replacing the word "affected" with the words "adversely impacted" and by adding the following new provision:

Baseline hydrologic information required in Sections 779.126, 779.130, and 780.146, of the Regulations shall be used to determine the extent of the impact of mining upon ground water and surface water.

### III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Texas program.

# Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Tulsa Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

#### Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4:00 p.m., c.s.t. on November 19, 1996. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT.** If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

#### Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a

public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT.** All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES.** A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

#### IV. Procedural Determination

#### Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

# Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

# National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

# Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

## List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 943

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: October 25, 1996.

Brent Wahlquist,

Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 96-28255 Filed 11-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

# 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 57-8-6368b; FRL-5640-9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: California State Implementation Plan Revision, South **Coast Air Quality Management District** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed Rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from solvent degreasing. The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the

EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by December 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Divison, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812. South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns South Coast Air Quality Management District's Rule 1122, Solvent Degreasers, submitted to EPA on May 13, 1993 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: October 17, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-28062 Filed 11-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 009-0013b; FRL 5611-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Glenn County and Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control Districts

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rules submitted by the State of California on behalf of the Air Pollution Control Districts of Glenn and Siskiyou Counties (the Counties) for the purpose of meeting requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act) with regard to general preconstruction permitting.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to control air pollution in accordance with the requirements of the Act. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second public comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by December 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Matt Haber, New Source Section (A–5–1), Air & Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the State's submittal and other information are available for inspection during normal business hours at EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Copies of the State's submittal are also available at the California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Ringer at (415) 744–1260, New Source Section, Air & Toxics Division

Source Section, Air & Toxics Division (A–5–1), EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA is proposing to approve the following rules into the SIP:

Glenn County Air Pollution Control District Regulations: Section 51—New Source Review. Adopted on March 16, 1993.

Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District Rules and Regulations: Rule 1.2—Definitions (except section vi); Rule 1.4—Enforcement; Rule 2.1—Permits Required; Rule 2.2—Exemptions; Rule 2.10—Further Information; Rule 4.1—Visible Emissions; Rule 4.6—Circumvention; Rule 6.1—Standards for Permits to Construct; Appendix A—List/Criteria for Permit Applications. Adopted on January 24, 1989.

On March 26, 1990, the Siskiyou County rules were submitted to EPA as revisions to the SIP. EPA found this submittal to be complete on June 20, 1990. On May 13, the Glenn County rules were submitted to EPA as a revision to the SIP. EPA found this submittal to be complete on July 19, 1993.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: August 9, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-28194 Filed 11-1-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

# 40 CFR Part 437

[FRL-5645-5]

Effluent Limitations Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards, and New Source Performance Standards: Centralized Waste Treatment Category: Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is reopening the comment period for Effluent Limitations Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards, and New Source Performance Standards: Centralized Waste Treatment Category: Data Availability; Proposed Rule, which was published in the Federal Register on