the more useful they will be. Anyone who would like to make an oral presentation at the meeting should contact the EIS Project Manager identified at the end of this notice to have his or her name placed on the list of speakers. Priority will be given to those persons representing groups. A list will be available at the public meetings to allow for non-preregistered speakers to sign up. A transcript will be made of the meetings and comments will be used to help determine the scope of the Draft EIS.

### Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EIS scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of caserelated Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 4).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late interventions. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

#### **Environmental Mailing List**

This notice is being sent to individuals, organizations, and government entities interested and/or potentially affected by the proposed project. It is also being sent to all potential right-of-way grantors to solicit focused comments regarding environmental considerations related to the proposed project. 5 As details of the project become established, representatives of Northern Border and Natural will directly contact landowners, communities, and public agencies concerning any other matters, including acquisition of permits and rights-of-way.

If you do not want to send comments at this time but still want to keep informed and receive copies of the Draft and Final EISs, please return the Information Request (appendix 5). If you do not return the Information Request you will be taken off the mailing list.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Laura Turner, EIS Project Manager, at (202) 208–0916.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–4043 Filed 2–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Project No. 1988]

### Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Extending Time To Comment on Draft EA

February 16, 1996.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issued a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for relicensing the Hass-Kings Hydrolectric Project, FERC Project No. 1988, on November 30, 1995. This hydropower project is located on the North Fork of the Kings River near Fresno, California.

In response to a letter filed by the California Department of Fish and Game, and supported by other parties to the proceedings, FERC is extending the comment period on the DEA until March 1, 1996.

Anyone wishing to comment in writing on the DEA must do so no later than March 1, 1996. Comments should be addressed to: Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

Reference should be clearly made to: Hass-Kings Hydroelectric Project (Project No. 1988).

For further information, please contact Frankie Green at (202) 501–7704.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–4047 Filed 2–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Docket No. CP69-169-000, et al.]

#### Western Gas Interstate Company, et al. Natural Gas Certificate Filings

February 15, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Western Gas Interstate Company [Docket No. CP69–169–000]

Take notice that on February 6, 1996, Western Gas Interstate Company (Western) filed a request to discontinue the Presidential Permit granted to Western in Docket No. CP69–169–000.1

On March 20, 1969, the Commission authorized Western to construct and operate facilities, pursuant to Executive Order 10485, on the international boundary between Mexico and the United States in Dona Ana County, New Mexico. The authorized facilities included a 2-inch natural gas pipeline in Dona Ana County, New Mexico, commencing at a point of connection with El Paso Natural Gas Company's 4½-inch El Paso Brick Company pipeline and extending therefrom to a point on the United States-Mexico international boundary line, together with related metering facilities.

These facilities, however, were never constructed and the Presidential Permit was never activated. Accordingly, Western respectfully requests that the Commission discontinue the Presidential Permit.

Comment date: March 7, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### 2. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-180-000]

Take notice that on February 8, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch) P.O. Box 1478, 600 Travis Street, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP96-180-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to operate a delivery tap, located in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana, as a certificated delivery point, under Koch's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch states that it originally constructed the delivery tap pursuant to Section 311(a) of the Natural Gas Policy Act to be used for the delivery of gas to Louisiana Gas Service (LGS), a local distribution company pursuant to Subpart B of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations. Koch states that it installed a 2-inch delivery tap and metering facilities on its Baton Rouge-New Orleans line in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana in order to facilitate timely deliveries to LGS who, in turn serves the St. Rose City Gate. Koch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Natural has supplied a preliminary landowner list. This list is based on the ownership of the land containing the existing right-of-way. A supplemental mailing will be made, if necessary, after the route has been surveyed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Presidential Permit granted to Western by the Commission was part of a four-part order, 41 FPC 385 (1969), approving applications concerning the exportation of natural gas from the United States to Mexico. The other three applications contained in the order concern currently operating facilities and are not subject to this request.

states that certification of the facilities will provide LGS with the additional flexibility of being able to use these facilities as a delivery point under LGS's blanket transportation agreement with Koch.

Koch further states that it provides interruptible Section 311 transportation service to LGS pursuant to Koch's ITS rate schedule. Koch indicates that once these facilities are certificated, Koch will also provide Rate Schedule NNSSCO transportation since LGS proposes to add this delivery point to its existing NNSSCO transportation agreement with Koch. Koch asserts that the volume delivered to this new point under the NNSSCO agreement will be within the certificated entitlement of that existing service. Additionally, Koch states that the estimated construction cost is \$31,860 for which Koch will be reimbursed.

Comment date: April 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# 3. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. [Docket No. CP96–181–000]

Take notice that on February 9, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, filed in Docket No. CP96-181-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.212) for authorization to install and operate minor delivery tap facilities on its main transmission system in Colorado and Nebraska under a blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-140-000, et al., pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and

open to public inspection.

K N Interstate proposes to install and operate ten new delivery taps and appurtenant facilities in Washington County, Colorado and Buffalo, Dawson, Hall, Harlan, Phelps and Sheridan Counties, Nebraska. Eight taps will become new delivery points pursuant to an existing transportation agreement between K N Interstate and K N Energy Inc. (K N Energy) and will facilitate the delivery of natural gas to K N Energy for sale to new direct retail customers in Colorado and Nebraska. The other two taps will become delivery points under a new transportation service agreement between K N Interstate and Interenergy Corporation (Interenergy) and will facilitate the delivery of natural gas to Interenergy for sale to new direct retail customers in Nebraska. The gas volumes delivered at these new points will be

within the current maximum

transportation quantities for K N Energy and Interenergy. K N Interstate states that its existing FERC Gas Tariff does not prohibit additional delivery points and that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers.

Comment date: April 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 4. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-184-000]

Take notice that on February 12, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNGT), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP96–184–000 pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon by sale approximately 2.5 miles of two- and four-inch diameter pipeline in Burnside Township, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, which was authorized in Docket No. CP80–293–000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is open to the public for inspection.

CNGT proposes to abandon by sale to its affiliate, CNG Producing Company (CNG Producing), Lines 2274, 2275, 2277, 2340, and a portion of 2269 at the net book value of \$42,166. Since these five gathering lines connect wells to other lines owned by CNGT, CNGT also request that upon abandonment the subject lines would function as nonjurisdictional facilities owned by CNG Producing. CNGT states that the lines operate between 110–150 psig. CNGT also states that two other producers who have production located along these lines have consented to the proposed transfer to CNG Producing.

Comment date: March 7, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing

therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission by** Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–4117 Filed 2–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5429-5]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Renewal of OMB No. 2070–0017

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that