

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, April 4, 1997, 9:30 a.m.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Room 540, Washington, DC 20425.

STATUS:**Agenda**

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Announcements
- III. Staff Report
- IV. State Advisory Committee Reports
 - Indiana Consultation: Focus on Affirmative Action
 - Illinois Consultation: Focus on Affirmative Action
 - Michigan Consultation: Focus on Affirmative Action
 - Ohio Consultation: Focus on Affirmative Action
 - Wisconsin Consultation: Focus on Affirmative Action
- V. State Advisory Committee Appointments for Alabama, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, and New Jersey.
- VI. Racial and Ethnic Tensions in American Communities: Poverty, Inequality, and Discrimination—The Miami Report
- VII. Future Agenda Items

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Barbara Brooks, Press and Communications (202) 376-8312.

Stephanie Y. Moore,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97-7823 Filed 3-24-97; 2:40 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-427-801, A-428-801, A-475-801, A-588-804, A-559-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and Singapore; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On January 15, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom (62 FR 2801). The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs), cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof (CRBs), and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof (SPBs). The period of review (the POR) is May 1, 1994, through April 30, 1995. Based on the correction of ministerial errors, we have changed the margins for BBs for 10 companies, CRBs for 5 companies, and SPBs for 2 companies. We are also amending the section of the published final results regarding sales below cost in the home market in order to correct a ministerial error.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 26, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Ross, Richard Rimlinger, or Kris Campbell, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On January 15, 1997, the Department published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom (62 FR 2801). The reviews covered 27 manufacturers/exporters and the POR May 1, 1994, through April 30, 1995.

After publication of our final results, we received timely allegations from the petitioner and several respondents that we had made ministerial errors in calculating the final results. We have corrected our calculations where we agree that we made ministerial errors in accordance with section 751(A) of the Tariff Act. In addition, we have corrected our calculations for ministerial errors that were not alleged by the petitioner or respondents but which we discovered after publication of the final results. See company-specific analysis memoranda for a description of the changes that we made to correct the ministerial errors.

We are also amending the section of the notice of final results of reviews regarding sales below cost in the home market in order to correct a ministerial error. In this section, we inadvertently

listed Nachi as one of the companies for which we disregarded below-cost sales in the home market. Nachi was not subject to review and, therefore, should not have been listed in this section.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Amended Final Results of Reviews

As a result of our corrections for ministerial errors, we determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period May 1, 1994, through April 30, 1995:

Company	Class or kind	Rate (percent)
France		
Intertechnique	BBs	11.55
SKF	BBs	16.61
SNR	BBs	5.99
	CRBs	5.19
Germany		
FAG	BBs	13.43
	CRBs	23.10
	SPBs	12.10
INA	BBs	19.50
	CRBs	18.36
SKF	BBs	2.53
	CRBs	9.50
	SPBs	6.63
Italy		
FAG	BBs	4.88
SKF	BBs	2.84
Japan		
Asahi Seiko	BBs	2.43
Koyo Seiko	BBs	18.60
	CRBs	3.65
Singapore		
NMB Singapore/ Pelmec Ind.	BBs	2.43

¹ This rate did not change as a result of the correction.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because sampling and other simplification methods prevent entry-

by-entry assessments, we will calculate wherever possible an exporter/importer-specific assessment rate for each class or kind of AFBs.

We will direct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of the reviews (62 FR 2081, 2082) and as amended by this determination.

The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

These administrative reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated: March 14, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-7589 Filed 3-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-570-848]

Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 26, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor, Elisabeth Urfer or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0666, (202) 482-4052, or (202) 482-4733, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA).

Preliminary Determination

We determine preliminarily that freshwater crawfish tail meat (crawfish tail meat) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 733 of the Act. The estimated margins are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Case History

Since the initiation of this investigation (61 FR 54154, October 17, 1996), the following events have occurred:

On October 23, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) sent a letter to the PRC's Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation (MOFTEC) requesting the identification of producers and exporters, and information regarding the production and sales of crawfish tail meat exported to the United States. On November 15, 1996, the Department sent a separate letter to the China Chamber of Commerce for Import & Export of Foodstuffs, Native Produce & Animal By-Products (the China Chamber of Commerce) requesting information regarding exports of the subject merchandise to the United States. We received no response to our inquiries from either MOFTEC or the China Chamber of Commerce, except for the March 10, 1997 letter noted below.

On November 4, 1996, the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) issued an affirmative preliminary injury determination in this case (see ITC Investigation No. 731-TA-752). The ITC found that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports from the PRC of crawfish tail meat.

The Department issued an antidumping questionnaire to MOFTEC on November 8, 1996, with instructions to forward the document to all exporters of crawfish tail meat, and to inform these companies that they must respond by the due dates. We also sent courtesy

copies of the antidumping questionnaire to all identified companies for which we had addresses.

The questionnaire is divided into four sections. Section A requests general information concerning a company's corporate structure and business practices, the merchandise under investigation that it sells, and the sales of the merchandise in all of its markets. Sections B and C request home market sales listings and U.S. sales listings, respectively. (Section B does not normally apply in antidumping proceedings involving the PRC.) Section D requests information on the factors of production of the subject merchandise.

On December 13, 1996 and December 19, 1996, fifteen PRC exporters submitted their section A and section C responses. On December 23, 1996, 23 PRC producer/supplier factories submitted section D questionnaire responses.

On December 23, 1996, we requested that interested parties provide publicly available published information for valuing the factors of production and for surrogate country selection. We received comments from those interested parties on January 17, 1997, and rebuttal comments on January 27, 1997.

On January 10, 1997, we issued supplemental questionnaires to five respondents and we sent a deficiency letter to three companies that had not previously submitted section D responses. We received section D questionnaire responses from those companies on January 17, 1997. On January 23, 1997, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to a sixth respondent, Lianyungang Yupeng Aquatic Products Co., Ltd. (Lianyungang Yupeng). We issued a second supplemental questionnaire on January 31, 1997 to the five largest respondents, and we received their responses on February 7, 1997.

On January 24, 1997, after receiving complete questionnaire responses from fifteen PRC crawfish exporters, we determined that we would only be able to analyze the responses of the six largest PRC crawfish exporters to the United States due to limited resources. (See Respondent Selection section below.)

On February 14, 1997, we postponed the preliminary determination until not later than March 19, 1997 (62 FR 6948), because we determined this investigation to be extraordinarily complicated within the meaning of section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act.

On February 18, 1997, we granted an additional period of time for interested parties to submit factual information and arguments with respect to the