side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* installation of a warning buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly and a warning buzzer.

Standard No. 118 *Power Window Systems:* rewiring of the power window system so that the window transport is inoperative when the ignition is switched off.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Installation of a U.S.model seat belt in the driver's position, or a belt webbing actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor; (b) installation of an ignition switch actuated seat belt warning lamp and buzzer; (c) replacement of the driver's and passenger's side air bags and knee bolsters with U.S. model components if the vehicle is not already so equipped. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with combination lap and shoulder restraints that adjust by means of an automatic retractor and release by means of a single push button at both front designated seating positions, with combination lap and shoulder restraints that release by means of a single push button at both rear outboard designated seating positions, and with a lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

Standard No. 214 Side Impact Protection: installation of reinforcing beams.

Standard No. 301 Fuel System Integrity: installation of a rollover valve in the fuel tank vent line between the fuel tank and the evaporative emissions collection canister.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the bumpers on the non-U.S. certified 1995 Saab 900 SE must be reinforced or replaced with U.S.-model components to comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR Part 581.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification number plate must be affixed to the vehicle to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the

closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 9, 1997.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 97–10023 Filed 4–17–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 97-25; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 Multi– Purpose Passenger Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 multi-purpose passenger vehicles (MPVs) are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) It is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is May 19, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5109, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9:30 am to 4 pm].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Wallace Environmental Testing Laboratories, Inc. of Houston, Texas ("Wallace") (Registered Importer 90–005) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 MPVs are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Wallace believes is substantially similar is the 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 that was manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by its manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the non-U.S. certified 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 to its U.S. certified counterpart, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Wallace submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the non-U.S. certified 1993 Land Rover Defender 110, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standards Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence * * ., 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 203 Impact Protection for the Driver From the Steering Control System, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 211 Windshield Mounting, 212 Windshield Retention, 214 Side Impact Protection, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* replacement of the speedometer/odometer with one calibrated in miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: (a) replacement of the headlight and taillight assemblies with conforming parts; (b) installation of turnsignal lens assemblies and sidemarkers.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: inscription of the required warning statement on the passenger-side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* installation of a warning buzzer in the ignition switch.

Standard No. 120 Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars: installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Installation of a seat belt warning system; (b) installation of lap belts adjustable by means of an emergency locking retractor in the rear side mount seats. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped at each front and rear outboard seating position with Type 2 lap and shoulder belts that are adjustable by means of an emergency locking retractor.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with a Type 1 lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

Standard No. 210 Seat Belt
Assembly Anchorages: installation of seat belt anchorages at the rear side mount seating positions.

Standard No. 216 Roof Crush Resistance: installation of an internal

and external roll cage assembly identical to the one found on the vehicle's U.S.—certified counterpart.

Standard No. 301 Fuel System Integrity: installation of a rear bumper assembly with supports attached to the frame to provide protection to the fuel tank.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the rear bumper on the non-U.S. certified 1993 Land Rover Defender 110 must be replaced with a component identical to the one found on the vehicle's U.S.—certified counterpart.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification number plate must be affixed to the vehicle to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141 (a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 9, 1997.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 97–10024 Filed 4–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 97-028; Notice 1]

Hella K.G., Hueck & Co.; Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Hella K.G., Hueck & Co. (Hella) has determined that some of its headlamps designed for Van Hool buses of Belgium fail to conform to the headlamp marking requirements of 49 CFR 571.108, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 108, Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment, and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Hella has also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301—Motor Vehicle Safety" on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of an application is published under 49 CFR Part 556 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the application.

Paragraph S.7.5(g) of FMVSS No. 108 requires that the lens of each replaceable bulb headlamp shall bear permanent marking in front of each replaceable light source with which it is equipped that states the HB Type, if the light source is designed to conform to specified subparagraphs.

Hella's description of the inconsequential noncompliance follows:

"VAN HOOL buses of Belgium designed a new bus (T9) which is intended to be exported to the U.S.A. HELLA K.G. in Germany designed and manufactured the UStype headlamps but inadvertently exchanged the required bulb designation on the headlamp's lens so that an "HB 3" marking appears in front of the HB 4 reflector areaand vice versa. The total manufacturing of these headlamps has been done in 1996 in advance of a two years need for the intended export of the buses. Today, only a few buses for expositions for vehicle shows has been exported to the U.S.A. About [a] hundred headlamps are still on stock at HELLA, VAN HOOL or HELLA's representative in Belgium."

Hella supports its application for inconsequential noncompliance with the following:

"Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 108 (FMVSS 108) requires in Section S.7.5(g) that the relevant light source designation has to be marked on the lens in front of the headlamps reflector area. This is the case but the marking does not appear at the correct location. We [Hella] do not see any violation of highway safety because the bulb and socket system have indexing features that prevent a misuse or wrong insertion into a headlamp where the bulb is not designed to be used for. So, only some kind of irritation may occur whenever a bulb has to [be] replaced. Another important aspect will be that the relevant vehicles are not sold to a random experienced motorist but only to professionals and the service of the bus will also be done by an experienced

"VAN HOOL's representative in the U.S.A.: Distributor, ABC Coach Inc.,7469 West Highway, Winter Garden, FL 32787 USA, will be informed about this case. The total number of buses involved will be 300 within the next two years.

"In November 1996 and December 1996 each two vehicles are already delivered. The next scheduled delivery will be in April 1997 (13 buses).