terminate the emergency exchange agreement, and to make the termination effective September 26, 1995.

Comment date: February 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-166-000]

Take notice that on January 31, 1996, Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Tuscarora), 6100 Neil Road, P.O. Box 30057, filed in Docket No. CP96-166-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate two taps and meter stations and appurtenant facilities in Klamath County, Oregon and Diskiyou County, California, for the delivery of gas to a new customer, WP Natural Gas for redelivery and resale to consumers in Malin, Oregon, under Tuscarora's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP93-685-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tuscarora proposes to install: (a) 7.34 miles of 4-inch line (b) a 1-inch pressure regulation and meter station, and (c) two 1-inch taps and associated meter stations, at an estimated cost of \$889,000.

Comment date: March 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by

Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–3427 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5423-4]

Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee, Science Advisory Board; Emergency Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meetings: February 29, 1996 and March 1, 1996

This is an emergency notification for meetings of a Federal Advisory Committee and one of its subcommittees. Scheduling and announcement of these meetings has been delayed due to ongoing litigation that has set the schedule for the Advisory Committee's review of certain scientific documents. Information concerning this court schedule is given below.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that two meetings of Committees of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will be held on the dates and times indicated below. Times noted are Eastern Time and meetings are open to the public. Due to limited space, seating at these meetings will be on a first-come first-serve basis. Important Notice: Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating EPA office and are not available from the SAB Office—information concerning document availability from the relevant Program area is included below.

1. Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC)

The Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will meet on February 29, 1996 at the Omni Europa Hotel, One Europa Drive, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514. The hotel phone number is 919–968–4900. The meeting will begin at 8:30 am and end no later than 5:00 pm.

Purpose of the Meeting

The CASAC previously met on December 14–15, 1995 to review the draft criteria document for particulate matter (Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter) and the draft staff paper for particulate matter (Review of National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter: Policy Assessment of Scientific and Technical Information) (See Federal Register, Vol 60, No. 232, pages 62089-62090 for further information concerning that meeting). At that meeting and in its subsequent report to the EPA Administrator (EPA-SAB-CASAC-LTR-96-003, dated January 5, 1996see below for ordering information), the Committee reached closure on portions of the draft Criteria Document. However, it was the Committees' view that Chapters 1 (Executive Summary), 5 (Sources and Emissions), 6 (Air Quality) and 13 (Integrative Synthesis) of the draft Criteria Document required further review. The Committee also recommended revisions and further review of the draft Staff Paper. As part of their comments on the draft Staff Paper, the Committee recommended that the staff conduct and summarize the results of a quantitative risk assessment for the current and recommended alternative particle standards.

Preparation and review of the draft Criteria Document and draft Staff Paper are being conducted according to a schedule imposed by court orders entered in American Lung Association v. Browner, No. CIV 93–643 (D. Ariz.). That schedule would not permit the additional review and revisions recommended by CASAC. For this reason, the Agency has filed an unopposed motion with the Court, seeking to extend the schedule by the amount needed for further revisions and review. At the time of this submission to the Federal Register, there is no word as to when or whether this motion will be granted. In order to avoid unnecessary delays, however, EPA believes it is imperative to make arrangements that would be needed to meet the extended schedule. Accordingly, in order to secure meeting facilities and to provide CASAC and interested members of the public adequate notice of the meeting and the availability of documents, EPA is issuing this notice on the assumption that the Court will grant the motion to extend the schedule, even though there is no certainty that the Court will do so.

At the February 29, 1996 meeting, the Committee will review and provide advice to EPA on Chapters 1, 5, 6, and 13 of the draft Criteria Document. The Committee will consider presentations from Agency staff and the interested public prior to making recommendations to the Administrator. The Committee will also receive a briefing from the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) on the proposed methodology for conducting the recommended risk assessment. The proposed methodology is summarized in two documents: (a) Particulate Matter NAAQS Risk Analysis Project Plan, and (b) Proposed Methodology for PM Risk Analysis in Selected Cities. In addition, the Committee has tentatively scheduled a public review meeting in May 1996 for the revised Particulate Matter Staff Paper.

Availability of Review Materials

(a) Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (The Draft Criteria Document)— Copies of revised Chapters 1, 5, 6 and 13 materials to be reviewed by the Committee at the February 29th meeting will be made available to the public at that meeting. Full copies of all PM Criteria Document draft chapters will also be available for public inspection at the February 29, 1996 CASAC meeting.

Hard copies of the revised materials will also be made available in the Air Docket at EPA Headquarters, 401 M Street, Washington, DC, and in each of the EPA Regional Office Libraries during the week of February 12, 1996, shortly after they are forwarded to the CASAC Review Panel. For information regarding locations and office hours for

the EPA Air Docket or Regional Office Libraries, please consult 60 FR 20085, April 24, 1995. For the benefit of interested parties, an electronic version of the revised draft Particulate Matter Criteria materials (EPA 600/BP-95/001 a-c) will be available on the Agency's TTN Bulletin Board (reachable via modem on (919) 541-5742). To access the TTN Bulletin Board, a modem and communications software will be necessary. The terminal emulation needs to be VT100, VT102 or ANSI. The following parameters on the communications software are required: Data bits—8; Parity—N; and Stop Bits— 1. The document will be located under the Clean Air Act Amendments BBS under Title I, Policy and Guidance. For INTERNET access—go to Telenet Site and enter TTNBBS.RTPNC.EPA.GOV or IP Number 134.67.234.17. For INTERNET, we do not have FTP to download documents. Requester must have Kermit Protocol Program or pay a fee for SLIP account for downloading capabilities. Once in the TTN Bulletin Board, you must register (there is no charge for this). At the prompt for name, you should enter your name; at the prompt for password, make up a password (8 characters); select registration and enter registration information including company name. Then follow instructions.

For assistance in assessing the draft materials, please contact the Help Desk at (919) 541–5384 in Research Triangle Park, NC. Copies of figures for some chapters (e.g., Chapter 6) may not be available by this electronic bulletin board, but can be obtained by contacting Ms. Diane Ray at the numbers given below. For further information concerning the availability of the four draft chapters under review (Chapters 1, 5, 6 and 13), please contact: Ms. Diane Ray at the numbers listed below.

Due to tight time constraints imposed for completion of the Particulate Matter Criteria Document, on the assumption that EPA's motion to extend the schedule is granted, EPA will accept written public comments received by March 15, 1996 only on the revised draft chapter (Chapters 1, 5, 6 & 13) materials reviewed at the February 29th CASAC meeting. Given prior opportunities for public comment and review on the other draft Criteria Document chapters and relatively limited revisions to them, EPA will not accept further comments on those other chapters. Written comments on revised Chapter 1, 5, 6 and 13 materials of the draft Particulate Matter Criteria Document must be received no later than March 15, 1996 by Ms. Diane Ray, US EPA, 3200 Progress Center, Highway 54, Research

Triangle Park, NC 27709. Telephone: (919) 541–3637; fax: (919) 541–1818.

(b) Particulate Matter NAAQS Risk Analysis Project Plan, and Proposed Methodology for PM Risk Analyses in Selected Cities—Hard copies of these materials will be available from Ms. Tricia Crabtree, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD-15), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Ms. Crabtree can also be reached by telephone at (919) 541-5655 or by fax at (919) 541–0237. An electronic version of both documents will be available on the Agency's TTN Bulletin Board, under the Clean Air Act Amendments BBSA under Title I, Policy and Guidance. Other details for TTN access are as indicated above for the criteria document. To arrange for copies of specific figures/graphs, not adequately reproduced with the TTN Bulletin Board, contact Ms. Trish Crabtree at the previously stated location/phone number. The OAQPS will accept written comments from the public on both documents through March 7, 1996. Written comments should be sent to Ms. Crabtree at the previously stated address.

For Further Information

Members of the public desiring additional information about the meeting, including an agenda, should contact Mr. Robert Flaak, Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee, Science Advisory Board (1400F), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (202) 260-5133; fax at (202) 260-7118; or via the INTERNET at FLAAK.ROBERT@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV. To obtain copies of CASAC reports such as the one noted earlier, please contact Ms. Lori Gross on (202) 260-8414, fax on (202) 260-1889 or via the Internet at GROSS.LORI@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation to the Committee must contact Mr. Flaak in writing (by letter or by fax-see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, Friday, February 23, 1996 in order to be included on the Agenda. Since the Committee has already received oral and written public comments on the entire draft criteria document (including Chapters 1, 5, 6, and 13), public comments during this meeting will be limited to a discussion of those new issues contained in the relevant chapters of the Criteria Document (Chapters 1, 5, 6 and 13) and any comments concerning the risk assessment methodology. The request should identify the name of the

individual who will make the presentation, the organization (if any) they will represent, any requirements for audio visual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35mm projector, chalkboard, etc), and at least 35 copies of an outline of the issues to be addressed or the presentation itself.

Written comments to CASAC (as part of its FACA process) on Chapters 1, 5, 6 and 13 and the risk assessment methodology will be accepted up until the meeting, as is our normal practice. In case of extreme hardship, provision can be made for individual commentors to provide written comments directly to Committee members/consultants up through March 6, 1996. This limitation is imposed because, on the assumption that EPA's motion to extend the schedule is granted, the Committee must close on its review and forward its final recommendations on the Criteria Document to the EPA Administrator by Friday, March 15, 1996. Please contact Mr. Flaak (address above) for further details.

2. CASAC Technical Subcommittee for Fine Particle Monitoring

The CASAC Fine Particle Monitoring Subcommittee will meet on March 1, 1996 at the Omni Europa Hotel, One Europa Drive, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514. The hotel phone number is 919–968–4900. The meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m. and end no later than 1:00 p.m. This is the first meeting of this Subcommittee. An additional public meeting or public teleconference is planned but not yet scheduled.

Purpose of the Meeting

This technical subcommittee of CASAC has been established to provide advice and comment to EPA on appropriate methods and network strategies for monitoring fine particles in the context of implementing a possible revised national ambient air quality standard for particulate matter. In preparation for the meeting, EPA has produced the following three draft documents: (a) Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Staff Recommendations for Characteristics of a Fine Particle Federal Reference Method; (b) Development of a Federal Reference Method for Fine Particles: Current Methodology; and (c) Regulatory Monitoring Strategy for a Revised PM NAAQS: A Blueprint for a New National Monitoring Program for

At the meeting, staff from the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards and the National Exposure Research Laboratory will provide a briefing regarding its approach to a possible fine particle Federal Reference Method and Performance Requirements for Reference and Equivalent Methods and give an overview of the draft guidance for network design, siting and operations.

Availability of Review Materials

Hard copies of the materials will be available from Ms. Tricia Crabtree, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD-15), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Ms. Crabtree can also be reached by telephone at (919) 541-5655 or by fax at (919) 541-0237. Electronic versions of the documents will be available on the Agency's TTN Bulletin Board, under the Clean Air Act Amendments BBSA under Title I, Policy and Guidance. Other details for TTN access are as indicated above for the criteria document. To arrange for copies of specific figures/graphs, not adequately reproduced with the TTN Bulletin Board, contact Ms. Trish Crabtree at the previously stated location/phone number. The OAQPS will accept written comments from the public on both documents through March 15, 1996. Written comments should be sent to Ms. Crabtree at the previously stated address.

For Further Information

Members of the public desiring additional information about the meeting, including an agenda, should contact Mr. Robert Flaak, Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee, Science Advisory Board (1400F), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (202) 260–5133; fax at (202) 260–7118; or via the INTERNET at FLAAK.ROBERT@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation to the Subcommittee concerning the regulatory packages must contact Mr. Flaak in writing (by letter or by fax—see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, Friday, February 23, 1996 in order to be included on the Agenda. The request should identify the name of the individual who will make the presentation, the organization (if any) they will represent, any requirements for audio visual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35mm projector, chalkboard, etc), and at least 35 copies of an outline of the issues to be addressed or the presentation itself.

Written comments to the Subcommittee concerning the regulatory packages will be accepted until March 15, 1996. Please send these comments directly to Mr. Flaak (35 copies please).

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, each individual or group making an oral presentation will be limited to a total time of five minutes. For conference call meetings, opportunities for oral comment are limited to no more than five minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments of any length (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date, may be mailed to the relevant SAB committee or subcommittee prior to its meeting; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the committee at its meeting. Written comments may be provided to the relevant committee or subcommittee up until the time of its meeting, unless other publicly announced arrangements have been made.

Date: February 9, 1996.

Robert Flaak,

Acting Staff Director, Science Advisory Board. [FR Doc. 96–3484 Filed 2–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P 214

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

February 8, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.