

AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On December 9, 1996, Peregrine Outfitters, Inc., (Peregrine) requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to partially revoke the order with regard to imports of stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea. The order with regard to imports of other types of stainless steel cooking ware is not affected by this request. In addition, on December 9, 1996, Revere Ware Corp. (petitioner) informed the Department in writing that it did not object to the changed circumstances review and had no interest in the importation or sale of stainless steel camping cooking ware produced in the Republic of Korea, as described by Peregrine.

We preliminarily determined that petitioner's affirmative statement of no interest constituted changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a partial revocation of this order. Consequently, on December 20, 1996, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and intent to revoke this order in part (61 FR 67320). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review. We received no comments.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea. This changed circumstances administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of stainless steel cooking ware meeting the following specifications of

stainless steel camping cooking ware: (1) made of single-ply stainless steel having a thickness no greater than 6.0 millimeters; and (2) consists of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 quart saucepans without handles and 2.5, 4.0, and 5.0 quart saucepans with folding bail handles and with lids that also serve as fry pans. These camping cooking ware items can be nested inside each other in order to save space when packing for camping or backpacking. The order with regard to imports of other stainless steel cooking ware is not affected by this request.

Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

The affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners in stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of this order. Therefore, the Department is partially revoking the order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea with regard to cooking ware which meets the specifications of stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1).

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to proceed with liquidation, without regard to antidumping duties, of all unliquidated entries of stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea that are not subject to final results of administrative review. The Department will further instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of stainless steel camping cooking ware from the Republic of Korea that are not subject to final results of administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances administrative review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order and notice are in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and §§ 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: January 14, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-1760 Filed 1-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of application to amend certificate.

SUMMARY: The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. An original and five (5) copies should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1800H, Washington, D.C. 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 95-A0005."

The Connell Company ("TCC") original Certificate was issued on November 13, 1995 (60 FR 61682,

December 1, 1995). A summary of the application for an amendment follows.

Summary of the Application

Applicant: The Connell Company ("TCC"), 45 Cardinal Drive, Westfield, New Jersey 07090-1099.

Contact: Grover Connell, President.

Telephone: (908) 233-0700.

Application No.: 95-A0005.

Date Deemed Submitted: January 15, 1997.

Proposed Amendment: TCC seeks to amend its Certificate to expand the covered Products to include all "Japonica rice." The Product Category would be in its entirety "Japonica rice (including rough/paddy, brown, and milled Japonica rice)."

Dated: January 17, 1997.

W. Dawn Busby,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-1672 Filed 1-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 97-C0004]

NuTone, Inc., a Corporation; Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement under the Consumer Product Safety Act.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Consumer Product Safety Act in the Federal Register in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20(e). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with NuTone, Inc., a corporation.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by February 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 97-C0004, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald G. Yelenik, Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-0626.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: January 21, 1997.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary.

Settlement Agreement and Order

1. This Settlement Agreement and Order, entered into between NuTone, Inc., a corporation (hereinafter, "NuTone"), and the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (hereinafter, "staff"), pursuant to the procedures set forth in 16 CFR 1118.20, is a compromise resolution of the matter described herein, without a hearing or determination of issues of law and fact.

I. The Parties

2. The "Staff" is the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (hereinafter, "Commission"), an independent federal regulatory agency of the United States Government, established by Congress pursuant to section 4 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (hereinafter, "CPSA"), as amended, 15 U.S.C. 2053.

3. Respondent NuTone is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal corporate offices located in Cincinnati, Ohio.

II. Jurisdiction

4. Between December 1989 and October 1993, NuTone manufactured and sold certain Model ST-1000, Stereo Cassette Players (hereinafter, "ST-1000" or the "Stereo(s)") to retail stores, electrical distributors, and home construction companies nationwide. The ST-1000 is a "consumer product", and NuTone is a "manufacturer" of a "consumer product" which is "distributed in commerce", as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(1), (4) and (11) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1), (4) and (11).

III. The Product

5. The ST-1000 is a wall mounted AM/FM stereo receiver and cassette tape player. It consists of a master unit and additional speakers which can be installed in various rooms of a house.

IV. Staff Allegations

6. The Stereo contains a defect which could create a substantial product hazard and creates an unreasonable risk of serious injury in that components in the unit's power supply board may overheat, thereby creating a potential fire hazard.

7. On or about March 23, 1993, NuTone first became aware of a report of a fire incident involving the Stereo.

8. Between March 23, 1993 and June 6, 1995, the date NuTone reported to the Commission, NuTone learned of approximately twelve fire or smoke damage incidents involving the ST-1000.

9. Although NuTone obtained sufficient information to reasonably support the conclusion that the Stereo, described in paragraph 5 above, contained a defect which could create a substantial product hazard, or created an unreasonable risk of serious injury or death, it failed to report such information to the Commission as required by section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b). This failure to furnish information required by section 15(b) of the CPSA is a knowing violation of section 19(a)(4) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2068(a)(4), and subjects NuTone to civil penalties under section 20 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2069.

V. Response of NuTone

10. There have been no allegations or claims of injury associated with this product. NuTone denies that its ST-1000 contains a defect which creates or could create a substantial product hazard within the meaning of section 15(a) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(a), or creates an unreasonable risk of serious injury or death, and further denies an obligation to report information to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b), with respect to the Stereo.

VI. Agreement of the Parties

11. The Commission has jurisdiction in this matter for proposes of entry and enforcement of this Settlement Agreement and Order.

12. This Agreement is in settlement of the Staff's allegations and does not constitute an admission by NuTone or a determination by the Commission that the ST-1000 contains a defect which creates or could create a substantial product hazard within the meaning of section 15(a) of the CPSA or that NuTone violated the reporting provisions of section 15(b) of the CPSA.

13. NuTone knowingly, voluntarily and completely waives any rights it may have (1) to an administrative or judicial hearing with respect to the Commission's claim for a civil penalty, (2) to judicial review or other challenge or contest of the validity of the Commission's action with regard to its claim for a civil penalty, (3) to a determination by the Commission as to whether a violation of section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b), has occurred, (4) to a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law with regard to the Commission's claim for a