of the proposed collection of information listed below.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Filing Objections and Requests for a Hearing on a Regulation or Order, 21 CFR Part 12, (OMB Control Number 0910–0184—Extension)

Under section 701(e)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 371(e)(2)), within 30 days after publication of a regulation or order, any person adversely affected by such regulations or order may file objections and request a public hearing. The implementing regulations for these statutory requirements are found at 21 CFR 12.22, which sets forth the format and instructions for filing objections and requests for a hearing. Each objection for which a hearing has been requested must be separately numbered and specify with particularity the provision of the regulation or the proposed order objected to. In addition, each objection must include a detailed description and analysis of the factual information to be presented in support of the objection as well as any report or other document relied on, with some exceptions. Failure to include this information constitutes a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. FDA uses the description and analysis only for the purpose of determining whether a hearing request is justified. The description and analysis do not limit the evidence that may be presented if a hearing is granted.

Respondents to this information collection are those parties that may be adversely affected by an order or regulation.

FDA estimates the burden of the collection of information provisions for these regulations as follows:

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

21 CFR Section	No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
12.22	60	1	60	20	1,200

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

The burden estimate for this collection of information is based on agency data received on this administrative procedure for the past 3 years. Agency personnel responsible for processing the filing of objections and requests for a public hearing on a specific regulation or order, estimate approximately 60 requests are received by the agency annually, with each requiring approximately 20 hours of preparation time.

Dated: November 27, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 96–31054 Filed 12–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

[Docket No. 96N–0261]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Reinstatement

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Submit written comments on the collection of information by January 6, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the collection of information to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, New Executive Office Bldg., 725 17th St. NW., rm. 10235, Washington, DC, Attn: Desk Officer for FDA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Bigelow, Office of Information Resources Management (HFA–250), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 16B–19, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–1479.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507), FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Reclassification Petitions for Medical Devices—21 CFR Part 860—(OMB Control Number 0910–0138—Reinstatement)

Under sections 513(e) and (f), 514(b), 515(b), and 520(l) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 360c(e) and (f), 360d(b), 360e(b), and 360j(l)) and 21 CFR part 860, subpart C, FDA has the responsibility to collect data and information contained in reclassification petitions. The reclassification provisions of the act allow any person to petition for reclassification of a medical device from any one of three classes (I, II, and III) to another class. The reclassification procedures regulation (§ 860.123) requires the submission of sufficient, valid scientific evidence demonstrating that the proposed classification will provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device for its intended use. The reclassification provisions of the act serve primarily as a vehicle for manufacturers to seek reclassification from a higher to a lower class, thereby reducing the regulatory requirements applicable to a particular device. The reclassification petitions requesting classification from class III to class II or class I, if approved, provide an alternative route to the market in lieu of premarket approval for class III devices.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

21 CFR Section	No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
860.123	11	1	11	500	5,500

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Based on current trends, FDA anticipates that 11 petitions will be submitted each year. The time required to prepare and submit a reclassification petition, including the time needed to assemble supporting data, averages 500 hours per petition. This average is based upon estimates by FDA administrative and technical staff who are familiar with the requirements for submission of a reclassification petition, have consulted and advised manufacturers on these requirements, and have reviewed the documentation submitted.

Dated: November 27, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 96–31049 Filed 12–05–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

[Docket No. 96F–0410]

Betty J. Pendleton; Filing of Food Additive Petition (Animal Use) Sodium Stearate

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Betty J. Pendleton has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of sodium stearate as an anticaking agent in animal feed.

DATES: Written comments on the petitioner's environmental assessment by February 4, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry E. Ekperigin, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–222), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–594–1724.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a food additive petition (FAP 2236) has been filed by Betty J. Pendleton, 15505 Country Ridge Dr., Chesterfield, MO 63017. The petition proposes to amend the food additive regulations in part 573 *Food Additives Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals* (21 CFR part 573) to provide for the safe use of sodium stearate as an anticaking agent in animal feed.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. To encourage public participation consistent with regulations promulgated under the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR 1501.4(b)), the agency is placing the environmental assessment submitted with the petition that is the subject of this notice on public display at the Dockets Management Branch (address above) for public review and comment. Interested persons may, on or before February 4, 1997, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will also place on public display any amendments to, or comments on, the petitioner's environmental assessment without further announcement in the Federal Register. If, based on its review, the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's findings of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the Federal Register in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: November 15, 1996.

Michael J. Blackwell,

Deputy Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 96–31048 Filed 12–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

[Docket No. 96P–0090]

Determination That Testosterone Propionate 2% Ointment Was Not Withdrawn From Sale for Reasons of Safety or Effectiveness

Note: This document was originally published at 61 FR 59233, on Thursday, November 21, 1996. The document was inadvertently published with an incorrect signature. For the convenience of the reader, the document is being republished in its entirety.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined that testosterone propionate 2% ointment (Perandren Ointment) was not withdrawn from sale for reasons of safety or effectiveness. This determination will allow FDA to approve abbreviated new drug applications (ANDA's) for testosterone propionate 2% ointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary E. Catchings, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–7), Food and Drug Administration, 7520 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–594–2041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1984, Congress passed into law the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98–417) (the 1984 amendments), which authorized the approval of duplicate versions of drug products approved under an ANDA procedure. ANDA sponsors must, with certain exceptions, show that the drug for which they are seeking approval contains the same active ingredient in the same strength and dosage form as the listed drug, which is a version of the drug that was previously approved under a new drug application (NDA). Sponsors of ANDA's do not have to repeat the extensive clinical testing otherwise necessary to gain approval of an NDA. The only clinical data required in an ANDA are data to show that the drug that is the subject of the ANDA is bioequivalent to the listed drug.

The 1984 amendments included what is now section 505(j)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act)