

FY 1997 new and expanded contracts and annual funding agreements.

Priority of Funding for New and Expanded Contracts/Annual Funding Agreements

Contract support will be awarded from the ISD fund to all new and expanded contracts/annual funding agreements based on the start date of the award, and the application date, on a first-come-first-serve basis. An Indian Self-Determination Fund "applicant roster" shall be maintained, which shall list, in order of priority, the name of the tribe or tribal organization, the name of the program, the start date, the application date, the amount of program funds, the program cost code(s), the amount of contract support funds required, and the date of approved Indirect Cost Rate agreement or lump sum agreement.

"Start date" means the date or commencement of operation of the new or expanded portion of the contract or annual funding agreement by the tribe/consortium or tribal organization. However, because the Self-Determination Act provides that contracts/annual funding agreements will be on a calendar year basis unless otherwise provided by the tribe, any start date on or prior to January 1 of each year shall be considered a January 1 start date.

"Application date" shall be the date of the request by the tribe which includes: (1) a tribal resolution requesting a contract or annual funding agreement; (2) a summary of the program or portion thereof to be operated by the Tribe/consortium or Tribal organization; and (3) a summary identifying the source and amount of program or services funds to be contracted or included in an annual funding agreement and contract support requirements. In the event that two tribes or tribal organizations have the same start date and application date, then the next date for determination of priority shall be the date the fully complete application was received by the BIA.

If all of the above are equal, and if funds remaining in the ISD fund are not adequate to fill the entire amount of each award's contract support requirement, then each will be awarded a proportionate share of its requirement and shall remain on the Indian Self-Determination Fund Roster in appropriate order of priority for future distributions.

New contract/annual funding agreement is defined as the initial transfer of a program, previously

operated by the BIA to the tribe/consortium or tribal organization.

An expanded contract/annual funding agreement is defined as a contract/annual funding agreement which has become enlarged, during the current fiscal year through the assumption of additional programs previously operated by the BIA.

Criteria for Determining CSF Need for New and Expanded Contracts/Annual Funding Agreements

CSF for new and expanded contracts/annual funding agreements will be determined using the following criteria:

1. All contracts/annual funding agreements initially entered into in FY 1997 that transfer the operation of a program that was operated by the BIA in the previous fiscal year to the tribe. (TPA programs started or expanded in FY 1997 that are a result of a change in priorities from other already contracted programs are not considered new or expanded.)
2. All expansions of existing contracts/annual funding agreements that call for the tribe to assume more or additional programs previously operated by the BIA.
3. New and expanded program assumptions under Self-Governance annual funding agreements.

Criteria for Determining CSF Need for Ongoing/Existing Contracts/Annual Funding Agreements

CSF for ongoing and existing contracts/annual funding agreements will be determined using the following criteria:

1. All TPA contracted programs or those programs included in annual funding agreements in FY 1996 and continued in FY 1997, including contracted or annual funding agreement programs moved to TPA in FY 1997, such as New Tribes, HIP, and Road Maintenance.
2. Direct program funding increases due to inflation adjustments and general budget increases.
3. TPA programs started or expanded in FY 1997 that are a result of a change in priorities from other already contracted/annual funding agreement programs.
4. CSF differentials associated with tribally-operated schools that receive indirect costs through the application of the administrative cost grant formula. These differentials are to be calculated in accordance with the criteria prescribed in the Choctaw decision dated September 18, 1992, issued by the Contracting Officer, Eastern Area Office. Copies of this decision can be obtained by calling the telephone number

provided in this announcement. Tribes that received differential funding under this category in FY 1996 are eligible to receive funding from this account in FY 1997. Tribes that did not receive differential funding under this category in FY 1996 are eligible for funding from the ISD fund.

5. CSF will be distributed to the Office of Self-Governance for ongoing annual funding agreements, on the same basis as area offices. All additional CSF requirements will be met from the ISD fund in accordance with the criteria established above.

6. Funds available for Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) programs or reprogrammed from ICWA to other programs will be considered ongoing for the purposes of payment of contract support costs.

7. The use of CSF to pay prior year shortfalls is not authorized.

8. Programs funded from sources other than those listed above that were contracted in FY 1996 and are to be contracted in FY 1997 are considered as ongoing.

Dated: December 31, 1996.
Elizabeth L. Homer,
Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.
[FR Doc. 97-573 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-02-P

Receipt of Petition for Reassumption of Jurisdiction From the Chevak Traditional Council of Chevak, Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice is published in exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

The Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-608) provide, subject to certain specified conditions, that Indian tribes may petition the Secretary of the Interior for reassumption of jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings.

In accordance with 25 CFR Part 13, this is notice that a petition has been received by the Secretary from the Chevak Traditional Council of Chevak, Alaska, for the tribal reassumption of jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings in the State of Alaska. The petition is under review and may be inspected or copied at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of Tribal Services, 1849 C St., NW., room 4603 MIB, Washington, DC 20240.

Dated: December 26, 1996.

Elizabeth L. Homer,

Acting Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-571 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

Approval of Petition for Reassumption of Exclusive Jurisdiction by the Forest County Potawatomi Community of Crandon, Wisconsin, Over Indian Child Custody Proceedings Involving Indian Children Who Are Enrolled or Eligible for Enrollment With the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin and Who Reside or Are Domiciled Within the Exterior Boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Forest County Potawatomi Community of Crandon, Wisconsin, has filed a petition with the Department of the Interior to reassume exclusive jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings involving Indian children who are enrolled or eligible for enrollment with the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin and who reside or are domiciled within the exterior boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette.

The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs has reviewed the petition and determined that tribal exercise of jurisdiction is feasible and that the tribe has a suitable plan for exercising such jurisdiction. This notice constitutes the official approval of the the Forest County Potawatomi Community's petition by the Department of the Interior.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin, reassumes exclusive jurisdiction by March 11, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The principal author of this document is Betty Tippeconnie, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of Tribal Services, 1849 C St., N.W., Mail Stop 4603 MIB, Washington, D.C., 20240. (202) 208-2721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The authority for the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs to publish this notice is contained in 25 CFR 13.14 and 209 DM 8. Section 108 of the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, Pub. L. 95-608, 92

Stat. 3074, 25 U.S.C. 1918, authorizes Indian tribes that occupy a reservation as defined in 25 U.S.C. 1903(10) over which a state asserts jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings, pursuant to Federal statute, to reassume jurisdiction over such proceedings.

To reassume such jurisdiction, a tribe must first file a petition in the manner prescribed in 25 CFR Part 13. Notice of receipt of this petition was published in the Federal Register, Vol. 60, No. 211, page 55588, on November 1, 1995. The petition is then reviewed by the Department of the Interior using criteria set out in 25 CFR 13.12. If the Department finds that the tribe has submitted a suitable plan and that tribal exercise of jurisdiction is feasible, the petition is approved by publication in the Federal Register.

The geographic areas subject to the reassumption of exclusive jurisdiction by the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin, are within the exterior boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette.

Dated: December 23, 1996.

Ada E. Deer,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-572 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

Minerals Management Service

Outer Continental Shelf, Alaska Region, Cook Inlet Oil and Gas Lease Sale 149

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service.

ACTION: Availability of Environmental Assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for a revision to the oil and gas lease sale proposal for Cook Inlet, sale 149.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) has prepared an EA for a revision to the Cook Inlet, Sale 149 proposal. The revised proposal reduces the area being considered for leasing. Based on the conclusions of the EA, the MMS has prepared a FONSI. A 45-day comment period on the revised proposal and EA will commence upon publication of this Notice in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the EA and FONSI is available to the public upon request from the Regional Director, Minerals Management Service, Alaska Region, 949 East 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99508-4302, Attention: Public Information. Copies can be requested by telephone, (907)

271-6070, or 1-800-764-2627. A copy can also be requested by fax at (907) 271-6805. Ask for the "Cook Inlet EA." Address comments to the Regional Supervisor, Leasing and Environment at the address or fax number stated above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) made available on January 30, 1996 (61 FR 3052) analyzed the Cook Inlet Sale 149 proposal as offering approximately 2 million acres. The revised proposal for Sale 149 would offer for lease approximately 430,000 acres north of Anchor Point in Cook Inlet. Based on the conclusions presented in the EA, a FONSI was prepared and a determination was made that a supplemental EIS is not needed.

Dated: January 7, 1997.

Carolita U. Kallaur,

Deputy Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. 97-603 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Evaluation of the Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach to Gang Prevention, Intervention, and Suppression Program—"Aggregate Data forms: Police and School."

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until March 11, 1997.

We request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evacuate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and