

of agricultural drainwater. Of the action alternatives, ecological integrity and health would be highest under Alternative 4 over the long term. Consequently, Alternative 4 has been identified as the environmentally preferred alternative.

**Mitigation and Monitoring:** Whereas Alternative 2 was put forth in the draft EIS as the Service's proposed course of action and would provide the wetlands with a more secure supply of higher quality water, Alternative 5 was selected for implementation in large part because it provides a broader approach by minimizing adverse impacts to farmland, the agricultural community, groundwater recharge, and related resources in the Carson Division. Adverse impacts will be minimized primarily by minimizing the purchase of Carson Division water rights. Of the action alternatives, Alternative 5 would have the least impact on these resources. By implementing Alternative 5, with the mitigation provisions identified in the ROD, all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm have been adopted.

Potential mitigation measures were identified in the final EIS for reducing or avoiding adverse impacts to agriculture, groundwater recharge, and wildlife habitat. The ROD lists several of these mitigation measures that the Service has committed to undertake. The Service will implement the specified mitigation measures as part of the water rights acquisition program. The ROD also outlines a monitoring program to which the Service is committed. The Service will continue monitoring the acreage of wetland habitat. Once a long-term average of 25,000 acres of primary wetland habitat is being sustained and it is determined that this long-term average can be sustained, the Service will terminate water rights purchases.

**The Decision:** The decision of the Service is to implement Alternative 5, the Preferred Alternative. Implementation of Alternative 5, as described in Section 2.5.5 of the final EIS, and the mitigation and monitoring identified above, will take effect on December 19, 1996, 45 days after the signing of the ROD.

Dated: November 5, 1996.

Richard B. Moore,

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific Region,  
Portland, Oregon.*

[FR Doc. 96-28877 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

### **Availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment on Permits for Control of Injurious Canada Geese and Request for Comments on Potential Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (hereinafter the Service) announces the extension of the comment period for the Service's September 3, 1996, Federal Register publication from October 18 to November 22, 1996.

**DATES:** Written comments are requested by November 22, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Draft Environmental Assessment can be obtained by writing to the Chief, Office of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, room 634—Arlington Square, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments can be sent to the same address.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mr. Paul R. Schmidt, Chief, Office of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, (703) 358-1714.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Service announced in the September 3, 1996, Federal Register (61 FR 46431) the availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment reviewing the existing regulations governing issuance of permits to control injurious Canada geese. The Assessment deals only with how permits are issued and does not address specific control measures used to control injury problems in the field. The Service's proposed action is to issue a blanket permit, which will be available only for the period of March 11 through August 31, to State Conservation Agencies and/or the U.S. Department of Agriculture on a State-specific basis. Three alternatives, including the proposed action, are considered.

Dated: November 6, 1996.

Donald J. Barry,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.*

[FR Doc. 96-28930 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

### **Bureau of Land Management**

[MT-020-1320-00, MTM 057934A, MTM 061685]

#### **Notice of Intent to Plan; Montana**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Montana, Miles City District, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to conduct scoping and prepare an environmental analysis on the proposed lease tracts.

**SUMMARY:** On May 6, 1996, Decker Coal Company applied for Modification to Leases MTM 057934A and MTM 061685, for federal coal resources within the Powder River Coal Region. The land included in the application is located in Big Horn County, Montana and is described as follows:

MTM 057934A

T. 8 S., R. 40 E., P.M.M.

Sec 34: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$

T. 9 S., R. 40 E., P.M.M.

Sec. 3: W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$

MTM 061685

T. 8 S., R. 40 E., P.M.M.

Sec. 34: W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$

Decker Coal Company has also expressed an interest in an additional 80 acres in T. 9 S., R. 40 E., Sec. 3, for "future leasing and modification to lease MTM 057934A".

The 320-acre lease application contains an estimated 8.3 million tons of coal to be added to the two leases.

An Environmental Analysis (EA) will be prepared to analyze the proposed lease of the federal coal resource and the reasonably foreseeable consequences of this action as well as the impacts of development of the coal. The scope of this EA will be expanded to include the additional 80 acres Decker Coal Mine has expressed interest in for future leasing.

This EA will comply with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and all subsequent applicable regulations implementing this law (Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations, 40 CFR, Part 1500-1508) and Department of Interior requirements listed in the Departmental Manual 516 'Environmental Quality'. It will also comply with the guidance listed in the BLM's Environmental Handbook, H-1790-1, 10/88.

**DATES:** Any issues, concerns or comments regarding this proposal

should be submitted on or before December 20, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** All submissions should be sent to the following address: Bureau of Land Management, Todd Christensen, Powder River Resource Area Manager, 111 Garryowen Road, Miles City, Montana 59301, telephone (406) 232-4331.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information on the project contact Dan Benoit, Team Leader, Powder River Resource Area, 111 Garryowen Road, Miles City, Montana, 59301, telephone (406) 232-4331.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All interested parties including federal, state and local agencies are invited to participate in the environmental analysis scoping process. The scoping period will begin immediately and will end December 20, 1996.

The following issues and concerns have been identified:

- Potential for social and economic impacts to the area;
- Possible impacts to soils, vegetation, and agriculture;
- Possible impacts to hydrologic resources;
- Potential impacts to visual resource;
- Cultural resources and traditional lifeway values.

The public is encouraged to present their ideas and views on these and other issues and concerns. All issues and concerns will be considered in the preparation of the environmental analysis.

The scoping process used to collect issues and concerns will involve two public meetings, one scheduled December 10, 1996 at 1:00 p.m. at the Dull Knife Memorial College, Studio Room, Lame Deer, Montana 59043, and one scheduled on December 11, 1996, at 1:00 p.m. at the Sheridan County Fulmer Public Library, Inner Circle Room, 335 West Alger Street, Sheridan, Wyoming 82801.

Todd S. Christensen,  
*Acting District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 96-28876 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-DN-P**

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### Grant Awards to Applicants for Funds to Provide Civil Legal Services to Eligible Low-Income Clients Beginning January 1, 1997

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** In a notice published on October 29, 1996 (60 FR 55827), the

Legal Services Corporation (LSC or Corporation) announced its intention to award grants and contracts to provide economical and effective delivery of high quality civil legal services to eligible low-income clients, beginning January 1, 1997. The following organization should have also been included.

Service area	Applicant name
OH-15	Ashtabula County Legal Assistance.

Date Issued: November 6, 1996.  
Merceria L. Ludgood,  
*Deputy Director, Office of Program Operations.*  
[FR Doc. 96-28888 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7050-01-P**

## NATIONAL COMMISSION ON LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION SCIENCE

### The U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science; Sunshine Act Meeting

#### TIME, DATE, AND PLACE:

December 12, 1996, 1:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m.  
December 13, 1996, 9:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.  
December 14, 1996, 9:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m.

Dining Room A, Madison Building,  
Library of Congress, Washington, DC.  
20540.

#### MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED:

NCLIS administrative matters—review of minutes of July 1996 NCLIS meetings  
Reports from NCLIS Chairperson and Executive Director  
Discussion of transition from Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA) to Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) and transfer of federal responsibility for library grant programs from the Department of Education to the new Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS)  
Discussion of NCLIS statutory responsibility to provide general policy advice to the IMLS Director with respect to LSTA financial assistance and projects  
Review of NCLIS project plans for an Assessment of Standards for the Creation, Dissemination, and Permanent Accessibility of Electronic Government Information Products  
Discussion of proposals and decisions on activities regarding human resources in and for the information infrastructure  
Status report on NCLIS management review  
Discussion of:

- Outlook for 105th Congress.
- Proposal for national summit from White House Conference on Library and Information Services Taskforce (WHCLIST).
- MicroSoft Libraries Online Project.

Other matters

Portion Closed to the Public

10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., December 12, 1996.  
To review staff support requirements.

To request further information or to make special arrangements for physically challenged persons, contact Barbara Whiteleather (202-606-9200) no later than one week in advance of the meeting.

Dated: November 6, 1996.

Peter R. Young,

*NCLIS Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 96-29088 Filed 11-7-96; 2:47 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7527-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 999-90003, General License  
London Ohio EA 96-041]

### The Dial Corporation, London, OH, Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalty

I

The Dial Corporation (Licensee) was authorized to use licensed materials by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to the general license provisions in 10 CFR Part 31. The Licensee possessed and used generally licensed industrial gauging devices containing nuclear materials, principally strontium-90 and americium-241.

II

An inspection of the Licensee's activities was conducted from January 22 to February 21, 1996. The results of this inspection indicated that the Licensee had not conducted its activities in full compliance with NRC requirements. The inspection report was sent to Dial by letter dated March 12, 1996, and by letter, dated April 9, 1996, Dial responded to the apparent violation described in the inspection report. A written Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalty (Notice) was served upon the Licensee by letter dated June 18, 1996. The Notice states the nature of the violation, the provision of the NRC's requirements that the Licensee had violated, and the amount of the civil penalty proposed for the violation.

In its April 9, 1996 response to the inspection report, Dial admitted the violation had occurred. The Licensee responded to the Notice in a Reply to a Notice of Violation and an Answer to a Notice of Violation, both dated July 16, 1996. In the July 16, 1996 letters, the Licensee requested mitigation of the proposed civil penalty and alleged that the cover letter for the Notice was incorrect as to the Licensee's efforts to