summary report sent to the parties. Individually or jointly, the parties must then resolve or delete the unmatched trade by taking one or more of the following on-line actions: delete, DK (don't know), affirm, and new input. Unmatched trades will remain on a transaction summary report until resolved. Until an unmatched trade is resolved or deleted, the participant(s) that have not taken one or more of the on-line actions will be subject to the imposition of any associated late fees by MBSCC. Late fees are similarly assessed against the participant(s) with unmatched trades in CCS. For purposes of computing the late fees, each missed processing pass after a two pass grace period will result in a separate assessment against the participant(s). If the unmatched trade is resolved, MBSCC will compare and confirm it with a purchase and sale report as described above.

II. Discussion

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) ⁵ of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. The Commission believes that MBSCC's proposed rule change is consistent with MBSCC's obligation under the Act because the COS provides a more efficient means to compare trade data for mortgage-backed securities.

The objective of COS is to improve the means by which trades in mortgage-backed securities are compared by providing a centralized and automated alternative to the current method of verbal contact and physical processing. By automating the means by which trade data is compared, MBSCC is fulfilling its statutory obligation of promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It Is Therefore Ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–MBSCC–97–03) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 6

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–14690 Filed 6–4–97; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–38694; File No. SR–MSRB–97–3]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board Relating to Fee for Backlog Document Collection of its Official Statement/Advance Refunding Document Subsystem of the Municipal Securities Information Library

May 29, 1997.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 notice is hereby given that on May 20, 1997, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("Board" or "MSRB") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") a proposed rule change (File No. SR-MSRB-97-3). The proposed rule change is described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Board. The Board has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee or other charge under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,2 which renders the proposed rule change effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The MSRB is filing herewith a proposed rule change to establish a fee relating to the operation of its Official Statement/Advance Refunding Document ("OS/ARD") subsystem of the Municipal Securities Information Library® ("MSIL®") system.³ The Board is establishing a price of \$7,000 (plus delivery or postage charges) for its 1996 document collection of official statements and refunding documents, sold as a "backlog" collection.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Board included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The texts of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Board has prepared summaries, set forth in Section A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The OS/ARD subsystem, which was activated on April 20, 1992, is a central electronic facility through which information that is collected and stored pursuant to MSRB rule G-36 is made available electronically and in paper form to market participants and information vendors.4 The annual subscription fee for daily tapes of images of current year documents from the OS/ARD system currently is \$14,000.5 The fees for backlog collections are substantially less than fees for an annual subscription because an annual subscription requires the Board to send a computer tape to the subscriber each business day, but a backlog day, but a backlog collection requires fewer tapes.⁶ The Board is establishing a price of \$7,000 (plus delivery or postage charges) for the 1996 backlog collection.

In its prior filings with the Commission, the Board stated that it intends to use its general revenues to help fund collecting, indexing and storing the OS/ARD subsystem's

^{5 15} U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

^{6 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1988).

² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

³ Municipal Securities Information Library and MSIL are registered trademarks of the Board. The MSIL system, which was approved in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 29298 (June 13, 1991), 56 FR 28194 (June 19, 1991), is a central facility through which information about municipal securities is collected, stored and disseminated.

⁴ Rule G–36 requires underwriters to provide copies of final official statements and advance refunding documents within certain specified time frames for most new issues issued since January 1, 1990

⁵This fee was filed with the Commission. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 37361 (June 25, 1996), 61 FR 34463 (July 2, 1996).

⁶ Currently, several business day's worth of documents are on each tape in an annual collection. The backlog fee plus delivery costs for 1995 is \$9,000; 1994 is \$7,000; 1993 is \$9,000; 1992 is \$7,000; 1991 is \$8,000; 1990 is \$6,000. These fees were filed with the Commission. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 37361 (June 25, 1996), 61 FR 34463 (July 2, 1996) (1996 and 1995 fees) Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35848 (June 14, 1995), 60 FR 32187 (June 20, 1995) (1994 fee); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 32482 (June 16, 1993), 58 FR 34115 (June 23, 1993) (1992 and 1990 fees); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34602 (Aug. 25, 1994), 59 FR 45319 (Sept. 1, 1994) (1993 and 1991 fees). The fees for the backlog collections vary based on the number of documents received and processed in any given year.

documents. However, the Board stated its intention that the costs of producing and disseminating magnetic tapes (and paper copies) would be completely covered by user fees. The Board is establishing the 1996 backlog collection fee to defray its cost of disseminating the collection tapes. This is consistent with the Commission's policy that self-regulatory organizations' fees be based on expenses incurred in providing information to the public.

The Board believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15B(b)(2)(C) of the Act, which requires, in pertinent part, that the Board's rules shall:

be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in municipal securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market in municipal securities, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Board believes that employing costbased prices is in the pubic interest since it will ensure that a complete collection of vital information will be available, at fair and reasonable prices, for the life of the municipal securities. The MSIL system is designed to increase the integrity and efficiency of the municipal securities market by, among other things, helping to ensure that the price charged for an issue in the secondary market reflects all available official information about that issue. The Board believes that the 1996 backlog fee is fair and reasonable in light of the costs associated with disseminating the information, and that the services provided by the MSIL system are available on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms to any interested person.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Board does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Board has designated this proposed rule change as establishing or changing a dues, fee or other charge under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,⁸ which renders the proposed rule change effective on May 20, 1997, the date of receipt of this filing by the Commission.

At any time within sixty days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change it if appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the Board's principal offices. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-MSRB-97-3 and should be submitted by June 26, 1997.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 9

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 97–14620 Filed 6–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–38692; File No. SR-NASD-97–34]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to Miscellaneous Amendments to Arbitration Procedures and Clarifications of the Code of Arbitration Procedure

May 29, 1997.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on May 5, 1997, 1 the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or "Association") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASD Regulation, Inc. ("NASDR") is proposing to amend the Code of Arbitration Procedure ("Code") to make certain minor procedural changes designed to enhance the arbitration process. Specifically, NASDR is proposing to amend: (1) Rule 10305 (formerly Section 16), to permit arbitrators to dismiss claims with and without prejudice; (2) 10310 (formerly Section 21), to extend the time periods for notice of selection of arbitrators and further inquiries concerning an arbitrator; (3) Rule 10311 (formerly Section 22), to permit the Director of Arbitration to grant additional peremptory challenges of arbitrators; (4) Rule 10313 (formerly Section 24), to extend the time in which a party can exercise its right to challenge a replacement arbitrator; and (5) rule 10330 (formerly Section 41), to permit awards to be served by facsimile.

 $^{^7}$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 28197 (July 12, 1990), 55 FR 29436 (July 19, 1990).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{9 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹The NASD filed Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 with the Commission on May 13, 1997, and May 22, 1997, respectively, the substance of which are incorporated into the notice. See letters from Elliot R. Curzon, Assistant General Counsel, NASDR, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Market Regulation, Commission, dated May 8, 1997 ("Amendment No. 1") and May 20, 1997 ("Amendment No. 2).