public comment was given in the Federal Register (FTZ Docket 34–94, 59 FR 56459, 11/14/94); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest:

Now, therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 80D) at the R.G. Barry Corporation facility in San Angelo, Texas, at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including \$400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of February 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr., Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–3344 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of first request for panel review.

SUMMARY: On January 26, 1996 Dofasco, Inc. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping determination review made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial, in the antidumping investigation respecting Cold-Rolled Steel Sheet Originating in or Exported from Canada. This determination was published in the Diario Oficial de la Federacion on December 27, 1995. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number MEX-96-1904-01 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade

Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on January 27, 1996, requesting panel review of the final antidumping duty investigation described above.

The Rules provide that:

- (a) a Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is February 26, 1996);
- (b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is March 11, 1996); and
- (c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: February 8, 1996.

James R. Holbein,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. 96–3345 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-M

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of first request for panel review.

SUMMARY: On January 29, 1996 The Titan Industrial Corporation, Dofasco, Inc., Stelco Inc. and Algoma Inc. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping determination review made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial, in the antidumping investigation respecting Hot-Rolled Steel Sheet Originating in or Exported from Canada. This determination was published in the Diario Oficial de la Federacion on December 30, 1995. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number MEX-96-1904-03 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on January 29, 1996, requesting panel review of the final antidumping duty investigation described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) a Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is February 28, 1996);

(b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is March 14, 1996); and

(c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: February 8, 1996.

James R. Holbein, *United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.*[FR Doc. 96–3347 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–GT–M

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of

ACTION: Notice of first request for panel review.

SUMMARY: On January 29, 1996 The Titan Industrial Corporation, Dofasco, Inc., Stelco Inc. and Algoma Inc. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping determination review made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial, in the antidumping investigation respecting Rolled Steel Plate Originating in or Exported from Canada. This determination was published in the Diario Official de la Federacion on December 28, 1995, The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number MEX-96-1904-02 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on January 29, 1996, requesting panel review of the final antidumping duty investigation described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) a Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is February 28, 1996);

(b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is March 14, 1996); and

(c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: February 8, 1996.

James R. Holbein, *United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.*[FR Doc. 96–3346 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–GT–M

National Institute of Standards and Technology

[Docket No. 951201284-5284-01]

RIN 0693-ZA04

Physics Laboratory 1996 Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships (SURF)—Partnerships in Atomic, Molecular and Optical (AMO) Physics

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Through Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships, "SURFing the Physics Lab: A Partnerships for AMO Physics" will provide an opportunity for the Physics Laboratory of the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the National Science Foundation to join in partnership with American colleges and universities, stimulating outstanding physics students to pursue scientific careers by exposing them to the world class atomic, molecular, optical and radiation physicists and facilities in the NIST Physics Laboratory, and strengthening undergraduate AMO physics curricula by forming the basis for ongoing collaborations. The NIST program director will work with physics department chairs and directors of multi-disciplinary centers of excellence to identify outstanding undergraduates (including graduating seniors) who would benefit from off-campus summer research in an honors academy environment. We recommend a group of two candidates plus one alternate to be nominated by each institution, although larger or smaller groups will be given equal consideration. The selected group of about twenty (20) students will spend approximately twelve (12) weeks at the Physics Laboratory's Gaithersburg, MD campus, working one-on-one with NIST staff physicists; actively engaged in projects that combine the quest for fundamental knowledge and direct applications to problems of national importance; learning about nonacademic alternatives for research careers; living science and seeing how they can make a difference. The 12week stipend for the summer of 1996 will be \$3600. Students and NIST research advisors will be paired based on the student's background and interests in the spring, to allow for adequate dialogue between the student, the student's physics professors and NIST advisor about the intended project, to ensure that the student arrives at NIST ready to contribute, and to prepare the student's physics professor for follow-up in the fall. Good