

hearing (CO-24-96) which was published in the Federal Register on Thursday, June 27, 1996 (61 FR 33393). The notice of public hearing generally relates to the carryover and carryback of losses to consolidated and separate return years.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Friedel (202) 622-7550 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice of public hearing that is the subject of this correction is under section 1502 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, the notice of public hearing (CO-24-96) contains an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of public hearing (CO-24-96) which is the subject of FR Doc. 96-15826 is corrected as follows:

On page 33394, column 2, in the preamble, under the heading "Comments and Public Hearing", in the second paragraph, line 2, the language "for Monday, September 16, 1996, at 10" is corrected to read "for Thursday, October 17, 1996, at 10".

Cynthia E. Grigsby,
Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief
Counsel (Corporate).
[FR Doc. 96-23086 Filed 9-10-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

32 CFR Part 651

Environmental Analysis of Army Actions

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This document withdraws from consideration a proposed rule published in the Federal Register on July 22, 1996 (Vol. 61, No. 141 FR 37865). The document is being withdrawn to allow for further review.

ADDRESSES: Headquarters, Department of the Army, ATTN: DAIM-ED (Mr. Timothy Julius, Environmental Protection Specialist), 600 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310-0600.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy P. Julius, (703) 693-0543.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments already received from the public will be considered when promulgating a new proposed rule.
Gregory D. Showalter,
Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 96-23175 Filed 9-10-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

33 CFR Part 165

Coast Guard

[COTP Charleston 96-034]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Security Zone Regulations; Charleston Harbor and Cooper River, SC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a moving safety security zone around vessels transporting nuclear materials in Charleston Harbor and the Cooper River. Each zone would extend 200 yards ahead and astern, and 100 yards to each side of vessels carrying the nuclear materials, during transit from the Charleston Harbor Entrance to the Charleston Naval Weapons Station on the Cooper River. The zone would remain in effect during cargo operations while the vessel is moored at the Naval Weapons Station. This safety security zone is needed to protect the transport vessels from potential protests and demonstrations by organizations that may attempt to disrupt shipments, while transiting Charleston Harbor and the Cooper River.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office Charleston, 196 Tradd Street, Charleston, South Carolina 29401-1899. Comments will be available for inspection and copying at this address between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. Comments may also be hand-delivered to this address. The telephone number is (803) 720-7701.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Jeffrey T. Carter, Project Officer, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Charleston, at (803) 720-7701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by

submitting written views, data or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identifying this notice [COTP CHARLESTON 96-034] and the specific section of the proposal to which their comments apply, and give reasons for each comment. Receipt of comments will be acknowledged if a stamped self-addressed postcard or envelope is enclosed. All comments received before the expiration of the comment period will be considered before final action is taken on this proposal. The proposed rule may be changed in light of comments received.

No public hearing is planned, but one may be held if written requests for a hearing are received and determined that the opportunity to make oral presentations will aid the rulemaking process.

Discussion of Proposed Regulations

The Coast Guard proposes to establish a moving safety security zone around vessels transporting certain nuclear materials in Charleston Harbor and the Cooper River. As part of a major national security objective to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons worldwide, the U.S. Department of Energy will be receiving shipments of foreign research reactor spent nuclear fuel rods through the Charleston Naval Weapons Station. These shipments will take place over a 13 year period. Protests and demonstrations during shipments through U.S. ports of nuclear materials, such as spent fuel rods, would place the safe navigation of the transport vessels at risk. This moving safety security zone is needed to protect the transport vessels from the risk associated with protests and demonstrations while transiting Charleston Harbor and Cooper River.

The safety security zone would extend 200 yards ahead and astern and 100 yards to each side of the vessel carrying the nuclear materials during its transit from Charleston Harbor Entrance Buoy "C" (LLNR 1885) to the Charleston Naval Weapons Station on the Cooper River. The zone would remain in effect during cargo operations while the vessel is moored at the Naval Weapons Station. Entry into the zone would be prohibited during vessel transit (which includes any emergency anchorage or mooring) and cargo transfer operations, unless authorized by Captain of the Port of Charleston.

The actual dates this safety security zone would be in effect are not known at this time. The Captain of the Port will announce the activation of this zone through a Broadcast Notice to Mariners whenever Captain of the Port Charleston

receives a firm arrival time. Maritime traffic will not be significantly impacted because of the expected small number of vessels needing this safety security zone, and the limited duration of the zone during transit and cargo operations.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposal is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. Maritime traffic will not be significantly impacted because of the expected small number of vessels needing this safety security zone, and the limited duration of the zone during transit and cargo operations.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Because of the small number of vessels needing the safety zone and the minimal impact on navigation and commerce the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Collection of Information

This rule contains no collection-of-information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposal under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposed rule does not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environmental Assessment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this action and has determined pursuant to Section 2.B.2e.34(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B that this action is

categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination and Environmental Analysis Checklist is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

Proposed Regulations: In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Subpart D of Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new section 165.708 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.708 Safety/Security Zone; Charleston Harbor and Cooper River, Charleston, SC.

(a) Regulated area. The following boundaries are established as a safety zone during specified conditions:

(1) All waters 200 yards ahead and astern and 100 yards to each side of a vessel transporting nuclear materials while the vessel transits from Charleston Harbor Entrance Buoy "C" (LLNR 1885, position 32–29.6N, 079–40.9W) to the Charleston Naval Weapons Station (position 32–55.4N, 079–56.0W) on the Cooper River. All coordinates referenced use datum: NAD 1983.

(2) All waters within 100 yards of the vessel described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section while the vessel is conducting cargo operations at the Charleston Naval Weapons Station.

(b) Captain of the Port Charleston will announce the activation of the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section by Broadcast Notice to Mariners. The general regulations governing safety zones contained in § 165.23 and § 165.33 apply.

Dated: August 26, 1996.

M.J. Pontiff,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Charleston, South Carolina.

[FR Doc. 96–23246 Filed 9–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 51, 60, 61, and 63

[AD–FRL–5550–9]

RIN 2060–AG30

Recordkeeping and Reporting Burden Reduction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed revisions to rules and notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The proposed revisions result from a thorough review of the regulations implementing the Clean Air Act. This review was part of a Government-wide initiative as directed by the President on March 4, 1995. The EPA's goal in this review was to identify and eliminate unnecessary recordkeeping and reporting burdens. As a result of this review, the proposed revisions to existing standards would reduce recordkeeping and reporting burdens by approximately 1 million hours per year. This burden reduction is the equivalent of returning 25,000 workweeks back to the private sector to boost productivity and profits.

DATES: *Comments.* Comments must be received on or before October 11, 1996, unless a hearing is requested by September 23, 1996. If a hearing is requested, written comments must be received by October 28, 1996.

Public Hearing. Anyone requesting a public hearing must contact EPA no later than September 23, 1996. If a hearing is held, it will take place on September 26, 1996, beginning at 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: *Comments.* Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (LE–131), Attention, Docket No. A–95–50, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460. The Agency requests that a separate copy also be sent to the contact person listed below.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: a-and-r-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [A–95–50]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted