

**Note 1:** The above outflow/safety valves are referenced in AlliedSignal Aerospace Service Bulletin 103570-21-4012, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995; Service Bulletin 103648-21-4022, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995; and Service Bulletin 103598-21-4024, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995. In addition, Beechcraft Service Bulletin 2484, Revision 1, dated October, 1995, references the AlliedSignal service bulletins.

**Note 2:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent outflow/safety valve cracking and consequent failure, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) For the airplanes referenced in the "Airplane Models and Serial Numbers That Are Equipped with Allied Signal Outflow Valves" table that is included in the "Applicability" section of this AD: Within the next 4 months after the effective date of this AD, replace (with a new or serviceable valve) any outflow/safety valve that does not have one of the following:

(1) The valve identification plate MOD RECORD stamped "PCA" (Poppet Change Accomplished); or

(2) A valve with an inked ATD Quality Assurance "Functional Test (FT)" stamp that is dated June 1992, or later.

(b) For all airplanes: As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any affected airplane any outflow/safety valve that is referenced in the "Applicable Outflow Safety Valves With Applicable Airplane Models" table that is included in the "Applicability" section of this AD.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the documents referred to herein upon request to AlliedSignal Aerospace, Technical Publications, Department 65-70, P.O. Box 52170, Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2170; or may examine these documents at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 29, 1997.

**Henry A. Armstrong,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 97-20442 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[MD040-4014b and MD047-4014b; FRL-5867-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Degreasing Operations and Vehicle Refinishing, and Definition of Motor Vehicle

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing volatile organic compound (VOC) emission control requirements for degreasing operations and vehicle refinishing. EPA is also proposing to approve the SIP revision submitted by the State of Maryland that establishes a definition for the term "motor vehicle." In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views them as noncontroversial SIP revisions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by September 3, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone/CO and Mobile Sources Section, Mailcode 3AT21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maria A. Pino, (215) 566-2181, at the EPA Region III office address listed above, or via e-mail at pino.maria@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, comments must be submitted in writing to the above Region III address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title, pertaining to Maryland's degreasing and vehicle refinishing regulations, which is located in the rules and regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 22, 1997.

**Thomas Voltaggio,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 97-20472 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 179-0045b; FRL-5863-5]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises the definition of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and updates the Exempt Compound list in rules from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate

changes to the definition of VOC and to update the Exempt Compound list in BAAQMD rules to be consistent with the revised federal and state VOC definitions. EPA is proposing approval of these revisions to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these administrative changes as noncontroversial revision amendments and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by September 3, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office [Air-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95814.

Bay Area Air Quality Management  
District, 939 Ellis Street, San  
Francisco, CA 94109.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office  
[Air-4], Air Division, U.S.  
Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San  
Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone  
(415) 744-1197.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

This document concerns BAAQMD  
Rule 8-4, General Solvent and Surface  
Coating Operations; Rule 8-11, Metal

Container, Closure and Coil Coating;  
Rule 8-12, Paper, Fabric, and Film  
Coating; Rule 8-13, Light and Medium  
Duty Motor Vehicle Assembly Plants;  
Rule 8-14, Surface Coating of Large  
Appliance and Metal Furniture; Rule 8-  
19, Surface Coating of Miscellaneous  
Metal Parts and Products; Rule 8-20,  
Graphic Arts Printing and Coating; Rule  
8-23, Coating of Flat Wood Paneling  
and Wood Flat Stock; Rule 8-29,  
Aerospace Assembly and Component  
Coating Operations; 8-31, Surface  
Coating of Plastic Parts and Products;  
Rule 8-32, Wood Products; Rule 8-38,  
Flexible and Rigid Disc Manufacturing;  
Rule 8-43, Surface Coating of Marine  
Vessels; Rule 8-45, Motor Vehicle and  
Mobile Equipment Coating Operations;  
and 8-50, Polyester Resin Operations.  
These rules were submitted to EPA on  
October 18, 1996 by the California Air  
Resources Board. For further  
information, please see the information  
provided in the Direct Final action  
which is located in the Rules Section of  
this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 10, 1997.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-20362 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[VT-015-01-1217b; A-1-FRL-5860-1]

### Clean Air Act Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Vermont: PM10 Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increments

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing full  
approval of a State Implementation Plan  
(SIP) revision submitted by the State of  
Vermont, which replaces the total  
suspended particulate (TSP) prevention  
of significant (PSD) increments with  
increments for PM10 (particulate matter  
with an aerodynamic diameter smaller  
than or equal to a nominal 10  
micrometers). This action is being taken  
under the Clean Air Act. In the Final  
Rules Section of this **Federal Register**,  
EPA is approving the Vermont's SIP  
revision as a direct final rule without  
prior proposal because the Agency  
views this as a noncontroversial  
revision and anticipates no adverse  
comments. A detailed rationale for the

approval is set forth in the direct final  
rule. If no adverse comments are  
received in response to that direct final  
rule, no further activity is contemplated  
in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA  
does receive adverse comments, the  
direct final rule will be withdrawn and  
all public comments received will be  
addressed in a subsequent final rule  
based on this proposed rule. EPA will  
not institute a second comment period  
on this proposal. Any parties interested  
in commenting on this proposal should  
do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on  
or before September 3, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to  
Susan Studlien, Deputy Director, Office  
of Ecosystem Protection, EPA-Region 1,  
JFK Federal Bldg (CAA), Boston, MA  
02203. Copies of Vermont's submittal  
and EPA's technical support document  
are available for public inspection by  
appointment during normal business  
hours at the following locations: Office  
of Ecosystem Protection, EPA-Region 1,  
One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston,  
MA 02203; Air Pollution Control  
Division, Agency of Natural Resources,  
Building 3 South, 103 South Main  
Street, Waterbury, VT 05676; and Air  
and Radiation Docket and Information  
Center, 401 M Street, SW, Washington,  
DC 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Susan Lancey at (617) 565-3587 or  
lancey.susan@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For  
additional information, see the direct  
final rule which is located in the rules  
section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 18, 1997.

**John P. DeVillars,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA-Region 1.*

[FR Doc. 97-19623 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[FRL-5868-2]

### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; States of Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve  
Municipal Waste Combustors (MWC)  
111(d)/129 Plan negative declarations  
submitted by the states of Iowa, Kansas,