- 1. In the heading, correct FRL-5581-7 to read FRL-5581-5.
- 2. Under the caption "ADDRESSES" in the second paragraph, correct the email address in line 4 to read oppt.ncic@epamail.epa.gov.

Dated: January 3, 1997.

Paul J. Campanella, Chief, New Chemicals Branch, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. [FR Doc. 97–984 Filed 1–14–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by FCC For Extension Under Delegated Authority 5 CFR 1320 Authority, Comments Requested

January 7, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c)ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The FCC is reviewing the following information collection requirements for possible 3-year extension under delegated authority 5 CFR 1320, authority delegated to the Commission by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before March 17, 1997.

If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060-0602.

Title: Section 76.917 Notification of certification withdrawals.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Time Per Response: .5 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 13 hours.

Cost to Respondents: Postage and stationery costs are estimated at an average of \$1 per notification. 25 notifications x \$1 = \$25.

Needs and Uses: Section 76.917 of the Commission's rules requires a local franchising authority ("LFA") that has been certified to regulate basic service tier ("BST") cable rates to notify the Commission if it no longer intends to regulate BST cable rates. This notification shall include the LFA's determination that rate regulation no longer serves the best interests of local cable subscribers and that the LFA has received no consideration for its withdrawal of certification. The notifications are used by the Commission to readily determine the extent of basic service tier BST rate regulation of cable systems and to be aware of circumstances where certified LFAs no longer intend to regulate BST cable rates.

Federal Communications Commission. William F. Caton, Acting Secretary,

[FR Doc. 97–928 Filed 1–14–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

January 7, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments March 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0593.

Title: FCC Form 1215 A la Carte Channel Offerings.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 5,400. (3,600 filings + 1,800 LFA reviews).

Estimated Time Per Response: .5 hours - 1 hour.

Total Annual Burden: 4,500 hours. We estimate that 3,600 FCC Form 1215s

are filed annually, approximately 50% with the Commission and 50% with LFAs. The average burden to complete the FCC Form 1215 is estimated to be 1 hour. 3,600 x 1 hour = 3,600 hours. LFAs will review approximately 1,800 FCC Form 1215 filings per year at an average burden of .5 hours per filing. 1,800 x .5 hours per filing = 900 hours. Cost to Respondents: \$2,000. We

Cost to Respondents: \$2,000. We estimate photocopying and stationery costs to respondents to be approximately 3,600 filings x 50 cents per filing = \$1,800 and then rounded up to \$2,000. There are no postage expenses specifically attributed to this collection because the FCC Form 1215 is not a unique mailing, but rather is submitted as part of a package with other rate regulation forms such as FCC Form 1200, FCC Form 1210 or FCC Form 1240.

Needs and Uses: The Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 required the Commission to prescribe rules and regulations for determining reasonable rates for basic tier cable service and to establish criteria for identifying unreasonable rates for cable programming services and associated equipment. FCC Form 1215 is filed by cable operators in conjunction with the filing of other rate regulation forms. A la carte channel offerings are not regulated by the Commission; however, the submission of a la carte data is a necessary component to the Commission's system of rate regulation so that the Commission and local franchising authorities ("LFAs") can examine the entire scope of programming offered by respective cable systems. The requirement to file FCC Form 1215 with the Commission's other rate regulation forms ensures that the Commission's system of rate regulation is not being circumvented. The data are used by Commission staff and LFAs to determine which channels a cable operator is offering on an individual, unregulated basis.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0594. Title: FCC 1220 Cost of Service Filing for Regulated Cable Services.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 30. (20 cable operators + 10 LFAs).

Estimated Time Per Response: 4 hours - 80 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 1,640 hours. We estimate that no more than 20 FCC Form 1220s are filed annually, approximately 50% with the Commission and 50% with LFAs. The average burden to complete FCC Form

1220 is estimated to be 80 hours. Cable operators will use in-house staff to complete approximately 50% of the filings. Cable operators will use outside assistance to complete approximately 50% of the filings, undergoing a burden of 4 hours per filing to coordinate information with the outside assistance. 10 (50% of 20) filings completed with in-house staff x 80 hours per filing = 800hours. 10 (50% of 20) filings coordinated with outside assistance x 4 hours per filing = 40 hours. LFAs will review approximately 10 FCC Form 1220 filings per year at an average burden of 80 hours per filing. 10 x 80 hours per filing = 800 hours. Total burden = 800 + 40 + 800 = 1,640 hours.

Cost to Respondents: \$120,000. Cable operators will use outside assistance paid at \$150 per hour to complete approximately 10 FCC Form 1220 filings. 10 filings x 80 hours per filing x \$150 per hour = \$120,000. Diskettes, postage and stationery costs are estimated at an average of \$5 per filing. 20 filings x \$5 = \$100. Total costs = \$120,000 + \$100 = \$120,100.

Needs and Uses: The Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 required the Commission to prescribe rules and regulations for determining reasonable rates for basic tier cable service and to establish criteria for identifying unreasonable rates for cable programming services and associated equipment. FCC Form 1220 is used by cable operators to demonstrate their costs of providing cable service in order to justify rates above levels determined under the Commission's benchmark methodology. Cable operators submit this form to local franchising authorities ("LFAs") or the Commission (in situations where the Commission has assumed jurisdiction) only when justifying rates based on cost of service. It may also be filed with the Commission as part of the operator's response to a complaint filed with the Commission about cable programming service rates and associated equipment when justifying rates based on cost of service. The data are used by Commission staff and LFAs to determine whether cable rates for basic service, cable programming service and associated equipment are reasonable under Commission regulations. OMB Approval Number: 3060-0596.

Title: FCC 1225 Computation of Cable Services Revenue Requirements and Charges, Cost of Service for Small Systems.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 15. (10 cable operators + 5 LFAs).

Estimated Time Per Response: 4 hours 60 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 620 hours. We estimate that no more than 10 FCC Form 1225s are filed annually, approximately 50% with the Commission and 50% with LFAs. The average burden to complete FCC Form 1225 is estimated to be 60 hours. Cable operators will use inhouse staff to complete approximately 50% of the filings. Cable operators will use outside assistance to complete approximately 50% of the filings, undergoing a burden of 4 hours per filing to coordinate information with the outside assistance. (50% of 10) filings completed with in-house staff x 60 hours per filing = 300 hours. (50% of 10) filings coordinated with outside assistance x 4 hours per filing = 20hours.LFAs will review approximately 5 FCC Form 1225 filings per year at an average burden of 60 hours per filing. 5 x 60 hours per filing = 300 hours. Total burden = 300 + 20 + 300 = 620 hours.

Cost to Respondents: \$45,100. Cable operators will use outside assistance paid at \$150 per hour to complete approximately 5 FCC Form 1225 filings. 5 filings x 60 hours per filing x \$150 per hour = \$45,000. Total annual costs for purchase of diskettes, postage and stationery are estimated to be \$100.

Needs and Uses: The Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 required the Commission to prescribe rules and regulations for determining reasonable rates for basic tier cable service and to establish criteria for identifying unreasonable rates for cable programming services and associated equipment. FCC Form 1225 may be used by a small cable systems to demonstrate their costs of providing cable service in order to justify rates above levels determined under the Commission's benchmark methodology. For purposes of using FCC Form 1225, a small system means one with no more than 1,000 subscribers. A small system generally qualifies to use this form if it is either (a) an independent system, or (b) it is owned by an multiple system operator ("MSO") that has 250,000 subscribers or less, no system with more than 10,000 subscribers, an average system size of 1,000 or fewer subscribers. Cable operators submit this form to local franchising authorities ("LFAs") or the Commission (in situations where the Commission has assumed jurisdiction) only when justifying rates based on cost of service. It may also be filed with the Commission as part of the operator's response to a complaint filed with the Commission about cable programming

service rates and associated equipment when justifying rates based on cost of service. The data are used by Commission staff and LFAs to determine whether cable rates for basic service, cable programming service and associated equipment are reasonable under Commission regulations.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0601.

Title: FCC Form 1200 Setting Maximum Initial Permitted Rates for Regulated Cable Services.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 150. (100 cable operators + 50 LFAs).

Estimated Time Per Response: 2–10 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 1,100 hours. We estimate that 100 FCC Form 1200s are filed annually, approximately 50% with the Commission and 50% with LFAs. The average burden to complete FCC Form 1200 is estimated to be 10 hours. Cable operators will use in-house staff to complete approximately 50% of the filings. Cable operators will use outside assistance to complete approximately 50% of the filings, undergoing a burden of 2 hours per filing to coordinate information with the outside assistance. 50 (50% of 100) filings completed with in-house staff x 10 hours per filing = 500 hours. 50 (50% of 100) filings coordinated with outside assistance x 2 hours per filing = 100 hours. LFAs will review approximately 50 FCC Form 1200 filings per year at an average burden of 10 hours per filing. 50 x 10 hours per filing = 500 hours.

Cost to Respondents: \$75,500. Cable operators will use outside assistance paid at \$150 per hour to complete approximately 50 FCC Form 1200 filings. 50 filings x 10 hours per filing x \$150 per hour = \$75,000. Diskettes, postage and stationery costs are estimated at an average of \$5 per filing.

100 filings x \$5 = \$500.

Needs and Uses: The Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 required the Commission to prescribe rules and regulations for determining reasonable rates for basic tier cable service and to establish criteria for identifying unreasonable rates for cable programming services and associated equipment. FCC Form 1200 is used by cable operators to justify the reasonableness of rates in effect on or after May 15, 1994. Cable operators submit this form to local franchising authorities ("LFAs") or the Commission (in situations where the Commission has assumed jurisdiction). It is also filed with the Commission when responding to a complaint filed with the

Commission about cable programming service rates and associated equipment. The data are used by Commission staff and LFAs to evaluate cable rates the first time they are reviewed on or after May 15, 1994 so that the maximum permitted rates for regulated cable services can be determined.

Federal Communications Commission. William F. Caton.

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–929 Filed 1–14–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) under the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties can review or obtain copies of agreements at the Washington, DC offices of the Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., Room 962. Interested parties may submit comments on an agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register.

Agreement No.: 203–011560 Title: The TransAtlantic Bridge Agreement

Parties:

The COSCO/KL TransAtlantic Vessel Sharing Agreement (FMC Agreement No. 232–011561) The KL/YM TransAtlantic Vessel Sharing Agreement (FMC Agreement No. 232–011562)

Synopsis: The proposed Agreement would permit the parties and their individual signatories to consult and agree on all matters within the scope of the two vessel sharing agreements, including, but not limited to: coordination of sailings, reciprocal space chartering, sub-chartering, vessel particulars, efficient use of equipment, terminals, stevedores, ports and suppliers, documentation, and systems in the trade between United States Ports in the Eastport, Maine/Brownsville, Texas, range, and inland U.S. points via such ports, and ports in North Europe in the Hamburg/Gibraltar range, the United Kingdom, and Scandinavia, and inland points in Europe via those ports.

Agreement No.: 232–011561 Title: The COSCO/KL TransAtlantic Vessel Sharing Agreement Parties:

China Ocean Shipping (Group)

Company ("COSCO") Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd. ("KL") Synopsis: The proposed Agreement would permit the parties to coordinate their container liner vessel operations and to charter space to one another in the trade between United States Ports in the Eastport, Maine/ Brownsville, Texas, range, and inland U.S. points via such ports, and ports in North Europe in the Hamburg/ Gibraltar range, the United Kingdom, and Scandinavia, and inland points in Europe via those ports. Subchartering of space to Yangming Marine Transport Corporation by KL of slots aboard COSCO vessels is also authorized.

Agreement No.: 232–011562
Title: The KL/YM TransAtlantic Vessel
Sharing Agreement
Parties:

Yangming Transportation Corporation ("YM")

Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd. ("KL") *Synopsis:* The proposed Agreement would permit the parties to coordinate their container liner vessel operations and to charter space to one another in the trade between United States Ports in the Eastport, Maine/ Brownsville, Texas, range, and inland U.S. points via such ports, and ports in North Europe in the Hamburg/ Gibraltar range, the United Kingdom, and Scandinavia, and inland points in Europe via those ports. Subchartering of space to China Ocean Shipping (Group) Company by KL of slots aboard YM vessels is also authorized.

Agreement No.: 217–011563
Title: The NOL/HMM Space Charter
Agreement
Parties:

Neptume Orient Lines, Ltd. Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd. Synopsis: The subject agreement authorizes Hyundai to charter vessel space to NOL in the trade between all ports in the Far East and South East Asia, on the one hand, and all ports on the U.S. Pacific Coast, including Alaska, on the other, and all inland and coastal points served via those ports.

Agreement No.: 224–003038–004 Title: Supplemental Agreement Between Port of Oakland and American President Lines, Ltd. Parties:

Port of Oakland

American President Lines, Ltd.
Synopsis: The subject modification
amends the wharfage charges for a
secondary user at the Port's Middle
Harbor Terminal Area assigned to
APL and clarifies the definition of
primary and secondary users under
the terms of the agreement