A-588-602

Japan

Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings

Objection Date—February 12, 1997, February 24, 1997

Objector—Tube Forgings of America, Inc. and Mills Iron Works, Inc. Hackney, Inc.

Contact: Sheila Forbes at (202) 482– 5253

A-588-056

Japan

Melamine

Objection Date—February 21, 1997 Objector—Melamine Chemicals Inc.

Contact: Todd Peterson at (202) 482– 4195

A-469-007

Spain

Potassium Permanganate Objection Date—February 24, 1997 Objector—Carus Chemical Company Contact: Tom Futtner at (202) 482– 3814

A-570-805

The People's Republic of China Sodium Thiosulfate Objection Date—February 26, 1997 Objector—Calabrian Corporation Contact: Lyn Johnson at (202) 482– 5287

A-412-805

The United Kingdom

Sodium Thiosulfate

Objection Date—February 26, 1997 Objector—Calabrian Corporation Contact: Lyn Johnson at (202) 482– 5287

A-307-803

Venezuela

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker

```
Objection Date—February 19, 1997,
February 25, 1997
```

- Objector—Puerto Rican Cement Company, Inc., Florida Crushed Stone Company, Southdown, Inc., and Tarmac America, Inc.
- Contact: Nithya Nagarajan at (202) 482–0193.

Dated: April 7, 1997.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/ CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 97–11017 Filed 4–28–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-703]

Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On February 6, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from Italy (62 FR 5590). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Ausimont S.p.A. (Ausimont), for the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995. Based on the correction of ministerial errors, we have changed the margin for Ausimont.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chip Hayes or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On February 6, 1997, the Department published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PTFE resin from Italy (62 FR 5590) for one manufacturer/exporter, Ausimont, and the period of review (POR) August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995.

After publication of our final results, we received timely allegations from Ausimont that we had made ministerial errors in calculating the final results.

Ausimont contends that we applied facts available in the calculation of a difference-in-merchandise adjustment for sales of one U.S. product, when, according to Ausimont, variable cost of manufacturing information for that product was in the administrative record to permit a correct calculation of the adjustment. E.I. Dupont de Nemours and Co., the petitioner in this proceeding, maintains that Ausimont neglected to comment on the use of facts available during the course of the administrative review and that Ausimont's belated discovery does not constitute a ministerial error on the part of the Department.

Upon examination of the administrative record, we have determined that adequate information was in the administrative record to calculate the correct difference-inmerchandise adjustment for the product in question. Therefore, the use of facts available to determine the adjustment for the product does constitute a ministerial error. We have corrected our calculations in accordance with section 751(h) of the Tariff Act. See analysis memorandum to the file dated March 24, 1997, for a detailed description of the changes that we made to correct the ministerial errors.

Amended Final Results of Reviews

As a result of our corrections for ministerial errors, we determine that the a weighted-average margin of 15.21 per cent exists for the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will direct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of the reviews (62 FR 5590, 5592) and as amended by this determination.

The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated April 22, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–11016 Filed 4–28–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-508-605]

Certain Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administration Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 1995 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain industrial phosphoric acid from Israel. This extension is made pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Cassel or Lorenza Olivas, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786. **POSTPONEMENT:** Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review.

completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the calendar year 1995 administrative review of industrial phosphoric acid from the Israel within this time limit. *See Memorandum to the File* dated April 22, 1997.

In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department will extend the time for completion of the preliminary results of this review from May 5, 1997 to no later than August 31, 1997.

Dated: April 22, 1997.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import

Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–11014 Filed 4–28–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-404]

Live Swine from Canada; Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of Extension of time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for preliminary results of the eleventh administrative review of the countervailing duty order on live swine from Canada. This extension is made pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act"). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 29, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest or Lorenza Olivas, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, US Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–2786.

POSTPONEMENT: Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the 1995–96 administrative review of live swine from Canada within this time limit. *See Memorandum to the File* dated April 22, 1997.

In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department will extend the time for completion of the preliminary results of this review from May 5, 1997 to no later than August 31, 1997.

Dated: April 22, 1997.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 97–11013 Filed 4–28–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Intent To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to revocation of this order must submit their comments in writing not later than the last day of May 1997.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Russell Morris or Maria MacKay, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department may revoke a countervailing duty order if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by the Department's regulations (at 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)), we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below, for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months.

In accordance with section 355.25(d)(4)(iii) of the Department's regulations, if no domestic interested party (as defined in sections 355.2(i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), and (i)(6) of the regulations) objects to the Department's intent to revoke the order pursuant to this notice, and no interested party (as defined in section 355.2(i) of the regulations) requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department's