# Quantity Based Safeguard Trigger—Continued

[FR Doc. 96–2748 Filed 2–8–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–10–P

#### **Forest Service**

# Extension of Currently Approved Information Collection for Special Use Administration

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Forest Service announces its intent to request an extension of a currently approved information collection for the administration of special uses on National Forest System lands.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before April 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Director, Lands Staff (2720), Forest Service, USDA, P.O. Box 96090, Washington, DC 20090–6090.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Scheibel, Lands Staff, at (202) 205–1264.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description of Information Collection

The following describes the information collection to be extended: *Title:* Special use Administration. *OMB Number:* 0596–0082. *Expiration Date of Approval:* June 30,

*Type of Request:* Extension of a previously approved information collection.

Abstract: The data collected will be evaluated by the Forest Service to ensure that the authorization of the use of Federal land is in the public interest and is compatible with the mission of the agency. The data will help identify environmental and social impacts that may require mitigation and will ascertain whether a fair market rental fee for the use of National Forest System lands is being received. The data will be collected through application forms and stipulations in operating plans and use authorizations. There are four general categories of information requests: (1) Initial and amended application

process; (2) annual financial information; (3) preparing and updating operation and maintenance plans; and (4) compliance reports and information updates.

# **Application Process**

Estimate of Burden: The information requirements for initial applications, or for changes to, for special use authorizations are established in 36 CFR 251.54 and 251.61, respectively. Public reporting burden for collection of information during the application process to use National Forest System lands varies according to the intended use and application form. Four forms have been established to collect the information. The estimated average for each specific application form is as follows:

- 1. Form SF–299—Application for Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities on Federal Lands: 4.0 hours.
- 2. Form FS–2700–3—Special Use Application and Report: 8.0 hours.
- 3. Form FS-2700-3a—Request for Termination of and Application for Special use Permit: 0.5 hour.
- 4. Form FS–2700–3b—Special Use Application for Noncommercial Group Use: 1.0 hour.

Type of Respondents: Individuals, businesses, corporation, and Sate and local governments requesting use of National Forest System lands.

Estimated Number of Respondents: Form SF–299: 100 respondents Form FS–2700–3: 1,400 respondents Form FS–2700–3a: 1,000 respondents Form FS–2700–3b: 2,400 respondents Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:

Form SF-299: 400 hours Form FS-2700-3: 11,200 hours Form FS-2700-3a: 500 hours Form FS-2700-3b: 2,400 hours

# **Annual Financial Information**

Estimate of Burden: Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952, and the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-25 require the Forest Service to collect rental fees that reflect fair market value for the use of National Forest System lands. Special use authorizations may contain specific terms and conditions requiring the holder to provide the authorized officer with the information necessary to calculate fair market value rental fees. Procedures for how the information is provided and when it is required are contained in the authorization terms

and conditions. Information requests for financial information are provided to the authorized officer in a variety of ways. Several examples include gross revenues, value of capitol improvements, number of trips and/or customers served, or a listing of occupants in a communications site building. Public reporting burden for collection of information to determine bills for collection for fair market rental fees for the use of National Forest System lands is estimated at 1 hour per response.

Type of Respondents: Individuals, businesses, and corporations required to provide information to determine fair market rental fees for the use of National Forest System lands.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2.500.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.2.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 3,000 hours.

Preparing and Updating Operation and Maintenance Plans

Estimate of Burden: Special use authorizations may contain a clause requiring the holder to prepare or update an operation and maintenance plan, when the authorized officer determines that the day-to-day operations of the use authorized needs to be enumerated. This information is useful to the holder and the Forest Service, because it outlines procedures and policies used while the holder conducts operations or business on National Forest System lands. Typically, operation and maintenance plans contain daily operating guidelines, fire abatement and control procedures, monitoring guidelines, maintenance standards, safety and emergency plans, inspection standards and frequencies, and so forth. Operation and maintenance plans are not required for all special use authorizations, but are usually necessary for complex operations, commercial uses, and situations involving sensitive environmental areas. Public reporting burden for collection of information to prepare or update operation and maintenance plans is estimated at 1 hour per response.

Type of Respondents: Individuals, businesses, corporations, and State and local governments required to prepare or update operation and maintenance plans for activities on National Forest System lands.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 35,000.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 35,000 hours.

Compliance Reports and Information Updates

Estimate of Burden: Special use authorizations may contain specific terms and conditions requiring the holder to provide the authorized officer with compliance reports, information reports, and other information required by Federal law and/or required to properly manage National Forest System lands to ensure adequate protection of forest resources and public health and safety. Examples of compliance and information requests include dam maintenance inspection reports and logs required by the Reclamation Safety of Dams Act of 1979, and the Dam Safety Act of 1983; documentation that authorized facilities passed safety inspections; documents showing that the United States is covered in an insurance policy; notifications involving changes in corporation or partnership status; documentation of compliance with nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs as required by Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; and so forth. Public reporting burden for collection of information for compliance reports and information updates for operations on National Forest System lands is estimated at 1 hour per response.

*Type of Respondents:* Individuals, businesses, corporations, State and local governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 13,500.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondent: 13,500 hours.

Comments are Invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of this agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

## Use of Comments

All comments received in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record. Dated: February 2, 1996.

Mark A. Reimers,

Acting Chief.

[FR Doc. 96-2878 Filed 2-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# Western Washington Cascades Province Interagency Executive Committee (PIEC) Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Western Washington Cascades PIEC Advisory Committee will meet on February 27, 1996 at the Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest Headquarters, 21905 64th Avenue West, in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and continue until about 3:00 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Discussion with USDA Forest Service staff about wildlife concerns, values and habitat needs in the Snoqualmie Pass Adaptive Management Area; (2) discussion of how local economic and social concerns are being incorporated into the AMA plan; (3) discussion of the possibilities, pros, and cons of changing the original designations, under the Northwest Forest Plan, of the Skagit and Green River basins from "non-key" to "key" watersheds; (4) update on issues related to Section 2001 of Public Law 104-19 (1995 Rescissions Bill); (5) report by the River Basin Study Group; (6) staff update on flood damage from late 1995 storms, and emergency repair funding efforts; (7) other topics as appropriate; and, (8) open public forum. All Western Washington Cascades Province Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Chris Hansen-Murray, Province Liaison, USDA, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, 21905 64th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043, 206–744–3276.

Dated: February 2, 1996.

Dennis E. Bschor,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 96-2864 Filed 2-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# **Food Safety and Inspection Service**

[Docket No. 95-050N]

Nominating Pesticides and Pesticide Treated Food Commodities as Candidates for Codex Alimentarius Maximum Residue Limits

**AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection

Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food Safety and Inspection Service is setting forth in this notice the procedure to be followed by an interested person who may wish to request the United States government to propose pesticides and their treated food commodities as candidates for Codex maximum residue limits (MRLs) for pesticides in food. Obtaining such international pesticide tolerances can be beneficial to U.S. food exporters because a number of countries that do not recognize U.S. pesticide tolerances may accept the use of Codex pesticide MRLs for their food imports. Thus, having Codex MRLs for pesticides resulting from agricultural uses of pesticides approved in the United States can facilitate trade with these foreign countries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Delegate to the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, Dr. Richard Schmitt, Deputy Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., (7508W), Washington, DC 20460; (703) 308–8000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Codex Alimentarius Commission (the Commission) is an intergovernmental body comprised of the national governments of over 150 countries. It operates under the auspices of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations and the World Health Organization (WHO) to implement the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme. The purpose of this program is to protect consumer health and ensure fair practices in international food trade through the use of Codex recommended food standards and codes of practice. The Commission's basic work is conducted by various committees, one of which is the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR), which has the responsibility for the elaboration of all food standards pertaining to pesticide residues in food. Such food standards are specifically referred to as Codex MRLs (i.e., maximum residue limits for pesticides in foods).

The development of Codex MRLs for pesticides follows a detailed, eight step