

[Inv. No. 337-TA-381]

**Certain Electronic Products, Including Semiconductor Products, Manufactured by Certain Processes; Notice of Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 16, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Texas Instruments Incorporated, 13500 North Central Expressway, Dallas, Texas 75265. Supplements to the complaint were filed on January 31, 1996 and February 5, 1996. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic products, including semiconductor products, that are manufactured, produced, and assembled using processes that are covered by one or more claims of U.S. Letters Patent 4,884,674; U.S. Letters Patent 5,216,613; and U.S. Letters Patent 4,490,209.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2572.

**AUTHORITY:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10.

**SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 14, 1996, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic products, including semiconductor products, manufactured by processes covered by claims 1-8 or 9 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,884,674; claims 1-6 or 7 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,216,613; or claims 1-14 or 15 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,490,209; and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—

Texas Instruments Incorporated, 13500 North Central Expressway, Dallas, Texas 75265

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd.,  
Samsung Main Building, 10th Floor, 250,  
2-ka Taepyeong-Ro Chung-Ku, Seoul, Korea  
Samsung America, Inc., 105 Challenger Road,  
Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660  
Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., 3655 North  
First Street, San Jose, California 95134

(c) Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401-Q, Washington, D.C. 20436, shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to sections 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the

allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 15, 1996.

Donna R. Koehnke,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3955 Filed 2-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigation No. 337-TA-372]

**Certain Neodymium-Iron-Boron Magnets, Magnet Alloys, and Articles Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decisions to Extend Target Date for Completion of Investigation, Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337, and of the Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the initial determination (ID) issued on December 11, 1995, by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation. That ID found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain neodymium-iron-boron magnets. Notice is also hereby given that the Commission has decided to extend the target date for completion of this investigation from March 11, 1996, to March 29, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyle B. Vander Schaaf, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3107. Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that

information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 3, 1995, the Commission instituted an investigation of a complaint filed by Crucible Materials Corporation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged that respondents imported, sold for importation, or sold in the United States after importation certain neodymium-iron-boron magnets, magnet alloys, and articles containing same that infringed claims 1-3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,588,439 (the '439 patent), owned by Crucible. The Commission's notice of investigation named eight respondents: San Huan New Materials Corporation of Beijing, China (now known as San Huan New Materials High Tech, Inc.); Ningbo Konit Industries, Inc. of Zhejiang Province, China; San Huan/Tridus International, Inc. of Paramount, CA; Novel Hightech, Ltd. of Hong Kong; Hennaco Industrial Enterprises, Inc. of Parsippany, NJ; Hennaco Excell, Inc. of Flushing, NY; Sino American Products, Ltd. of New York, NY; and InJohnson Precision Industrial Co., Ltd of Taipei, Taiwan.

The ALJ issued his final ID on December 11, 1995. He found that (1) claims 1-3 of the '439 patent are valid and enforceable; (2) there is a domestic industry manufacturing and selling products covered by the patent claims in issue; (3) respondents Novel, Hennaco Industrial, Hennaco Excell, Sino American, and InJohnson infringe claims 1-3 of the '439 patent. Based upon these findings, the ALJ concluded that there was a violation of section 337.

The Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed a petition for review of the ID on January 19, 1996, and complainant Crucible filed a response thereto on January 26, 1996. No government comments on the ID were received by the Commission.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so

indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see the Commission Opinion, *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed, if remedial orders are issued.

**Written Submissions:** The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on March 1, 1996. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on March 8, 1996. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 14 true copies thereof with the Office of the Secretary on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full

statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 C.F.R. § 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and Rules 210.42 and 210.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42 and 210.51).

Issued: February 14, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary

[FR Doc. 96-3954 Filed 2-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

---

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Presidential Faculty Fellows Awards Panel in Chemical and Transportation Systems; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Chemical & Transport Systems (#1190).

Date: March 5, 1996; 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

Place: Room 530, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Maria K. Burka, Program Director, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230 Telephone: 703/306-1370.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate Presidential Faculty Fellows Award proposals submitted to the Chemical and Transport Systems Division as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. The matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(4) and (6) of the Government Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 16, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-3997 Filed 2-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M