTC determines, in its sole discretion, that redress to purchasers is wholly or partially impracticable, any funds not so used shall be paid to the United States Treasury. Respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, shall be notified as to how the funds are disbursed, but shall have no right to contest the manner of distribution chosen by the Commission. Customers of respondents, as a condition of their receiving payments from the Redress Fund, shall be required to execute releases waiving all claims against respondents, their officers, directors, employees, and agents, arising from the sale of Computer Business Services, Inc. business ventures by respondents prior to the date of issuance of this order. The Commission shall provide respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, with the originals of all such executed releases received from respondents' customers.

VII

It is further ordered that respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., its successors and assigns, Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass, and Peter B. Douglass, shall for a period of five (5) years after the last date of dissemination of any representation covered by this order, maintain and

upon request make available to the Federal Trade Commission for inspection and copying:

A. All advertisements and promotional materials containing the representation;

B. All materials that were relied upon in disseminating the representation; and

C. All tests, reports, studies, surveys, demonstrations, or other evidence in their possession or control that contradict, qualify, or call into question the representation, or the basis relied upon for the representation, including complaints and other communications with consumers or with governmental or consumer protection organizations.

VIII

It is further ordered that respondent Computer Business Services, Inc., and its successors and assigns, and respondent Andrew L. Douglass, for a period of five (5) years after the date of issuance of this order, shall deliver a copy of this order to all current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, and to all current and future employees, agents, and representatives having responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this order, and shall secure from each such person a signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the order. Respondents shall deliver this order to current personnel within thirty (30) days after the date of service of this order, and to future personnel within thirty (30) days after the person assumes such position or responsibilities.

IX

It is further ordered that respondent Computer Business Services, Inc. and its successors and assigns shall notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any change in the corporation that may affect compliance obligations arising under this order, including but not limited to a dissolution, assignment, sale, merger, or other action that would result in the emergence of a successor corporation; the creation or dissolution of a subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this order; the proposed filing of a bankruptcy petition; or a change in the corporate name or address. *Provided, however,* that, with respect to any proposed change in the corporation about which respondents learn fewer than thirty (30) days prior to the date such action is to take place, respondents shall notify the Commission as soon as is practicable after obtaining such knowledge. All notices required by this Part shall be sent by certified mail to the Associate Director, Division of

Protection, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580.

X

It is further ordered that respondents Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass and Peter B. Douglass, for a period of five (5) years after the date of issuance of this order, shall notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his or her current business or employment, or of his or her affiliation with any new business or employment. The notice shall include respondents' new business addresses and telephone numbers and a description of the nature of the business or employment and his or her duties and responsibilities. All notices required by this Part shall be sent by certified mail to the Associate Director, Division of Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20580.

XI

It is further ordered that Computer Business Services, Inc. and its successors and assigns, and respondents Andrew L. Douglass, Matthew R. Douglass and Peter B. Douglass shall, within sixty (60) days after the date of service of this order, and at such other times as the Federal Trade Commission may require, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

XII

This order will terminate twenty (20) years from the date of its issuance, or twenty (20) years from the most recent date that the United States or the Federal Trade Commission files a compliant (with or without an accompanying consent decree) in federal court alleging any violation of the order, whichever comes later; *provided, however,* that the filing of such a complaint will not affect the duration of:

A. Any Part in this order that terminates in fewer than twenty (20) years;

B. This order's application to any respondent that is not named as a defendant in such complaint; and

C. This order if such complaint is filed after the order has terminated pursuant to this Part.

Provided, further, that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that the respondent did not violate any provision of the order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the order will terminate according to this Part as though the complaint had never

been filed, except that the order will not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement, subject to final approval, to a proposed consent order from respondents Computer Business Services, Inc., Andrew L. Douglass, an officer of the corporate respondent and Matthew R. Douglass and Peter B. Douglass, individually.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take other appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns earnings and success claims made regarding business ventures promoted by respondents. The Commission's complaint charges that respondents made false and unsubstantiated claims that consumers who purchase or use respondents' business ventures ordinarily succeed and earn substantial income. In fact, the complaint alleges, the vast majority of consumers never even recoup their initial investment. The complaint also alleges that respondents falsely represented that endorsements appearing in respondents' advertisements reflect the actual experiences of its customers and that those endorsements reflect the typical or ordinary experience of purchasers of respondents' business ventures. Further, the complaint alleges that respondents represented that consumers can successfully utilize automatic telephone dialing systems to market their businesses but failed to disclose that federal law prohibits the use of such systems in the untended mode to initiate a call to any residential telephone line in certain circumstances.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to remedy the violations charged and to prevent the respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. The proposed order extends to all business ventures and to all products or services that are part of any business venture.

Part I of the proposed consent order prohibits the respondents from misrepresenting the earnings or success

of its purchasers, the existence of a market for the products or services promoted by respondents, or the amount of time within which a prospective purchaser can reasonably expect to recoup his or her investment. Part II of the proposed order prohibits the respondents from misrepresenting the performance, benefits, efficacy or success rate of any product or service that is a part of such business venture, unless at the time such representation is made the respondents possesses and relies upon competent and reliable evidence that substantiates the representation. Part III of the proposed order prohibits the respondents from misrepresenting that a user testimonial or endorsement is typical or ordinary and from using, publishing or referring to any user testimonial or endorsement unless respondents have good reason to believe that at the time of such use, publication or reference, the person or organization named subscribes to the facts and opinions stated herein. Part IV of the proposed order requires respondents to disclose, in close proximity to any representation regarding the use or potential use of an automatic telephone dialing system, that federal law prohibits the use of an automatic telephone dialing system to initiate a telephone call to any residential telephone line using an artificial or prerecorded voice to transmit an unsolicited advertisement for commercial purposes without the prior express consent of the called party unless a live operator introduces the message.

The remaining parts of the proposed consent order require the respondents to maintain materials relied upon to substantiate claims covered by the order, to distribute copies of the order to each of its operating divisions and to certain company officials, to notify the Commission of any changes in corporate structure that might affect compliance with the Order, and to file one or more compliance reports.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed consent order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21772 Filed 8-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Federal Acquisition Policy Division, FAR Secretariat Revision and Stocking Change of a Standard Form

AGENCY: General Services
Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration/FAR Secretariat is revising SF 25, Performance Bond to update the burden statement by correcting the GSA address and deleting OMB's address for submitting comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection of information.

This form is now authorized for local reproduction, and you can obtain the updated camera copy in two ways:

On the internet. Address: <http://www.gsa.gov/forms>, or;

From CARM, Attn.: Barbara Williams, (202) 501-0581.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FAR Secretariat, (202) 501-4225. This contact is for information on completing the form and interpreting the FAR only.

DATES: Effective August 27, 1996.

Dated: August 15, 1996.
Theodore D. Freed,
Standard and Optional Forms Management
Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-21769 Filed 8-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary publishes a list of information collections it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and 5 CFR 1320.5. The following are those information collections recently submitted to OMB.

1. HHS Acquisition Regulations—HHSAR Part 342—Contract Administration—Extension no change—0990-0131—HHSAR 342.7103 requires reporting information when a cost overrun is anticipated. The information is used to determine if a proposed overrun is reasonable—Respondents—State or local governments, Business or other for-profit, non-profit institutions,

small businesses. Annual number of Responses: 215; Average burden per response: 20 hours; Total burden: 3,400 hours.

2. HHS Acquisition Regulation—HHSAR Part 333—Disputes and Appeals—Extension no change—0990-0133—The Litigation and Claims clause is needed to inform the government of actions filed against government contracts—Respondents: State or local governments, Business or other for-profit, non-profit institutions, small businesses. Annual number of Responses: 86; Average burden per response: 30 minutes; Total burden: 43 hours.

3. HHS Acquisition Regulation—HHSAR Part 332—Contract Financing—Extension no change—0990-0134—The requirements of HHSAR Part 332 are needed to ascertain costs associated with certain contracts so as to timely pay contractor. Respondents: State or local governments, small businesses—Burden Information for Cost Sharing Clause—Number of Respondents: 7; Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 10; Average Burden per Response: one hour; Annual Burden: 70 hours—Burden Information for Letter of Credit Clause—Number of Respondents: 39; Annual Number of Responses: 4; Burden per Response: 1 hour; Estimated Annual Burden: 156 hours—Total Burden: 226 hours.

OMB Desk Officer: Allison Eydt.
Copies of the information collection packages listed above can be obtained by calling the OS Reports Clearance Officer on (202) 690-6207. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the OMB desk officer designated above at the following address: Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Comments may also be sent to Cynthia Agens Bauer, OS Reports Clearance Officer, Room 503H, Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington DC 20201. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: August 16, 1996.
William R. Beldon,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Budget.
[FR Doc. 96-21760 Filed 8-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics: Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Department of