SUMMARY: The National Urban and Community Forestry Advisory Council will hold a closed conference call on Monday, May 19, 1997, from 12:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. Eastern time. The meeting will be chaired by Genni Cross of The Trust for Public Land/California Releaf. The purpose of the conference call is to vote on the finalists for the 1997 Challenge Cost-Share grant program. The Council will then make recommendations for grant awards to the Forest Service. The Challenge Cost-Share grant program is advertised annually to solicit proposals in categories identified by the Council which will advance the knowledge of, and promote interest in, urban and community forestry needs. Pursaunt to 5 U.S.C. 552(c)(9)(B), the conference call will be closed to the public. DATES: The conference call will be held May 19, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORAMTION CONTACT:

Suzanne M. del Villar, Cooperative Forestry Staff, (970) 928–9264.

Dated: May 6, 1997.

Dan Glickman,

Secretary, Department of Agriculture. [FR Doc. 97–12418 Filed 5–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

National Urban and Community Forestry Advisory Council

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Urban and Community Forestry Advisory Council will meet in Sacramento, California, June 5–7, 1997. The purpose of the meeting is to review that status of the Council's annual report, continue discussion on emerging issues in Urban and Community Forestry, and determine the grant categories for the 1998 Challenge Cost-Share grant program.

DATES: The meeting will be held June 5–7, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn Sacramento Northeast, 5321 Date Avenue, Sacramento, California. A tour of local projects will be June 5, 9:00 a.m.–3:00 p.m.

Individuals who wish to speak at the meeting or to propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Suzanne M. del Villar, Executive Assistance, National Urban and Community Forestry Advisory Council, 1042 Park West Court, Glenwood Springs, CO 81601. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne M. del Villar, Cooperative Forestry Staff, (970) 928–9264.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Challenge Cost-Share grant categories, identified by the Council, are advertised annually to solicit proposals for projects to advance the knowledge of, and promote interest in, urban and community forestry. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(9)(B), the meeting will be closed from approximately 8:30 to 10:00 a.m. on June 7 in order for the Council to determine the categories for the 1998 Challenge Cost-Share grant program. Otherwise, the meeting is open to the public.

Persons who wish to bring urban and community forestry matters to the attention of the Council may file written statements with the Council staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by May 30 will have the opportunity to address the Council. Council discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Council members.

Dated: May 6, 1997.

Dan Glickman,

Secretary, Department of Agriculture. [FR Doc. 97–12419 Filed 5–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Sunshine Act Meeting Notice, 62 FR 24635 (5–6–97).

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: May 12–13, 1997—ARRB, 600 E Street, NW, Washington, DC.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: This closed meeting has been canceled and will be rescheduled on a future date.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Eileen Sullivan, Assistant Press and Public Affairs Officer, 600 E Street, NW,

Second Floor, Washington, DC 20530. Telephone: (202) 724–0088; Fax: (202) 724–0457.

David G. Marwell,

Executive Director. [FR Doc. 97–12688 Filed 5–9–97; 2:16 pm] BILLING CODE 6118–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the California Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the California Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 6:30 p.m. on May 29, 1997, at the Westin South Coast Plaza, San Carlos Room, 686 Anton Boulevard, Costa Mesa, California 92626. The purpose of the meeting is to obtain follow up data on civil rights issues in Orange County originally raised in December 1993, and to inquire into law enforcement procedures for identification and tracking of youth for placement in gang-tracking databases.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Fernando Hernandez, 310–696–0104, or Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213–894–3437 (TDD 213–894–3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, May 7, 1997. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 97–12430 Filed 5–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-805]

Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Belgium: Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Extension of time limits for antidumping duty administrative review of cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Belgium.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the third antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Belgium. This review covers one manufacturer and exporter of the subject merchandise: Fabrique de Fer de Charleroi. The period of review is August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 13, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Linda Ludwig, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–0405 or 482–3833, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department initiated this administrative review on September 16, 1996 (61 FR 48882). Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the aforementioned reviews to June 4, 1997. See memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, which is on file in Room B-099 at the Department's headquarters.

This extension of time limit is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 5, 1997.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary AD/CVD Enforcement Group III. [FR Doc. 97–12508 Filed 5–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–475–703]

Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the petitioner, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from Italy. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject

merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1995, through July 31, 1996.

We have preliminarily determined that dumping margins exist for the respondent. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. EFFECTIVE DATE: May 13, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chip Hayes or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On August 30, 1988, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (53 FR 33163) the antidumping duty order on granular PTFE resin from Italy. On August 12, 1996, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the period of August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996 (61 FR 41768). We received a timely request for review from the petitioner, E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Company. On September 17, 1996, the Department initiated a review of Ausimont S.p.A. (61 FR 48882).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is granular PTFE resins, filled or unfilled. This order also covers PTFE wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy; Final Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order,* 58 FR 26100 (April 30, 1993). This order excludes PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders. During the period covered by this review, such merchandise was classified under item number 3904.61.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS). We are providing this HTS number for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

The review covers one Italian manufacturer/exporter of granular PTFE resin, Ausimont S.p.A., and the period August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

Constructed Export Price

The Department calculated constructed export price (CEP) as defined in section 772(b) of the Act because all sales to unrelated parties were made after importation of the subject merchandise into the United States. We based CEP on the packed, delivered prices to unrelated purchasers in the United States (the starting price). We made deductions for movement expenses, in accordance with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act, including international freight, marine insurance, brokerage and handling, U.S. inland freight, other transportation expenses, and U.S. customs duties.

In accordance with section 772(d)(1) of the Act and the Statement of Administrative Action (SAA) accompanying the URAA (at 823–824), we also adjusted the starting price by deducting selling expenses associated with economic activities occurring in the United States, including direct selling expenses assumed on behalf of the buyer and U.S. indirect selling expenses. Finally, we made an adjustment for an amount of profit allocated to these expenses, in accordance with section 772(d)(3) of the Act and as described in section 772(f).

For sales of granular PTFE resin finished in the United States from PTFE wet raw polymer imported from Italy, we determined that the special rule for merchandise with value added after importation under section 772(e) of the Act did not apply because the value added in the United States by the affiliated person did not exceed substantially the value of the subject merchandise. Therefore, for subject merchandise further manufactured in the United States, we used the starting price of the subject merchandise and deducted the costs of further manufacturing to determine the CEP for such merchandise in accordance with section 772(d)(2) of the Act. We deducted the costs of further manufacturing in the United States and that portion of the profit on sales of further-manufactured merchandise attributable to the additional manufacturing. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.