

way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or state, local or tribal governments or communities. The proposed rule is neither inconsistent with, nor does it interfere with, actions taken or planned by other agencies. Finally, it raises no novel legal or policy issues.

A "description of the reasons why action by the agency is being considered" and a "succinct statement of the objectives of, and legal basis for, the proposed rule"—all as required by 5 U.S.C. 553(b)—are found elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

FinCEN hereby presents the following information concerning the retention of information on currency and monetary instruments, in accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, to assist those persons wishing to comment on the proposed information retention requirement.

*Title:* Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instruments.

*Form Number:* U.S. Customs Service Form 4790.

*OMB Number:* 1506-0005.

*Description of Respondents:* All persons.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 250,000.

*Frequency:* As required.

*Estimate of Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* Reporting burden estimate = approximately 54,167 hours; recordkeeping burden estimate = 8,333 hours. Estimated combined total of 62,500 hours per year.

*Estimate of Total Annual Cost to Respondents for Hour Burdens:* Based on \$20 per hour, the total cost of compliance with the proposed recordkeeping rule is estimated to be approximately \$1,250,000.

*Estimate of Total Other Annual Costs to Respondents:* None.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

FinCEN specifically invites comments on the following subjects: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary to further the purposes of the Bank Secrecy Act, including whether the information retained shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of FinCEN's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be retained; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the affected industry, including through the use of automated storage and retrieval

techniques or other forms of information technology.

In addition, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, *supra*, requires agencies to estimate the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the retention of information. Thus, FinCEN also specifically requests comments to assist with this estimate. In this connection, FinCEN requests commenters to identify any additional costs associated with the retention of the information covered by the requirement.

The information collection in the proposed rule has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review under section 3507(d) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments on the proposed collection may be directed to FinCEN, Office of Regulatory Policy and Enforcement, 2070 Chain Bridge Road, Suite 200, Vienna, VA 22182-2536, Attn: Paperwork Reduction Act, and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attn: Desk Officer for the Treasury Department. Responses to this request for comments from FinCEN will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

#### List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 103

Authority delegations (Government agencies), Banks, banking, Currency, Foreign banking, Gambling, Investigations, Law enforcement, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Taxes.

#### Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 31 CFR Part 103 is proposed to be amended as follows:

### PART 103—FINANCIAL RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING OF CURRENCY AND FOREIGN TRANSACTIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 103 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1829b and 1951-1959; 31 U.S.C. 5311-5330.

2. Section 103.11 is amended by:
  - a. Removing the word "and" at the end of paragraph (u)(1)(iv);
  - b. Removing the period and adding "and" at the end of paragraph (u)(1)(v); and
  - c. Adding new paragraph (u)(1)(vi). The addition reads as follows:

#### § 103.11 Meaning of terms.

\* \* \* \* \*

(u) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \*

(vi) For purposes of § 103.23 and other provisions of this part implementing 31 U.S.C. 5316, official bank checks, cashier's checks, drafts, and similar instruments issued or made out by a foreign bank on an account in the name of, or maintained on behalf of, such foreign bank in the United States.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: January 15, 1997.

Stanley E. Morris,  
Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement  
Network.

[FR Doc. 97-1403 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-03-P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 157-0022b; FRL-5677-1]

#### Clean Air Act Approval and Promulgation of Emission Reduction Credit Banking Provisions; Implementation Plan for California State Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rules submitted by the State of California on behalf of the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD or the District) for the purpose of meeting requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act) with regard to emission reduction credit (ERC) banking for new source review (NSR).

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to control air pollution in accordance with the requirements of the Act. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second public comment period on this

document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by February 21, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Steve Ringer, Permits Office (A-5-1), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report for the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are available for inspection at the following locations:

Permitting Office (A-5-1), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street S.W., Washington, DC 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Mojave Desert AQMD, 15428 Civic Drive, Suite 200, Victorville, CA 92392-2383.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Ringer at (415) 744-1260.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA is proposing to approve the following rules into the SIP:

Rule 1400, General; rule 1401, Definitions; rule 1402, Emission Reduction Credit Registry; and rule 1404, Emission Reduction Credit Calculation (rules 1400, 1401, 1402, and 1404 will hereafter be referred to as the "submitted rules"). The submitted rules were adopted on June 28, 1995, and were submitted by the State of California to EPA on August 10, 1995. EPA found the submitted rules to be complete on October 4, 1995.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: December 8, 1996.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Felicia Marcus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-1422 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[IN70-1b; FRL-5675-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On February 13, 1996, and June 27, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted rules for the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from shipbuilding and ship repair operations in Clark, Floyd, Lake, and Porter Counties, as a requested revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone. This rule is part of the State's 15% Rate-of-Progress plan for reducing VOC emissions in Clark and Floyd Counties. This rule requires facilities which build or repair commercial ships or barges to use coatings which meet volatile organic compound content limits, as well as comply with certain work practices to lower emissions when using solvents. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before February 21, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18-J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18-J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark J. Palermo, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6082.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: December 24, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-1426 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 105-0012b; FRL-5673-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Kern County Air Pollution Control District; San Diego Air Pollution Control District; Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from the operations of boilers, steam generators, process heaters, electric utility boilers, internal combustion engines, and stationary gas turbines.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rule Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by February 21, 1997.