Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 22, 1997. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 6, 1997).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Trade Zone Services Corporation, 600 West Service Road, Suite 307A, Washington Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: May 13, 1997.

## John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–13664 Filed 5–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 887]

## Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 20 Hampton Roads, Virginia, Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas,* an application from the Virginia Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 20, for authority to expand Foreign-Trade Zone 20 to include ten additional sites in the Hampton Roads and Front Royal, Virginia, areas, was filed by the Board on April 15, 1996 (FTZ Docket 30–96, 61 FR 18380. 4/25/97): and.

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, Therefore,* the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 20 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and subject to the standard 2,000-acre activation limit. Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of May 1997.

## Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board. [FR Doc. 97–13667 Filed 5–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

#### Notice of Court Decision: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 18, 1997, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's results of redetermination pursuant to remand of the final determination of sales at less than fair value in the investigation of canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. *Thai Public Pineapple Co.* v. *United States,* Slip Op. 97–32.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gabriel Adler at (202) 482–1442 or Kris Campbell at (202) 482–3813, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 5, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its final affirmative antidumping determination (final determination) in the less-thanfair-value (LTFV) investigation of canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. 60 FR 36775. On July 18, 1995, the Department published an amended final determination and antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. 60 FR 36775. In the final determination, for three Thai respondents, the Department used the pineapple fruit cost allocations from each company's normal accounting system because each company's allocation methodology was consistent with Thai generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and reasonably reflected the actual production costs incurred during the period of investigation. For the fourth respondent, Dole, the Department relied upon an average of the fruit cost allocation percentages normally used by the other three because, although Dole's

allocation methodology was consistent with Thai GAAP, it did not reasonably reflect the costs associated with production of canned pineapple fruit ("CPF"). The Department did not use the alternative fruit cost methodologies submitted by respondents, which were based on the relative weight of fresh pineapple fruit in CPF and other products.

The respondents sued, arguing, inter alia, that the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's (CAFC) decision in *IPSCO, Inc.* v. *United States,* 965 F.2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1992) ("*IPSCO*"), mandates the use of a weight-based cost allocation methodology.

On November 8, 1996, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded the case to the Department with instructions either to accept the weightbased methodologies for allocation of costs submitted by the respondents, or to rely on another "non-output pricebased cost allocation methodology. Slip Op. 96–182. The CIT held that the Department's reliance on the allocations of costs in the respondents' normal accounting systems was "arbitrary, capricious, not based on substantial evidence and contrary to law" because, according to the CIT, these allocations were "unreliable and distortive of actual costs." *Id.* at 19. The CIT then held that the CAFC in IPSCO had held that only a weight-based allocation of costs is permitted under the antidumping statute. Id. at 28-29.

On February 4, 1997, the Department filed its remand with the CIT. In the remand, the Department stated that although it respectfully disagreed with the CIT's decision, it had nonetheless complied with the CIT's instructions and had revised its determination to reflect the weight-based fruit cost allocation methodologies submitted by the respondents. On March 18, 1997, the CIT affirmed the Department's remand determination. Slip. Op. 97–32.

We note that in its decision in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the CAFC held that, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. §1516a(e), the Department must publish notice of a court decision which is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT opinions in Thai Public Pineapple Co. v. United States on November 8, 1996, and March 18, 1997, constitute a decision not in harmony with the Department's final determination. Publication of this notice fulfills the "Timken" requirement.

Åbsent an appeal, or, if appealed, upon a "conclusive" court decision affirming the CIT's opinion, the Department will amend the amended final LTFV determination to reflect the margins in the Department's redetermination on remand filed with the CIT on February 4, 1997. Liquidation of entries continues to be suspended pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or, if the CIT's decision is appealed, pending a "conclusive" court decision, or, where applicable, pending the final results of the first administrative review.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 97–13669 Filed 5–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### [A-588-028]

## Roller Chain, Other Than Bicycle, From Japan: Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of termination of

antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: In response to requests made by the American Chain Association (petitioner), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated reviews for various respondents in the antidumping proceeding of roller chain, other than bicycle, for the periods April 1, 1981 through March 31, 1983; April 1, 1983 through March 31, 1984; April 1, 1984 through March 31, 1985; April 1, 1985 through March 31, 1986; April 1, 1987 through March 31, 1988; April 1, 1988 through March 31, 1989; April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1990; and April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992. On May 6, 1997, petitioner filed withdrawals of its review requests with regard to certain respondents for which the above-cited review results are still pending. Because there were no requests for review from the respondents affected by this termination notice, we are now terminating these reviews.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Blaskovich, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–5831.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On April 12, 1973, the Department published in the Federal Register (38 FR 9226) the antidumping duty finding on roller chain, other than bicycle, from Japan. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), we published in the Federal Register notices of initiation of administrative reviews for the periods April 1, 1981 through March 31, 1983 (51 FR 2747, January 21, 1986); April 1, 1983 through March 31, 1984 and April 1, 1984 through March 31, 1985 (51 FR 24883, July 9, 1986); April 1, 1985 through March 31, 1986 (51 FR 18475, May 20, 1986); April 1, 1987 through March 31, 1988 (53 FR 18324, May 23, 1988); April 1, 1988 through March 31, 1989 (54 FR 22465, May 24, 1989); April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1990 55 FR 22366, June 1, 1990); and April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992 (57 FR 21769, May 22, 1992). Although the Department has issued final results for most of the companies reviewed for these periods, reviews are still incomplete with regard to the following companies: Daido Kogyo Co., Ltd., Enuma Chain Manufacturing Co., Ltd. or Daido Tsusho Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Meisei Trading Co., Ltd.), and Izumi Chain. On May 6, 1997, petitioner withdrew its requests for review for the above-cited review periods, with the exception of the 1981 through 1983 period, regarding Daido Kogyo Co., Ltd., Enuma Chain Manufacturing Co., Ltd. or Daido Tsusho Co., Ltd. In addition, on May 16, 1997, petitioner withdrew its request for review for the period October 1, 1982 through March 31, 1983 for the company Izumi Chain. There were no requests for review from other interested parties, and completion of these reviews would place an administrative burden on the Department. Therefore, although petitioner's request was not filed in a timely manner, in accordance with CFR 353.22(a)(5), the Department finds it reasonable to extend the time limit for accepting the withdrawal request. We are, therefore terminating the abovecited administrative reviews for the companies Daido Kogyo Co., Ltd., Enuma Chain Manufacturing Co., Ltd. or Daido Tsusho Co., Ltd., and Izumi Chain.

This termination notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: May 19, 1997. Jeffrey P. Bialos, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 97–13663 Filed 5–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### Business Development Mission to Belfast and Londonderry (Derry), Northern Ireland, October 13–17, 1997

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice serves to inform the public of a trade and investment mission to Belfast and Londonderry, Northern Ireland to be held October 13-17, 1997; provides interested U.S. firms with the opportunity to submit an application to participate in the mission; sets forth objectives, procedures, and selection review criteria for the mission; and requests applications. The recruitment and selection of private sector participants in the mission will be conducted in accordance with the Statement of Policy **Governing Department of Commerce** Overseas Trade Missions announced by Secretary Daley on March 3, 1997 and reflected herein.

**DATES:** Applications should be received by July 30, 1997. The Mission is scheduled for October 13-17, 1997. Applications received after that date will be considered only if space and scheduling constraints permit. ADDRESSES: Requests for and submission of applications: Applications are available from Virginia Manuel, Senior Advisor and Project Manager, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 3868, telephone: 202-482-5853 and facsimile: 202-482-5444, Washington, D.C. 20230. An original and two copies of the required application materials should be sent to the Project Manager at the above address. Applications sent by facsimile must be immediately followed by submission of the original application.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Mission Description**

The U.S. Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration (ITA) will organize a business development mission to Northern Ireland (NI), October 13–17, 1997. The delegation, which will be led by a senior Departmental official, will be comprised