Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, 1600 Callowhill St., Room 530, Philadelphia, PA 19130.

Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, Federal Building, 230 North First Avenue, Room 3114, Phoenix, AZ 85025.

Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, U.S. Post Office/Courthouse Building, 615 E. Houston Street, Room 598, San Antonio, TX 78205–2040.

Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, 401 WEST A Street, Suite 800, San Diego, CA 92101–7904.

Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, 550 Kearny Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94108.

INS San Pedro Service Processing Center, 2001 Seaside Avenue, Room 136, San Pedro, CA 90731.

Executive Office for Immigration Review, Immigration Court, Key Tower Building, *Suite 2500*, 1000 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104.

[FR Doc. 97–6271 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–10–M

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—BHP Petroleum—Study of Dual 10,000 psi Subsea TFL Completion Technology

Notice is hereby given that, on March 12, 1996, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), BHP Petroleum Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) The identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: BHP Petroleum (Americas) Inc., Houston, TX; BP Exploration & Oil Inc., Houston, TX; Chevron Petroleum Technology Company, San Ramon, CA; and Exxon Production Research Company, Houston, TX. The nature and objectives of the joint venture are to perform preliminary engineering regarding the subsurface part of 10,000 psi Dual Subsea TFL Completion technology and to obtain more information about the

feasibility, cost, and risks of the technology.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 97–6275 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Bureau of International Labor Affairs; U.S. National Administrative Office; North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation; Hearing on Submission #9602

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Labor. **ACTION:** Notice of hearing.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce a hearing, open to the public, on Submission #9602

Submission #9602, filed with the U.S. National Administrative Office (NAO) by the Communications Workers of America (CWA), the Union of Telephone Workers of Mexico (STRM), and the Federation of Unions of Goods and Services Companies (FESEBS) involves labor law matters in Mexico and was accepted for review by the NAO on December 10, 1996. Notice of acceptance for review was published in the Federal Register on December 13, 1996

Article 16(3) of the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation (NAALC) provides for the review of labor law matters in Canada and Mexico by the NAO in accordance with U.S. domestic procedures. Revised procedural guidelines pertaining to the submission, review, and reporting process utilized by the Office were published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1994 (59 FR 16660). The guidelines provide for a hearing as part of the review.

DATES: The hearing will be held on April 17, 1997, commencing at 9:00 a.m. Persons desiring to present oral testimony at the hearing must submit a request in writing, along with a written statement or brief describing the information to be presented or position to be taken.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be held in Tucson, Arizona, at a location to be announced. Written statements or briefs and requests to present oral testimony may be mailed or hand delivered to the U.S. National Administrative Office, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C-4327, Washington, D.C. 20210. Requests to present oral testimony and written statements or briefs must be received by

the NAO no later than close of business, April 4, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irasema T. Garza, Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C-4327, Washington, D.C. 20210. Telephone: (202) 501–6653 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Nature and Conduct of Hearing

As set out in the notice published in the Federal Register on December 13, 1996, the objective of the NAO's review of the submission is to gather information to better understand and publicly report on the Government of Mexico's promotion of compliance with, and effective enforcement of, its labor law through appropriate government action as set out in Article 3 of the NAALC, and on the steps the government of Mexico has taken to ensure that its administrative, quasijudicial and labor tribunal proceedings for the enforcement of its labor law are fair, equitable and transparent, in accordance with Article 5 of the NAALC.

The hearing will be conducted by the Secretary of the NAO or the Secretary's designee. It will be open to the public. All proceedings will be conducted in English, with simultaneous translation in English and Spanish provided. The public files for the submission, including written statements, briefs, and requests to present oral testimony, will be made a part of the appropriate hearing record. The public files will also be available for inspection at the NAO prior to the hearing.

The hearing will be transcribed. A transcript of the proceedings will be made available for inspection, as provided for in Section E of the procedural guidelines, or may be purchased from the reporting company.

Disabled persons should contact the Secretary of the NAO no later than April 4, 1997, if special accommodations are needed.

II. Written Statements or Briefs and Requests to Present Oral Testimony

Written statements or briefs shall provide a discussion of the information to be presented or position taken and shall be legibly typed or printed. Requests to present oral testimony shall include the name, address, and telephone number of the witness, the organization represented, if any, and any other information pertinent to the request. Five copies of a statement or brief and a single copy of a request to

present oral testimony shall be submitted to the NAO at the time of filing.

No request to present oral testimony will be considered unless accompanied by a written statement or brief. A request to present oral testimony may be denied if the written statement or brief suggests that the information sought to be provided is unrelated to the review of the submission or for other appropriate reasons. The NAO will notify each requester of the disposition of the request to present oral testimony.

In presenting testimony, the witness should summarize the written statement or brief, may supplement the written statement or brief with relevant information, and should be prepared to answer questions from the Secretary of the NAO or the Secretary's designee. Oral testimony will ordinarily be limited to a ten minute presentation, not including the time for questions. Persons desiring more than ten minutes for their presentation should so state in the request, setting out reasons why additional time is necessary.

The requirements relating to the submission of written statements on briefs and requests to present oral testimony may be waived by the Secretary of the NAO for reasons of equity and public interest.

Signed At Washington, D.C. on March 13, 1997.

Irasema T. Garza,

Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office.

[FR Doc. 97–6224 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am]

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Petitions for Modification

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of mandatory safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

1. Clark Elkhorn Mining Company

[Docket No. M-96-204-C]

Clark Elkhorn Mining Company, P.O. Box 2805, Pikeville, Kentucky 41502 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.308 (boreholes in advance of mining) to its Ratliff Mine No. 111 (I.D. No. 15–17776) located in Pike County, Kentucky. The petitioner requests a waiver of the mandatory standard to allow borehole drilling at distances greater than 50 feet from the Hopkins Creek Coal Company's Mine No. 1 (I.D. No. 15–11602). The petitioner states that application of the standard would result in a diminution

of safety to the miners. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

2. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-205-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1200 (d) & (i) (mine maps) to its Diamond Slope (I.D. No. 36–08456) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use cross-sections instead of contour lines through the intake slope, at locations of rock tunnel connections between veins, and at 1,000-foot intervals of advance from the intake slope and to limit the required mapping of the mine workings above and below to those present within 100 feet of the veins being mined except when veins are interconnected to other veins beyond the 100-foot limit through rock tunnels. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

3. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-206-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.360 (preshift examination) to its Diamond Slope (I.D. No. 36-08456) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to visually examine each seal for physical damage from the slope gunboat during the preshift examination after an air quantity reading is taken inby the intake portal and to test for the quantity and quality of air at the intake air split locations off the slope in the gangway portion of the workings. The petitioner proposes to physically examine the entire length of the slope once a month. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

4. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-207-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.364(b)(1), (4) and (5) (weekly examination) to its Diamond Slope (I.D. No. 36–08456) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. Due to hazardous conditions and roof falls, certain areas of the intake haulage slope and primary escapeway cannot be traveled safely. The petitioner proposes to examine the areas from the gunboat/slope car with an alternative air quality evaluation at the section's intake level, and travel and throughly examine these areas for hazardous conditions once a month. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

5. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-208-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002-1 (location of other electrical equipment; requirements for permissibility) to its Diamond Slope (I.D. No. 36-08456) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner requests a modification of the standard to permit use of non-permissible electric equipment within 150 feet of the pillar line due in part to the method of mining used in pitching anthracite mines. As an alternative, the petitioner proposes to evaluate the mine air quality for methane on an hourly basis during operation and record one of the gas test results in the on-shift examination record. The petitioner also proposes to suspend equipment operation anytime the methane concentration at the equipment reaches 0.5 percent or when found during a preshift examination. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

6. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-209-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1100 (quantity and location of firefighting equipment) to its Diamond Slope (I.D. No. 36-08456) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use only portable fire extinguishers to replace existing requirements where rock dust, water cars, and other water storage are not practical. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

7. Brookside Coal Company

[Docket No. M-96-210-C]

Brookside Coal Company, Box 64, Spring Glen, Pennsylvania 17978 has filed a petition to modify the