

fair market price is acceptable to the nonprofit agency is that the earlier price included a charge to restore the buildings from the condition the commenting company's poor performance had left them in. This restoration was completed during the nonprofit agency's first contract for the service.

Addition of this service to the Procurement List will guarantee the creation of jobs for people with severe disabilities, who have an unemployment rate far above people without severe disabilities. The Committee believes that this outweighs the possible loss of employment by the commenting company's owners and employees, as they are more likely to find other employment than people with severe disabilities would be, even considering the state of the market for janitorial service. After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the service, fair market price, and impact of the addition on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the service listed below is suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46-48c and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the service to the Government.
2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the service.
3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the service to the Government.
4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following service is hereby added to the Procurement List: Janitorial/Custodial DITCO Buildings 3600, 3178 and 3179 Scott Air Force Base, Illinois

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective

date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Beverly L. Milkman,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 96-3596 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

El Paso Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Application

[Docket No. CP96-173-000]

February 12, 1996.

Take notice that on February 6, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP96-173-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a transportation service for Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, which was authorized in Docket No. CP78-239, *et al.*, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to abandon the transportation service because it is no longer needed and has terminated on own its terms on May 1, 1989.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 4, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of

the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for El Paso to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3532 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-165-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 12, 1996.

Take notice that on January 31, 1996, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed, in the above docket, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212), for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point and realign natural gas volumes under its blanket authority issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, FGT proposes to construct and operate a delivery point near its existing 20-inch and 26-inch mainlines in Indian River County, Florida to serve City Gas Company of Florida, a Division of NUI Corporation (City Gas) under two firm transportation service agreements pursuant to FGT's FERC Rate Schedules FTS-1 and FTS-2. FGT also proposes to realign Maximum Daily Quantities and Maximum Daily Transportation Quantities to the proposed delivery point from the Cutler Ridge and St. Lucie Divisions.

FGT states that its tariff allows additional delivery points and the realignment of gas volumes. FGT also states that its gas deliveries to City Gas would remain within the currently authorized levels and have no disadvantageous impact on FGT's other existing customers.

FGT states that City Gas would reimburse it for all costs directly and indirectly incurred by FGT for the

construction which is estimated to be \$128,200 and includes federal income tax gross-up.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity is deemed to be authorized effective on the day after the time allowed for filing a protest.

If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3531 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-175-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 12, 1996

Take notice that on February 7, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP96-175-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to upgrade an existing meter station at Geismar, Ascension Parish, Louisiana, under Koch's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-420-000¹ pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch states that the replacement of existing regulators with larger regulators will better accommodate deliveries to the Borden Chemical & Plastics Plant (Borden) on behalf of Koch Gas Services (KGS). Koch states it will increase delivery capacity at the meter station from 40,000 to 82,000 MMBtu per day to Borden, under Koch's ITS Rate Schedule (ITS). Koch states that it currently provides interruptible service to KGS pursuant to Koch's blanket transportation certificate²; and that, the ITS agreement was filed with the

Commission in Docket No. ST95-2504. Koch further states that the volumes proposed to be delivered for KGS will be within KGS's currently effective entitlement.

Koch states that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed service without detriment or disadvantage to its other existed customers; and that its tariff does not prohibit the proposed modification of facilities. Koch states that the estimated cost is \$4,866.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3533 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-164-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.; Notice of Application and Site Visit

February 12, 1996.

Take notice that on January 31, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 1010 Milam St., Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-164-000 an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authority to: (1) Transport up to 90,000 Dth of natural gas per day on a firm basis for Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation (DOMAC); (2) construct and operate facilities to provide such service; and (3) abandon all or any part of the authorized facilities or service upon the expiration of the underlying contracts, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Tennessee proposes to transport gas for DOMAC from DOMAC's LNG plant in Everett, Massachusetts to fifteen delivery points in Zone 6 on Tennessee's pipeline system, commencing on November 1, 1998, in the following quantities:

Date	Quantity (Dth/ day)
November 1, 1998	55,000
November 1, 1999	70,000
November 1, 2000	80,000
November 1, 2001	90,000

To provide the service, Tennessee proposes to construct and operate 7.54 miles of 20-inch pipeline and related facilities from DOMAC's LNG plant to a point on Tennessee's Revere lateral in Saugus, Massachusetts at an estimated cost of \$25,871,276.

Tennessee would charge DOMAC its Part 284 rate under Rate Schedule FT-A for the service, and requests a binding determination from the Commission that the costs of the facilities will not be rolled-in to Tennessee's systemwide cost of service for the 15-year term of the proposed service.

Take further notice that on March 4, 1996, the Office of Pipeline Regulation staff, accompanied by representatives of Tennessee, will conduct a site visit to the locations of facilities being proposed a Tennessee in the DOMAC Project. The proposed facilities are located in the cities of Revere, Malden and Everett, and the town of Saugus, Massachusetts. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation. For further information, call Ms. Lauren O'Donnell, (202) 208-0325.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 4, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426) a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is

¹ See, 20 FERC ¶ 62,416 (1982).

² See, 42 FERC ¶ 62,027 (1988).