Eleventh Street Arkansas River Bridge (Route 66 in Oklahoma MPS), US 66 over the Arkansas R., from Tulsa to W. Tulsa, Tulsa, 96001488

Sinclair Service Station (Route 66 in Oklahoma MPS), 3501 E. 11th St., Tulsa, 96001486

PUERTO RICO

Vega Baja Municipality

Casa Alonso, 34 Betances St., Veja Baja, 96001491

WASHINGTON

Spokane County

Central Steam Heat Plant, 152 S. Post St. and 815 W. Railroad Ave., Spokane, 96001492

Whatcom County

Peace Arch, Peace Arch State Park, US 5 at the US-Canadian border, Blaine, 96001493 Washington Grocery Company Warehouse, 1125 Railroad Ave., Bellingham, 96001494

[FR Doc. 96–30202 Filed 11–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

Notice of Intent to Repatriate a Cultural Item from Alaska in the Possession of the Denver Museum of Natural History, Denver, CO

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is hereby given under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990 of the intent to repatriate a cultural item in the possession of the Denver Museum of Natural History, Denver, CO, that meets the definition of "cultural patrimony" under section 2 of the act.

The hat is of circular wooden construction, eighteen inches in diameter at the lower rim and seven inches high at the crown. It is decorated with paint, hair, cowrie and abalone shells. A separate wooden crest, nine inches in height, is attached to the top of the hat. The hat with the crest and the carving on the face of it looks like a Northwest Pacific Coast representation of a Killerwhale.

The hat was sold by Annie Jacobs to Michael R. Johnson in January 1974. The hat was purchased by Francis V. and Mary W. A. Crane in 1975 and donated to the Denver Museum of Natural History in 1976.

Evidence provided by the Jacobs Family of the Dakla'aweidi clan and additional information provided by the Dakla'aweidi clan through the Central Council of the Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska identifies the hat as a Killerwhale Clan hat (Keet S'aaxw) of the Dakla'aweidi Killerwhale House. They further state that the hat is an object of cultural patrimony with

ongoing historical, traditional, and cultural importance to the Tlingit people. Lastly, they assert that no individual has the legal right to alienate this clan hat and that sale of the hat to Mr. Johnson was done without the approval of the clan.

Officials of the Denver Museum of Natural History have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(D), this cultural item has ongoing historical, traditional, and cultural importance central to the culture itself, and could not have been alienated, appropriated, or conveyed by any individual. Officials of the Denver Museum of Natural History have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between this item and the Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska acting on behalf of the Dakla'aweidi Clan.

Copies of this notice have been sent to the Central Council of the Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska and of the Dakla'aweidi Clan. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with this object should contact Dr. Robert B. Pickering, Department of Anthropology, Denver Museum of Natural History 2001 Colorado Blvd., Denver, CO 80205–5798, telephone: (303) 370–6492, FAX (303) 331-6492, email rpick@csn.org before December 27, 1996. Repatriation of the object to the Central Council of the Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska on behalf of the Dakla'aweidi Clan, may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: November 21, 1996 Richard C. Waldbauer, Acting, Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Acting Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program. [FR Doc. 96-30341 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

Bureau of Reclamation

Bay-Delta Advisory Council's Ecosystem Roundtable Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council's (BDAC) Ecosystem Roundtable will meet to discuss several issues including: mission and principles for the Ecosystem Roundtable, tools available for the Ecosystem Roundtable to use, objectives for the various programs, and discussion regarding how short-term priorities should be set. This meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the Ecosystem Roundtable or may file written statements of consideration.

DATES: The Bay-Delta Advisory
Council's Ecosystem Roundtable meeting will be held from 9:00 am to 3:00 pm on Friday, December 13, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The Ecosystem Roundtable will meet at the State Water Resources Control Board Hearing Room, 901 P
Street, Sacramento, CA.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Cindy Darling, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657–2666. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Office at (916) 653–6952 or TDD (916) 653–6934 at least one week prior to the meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta system) is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the state of California and the Federal government are working together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop longterm solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all of the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The CALFED Bay-Delta Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long term

solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual workplans to implement ecosystem restoration projects and programs.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: November 19, 1996. Roger Patterson, Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region. [FR Doc. 96–30203 Filed 11–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94P–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-387]

Certain Self-Powered Fiber Optic Modems; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia P. Johnson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205– 3098.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on April 25, 1996, on behalf of Patton Electronics Co. (Patton) of Gaithersburg, Maryland. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within

the United States after importation of certain self-powered fiber optic modems that allegedly infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,161,650, (the '650 patent) and that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The notice of investigation named RAD Data Communications, Ltd., of Tel Aviv, Israel and RAD Data Communications, Inc. (collectively "RAD") of Mahwah, New Jersey as respondents.

On October 11, 1996, Patton and RAD filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On October 23, 1996, the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed a response in support of the joint motion to terminate the investigation. On October 24, 1996, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 16) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. 210.42.

Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

Issued: November 21, 1996. By order of the Commission. Donna R. Koehnke, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–30321 Filed 11–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[Investigation No. 337-TA-391]

Certain Toothbrushes and the Packaging Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 25, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Procter & Gamble Company, One Procter &

Gamble Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45202. An amended complaint was filed on November 14, 1996, and supplementary letters were filed on November 18 and 19, 1996. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toothbrushes and packaging thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Des. 328,392 and U.S. Copyright Registration No. TX 4-103-537. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue permanent exclusion orders and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2571.

Authority

The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (1996).

Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 21, 1996, *Ordered that*—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine—
- (a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain toothbrushes by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Des. 328,392;
- (b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the