CNG states that the existing Jefferson Station must be expanded to provide additional natural gas service to Washington Gas Light Company (Washington Gas Light). CNG states that Washington Gas Light has requested an increase from 2,000 Dth/day to 24,000 Dth/day in the natural gas service CNG provides them through the Jefferson Station. CNG states that the maximum daily design delivery capacity of the modified Jefferson Station equipment is 24,000 Dth/day.

CNG states that under Letter Agreement dated May 29, 1997, Washington Gas Light has consented to execute a new service agreement with CNG for additional deliveries of gas. CNG also states that the total estimated cost of the construction is \$1,200,000, and that Washington Gas Light will reimburse CNG for one half of the costs of such expansion upon completion of the station.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

## Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–21610 Filed 8–14–97; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-681-000]

## Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 11, 1997.

Take notice that on August 4, 1997, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Florida 77002, filed in Docket No. CP97–681–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and

157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point in Levy County, Florida under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–553–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposes to construct, operate, and own an additional delivery point for West Florida Natural Gas Company (West Florida) at or near mile post 53.2 on its existing Inglis Lateral in Levy County, Florida. FGT states that the subject delivery point will include a tap, a valve, minor connecting pipe, electronic flow measurement equipment, and other related appurtenant facilities necessary for FGT to deliver up to a maximum of 200 MMBtu per day and 73,000 per year. FGT will be reimbursed for the construction costs which is estimated at \$57,000. FGT further states that West Florida will construct, own, and operate the meter and regulation station.

FGT states that the delivery point is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers. The proposed delivery point will not have an effect on FGT's peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. 97–21611 Filed 8–14–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1218]

## Georgia Power Company; Notice of Availability of Study Results and Request for Additional Studies

August 11, 1997.

Georgia Power Company is currently engaged in the process of obtaining from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a new license for the Flint River Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 1218). The current license for the project is due to expire on September 30, 2001. The project is located on the Flint River, near the City of Albany, in Dougherty and Lee Counties, Georgia. Under the Commission's Regulations, an application for license for the project must by filed by September 30, 1999. Georgia Power Company is managing relicensing activities in cooperation with a team of federal and state resource agencies, conservation groups, and local governments (the Consultation Team).

Pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and the Commission's Regulations, Georgia Power Company intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) as part of the license application, to be filed with the Commission, for the project. A public scoping meeting was held on September 12, 1995 to identify the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the DEA.

Based on information contained in Scoping Document I, and following receipt of additional informational from resource agencies and other interested parties, Georgia Power Company prepared and circulated Scoping Document II. Study plans, designed to address the environmental concerns raised during the scoping process, were subsequently prepared by Georgia Power Company and their environmental consultant. The study plans were then finalized, and studies were undertaken from late Spring 1996 through late Spring 1997. During the field studies, Georgia Power Company and their environmental consultant worked closely with the participating agencies to coordinate and refine the studies. During the period from August 15, 1997 until October 14, 1997, these study reports will be available for public review in Georgia Power Company's public library at its offices at 333 Piedmont Avenue in Atlanta, Georgia. The study reports will also be available in the Commission's Public Reference Room at 888 1st Street, NE in

Washington, D.C. The public is invited to review these documents and to file comments on the adequacy of these studies in addressing issues raised during the scoping process. Comments on these studies and requests for any additional studies are due by October 14, 1997.

Because Section 4.32(b)(7) of the Commission's regulations has been previously waived, we are requesting that if any resource agency, Indian tribe, or person believes that an additional scientific study should be conducted in order to form an adequate factual basis for a complete analysis of the project on its merit, the resource agency, Indian tribe, or person must file a request for a study with the Secretary of the Commission at 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426 by October 14, 1997, and serve a copy of the request on Mr. Mike Phillips, Georgia Power Company, Bin 20020, 333 Piedmont Avenue, Atlanta, GA 30308.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–21614 Filed 8–14–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-3428-000]

#### Tri-Valley Corporation; Notice of Issuance of Order

August 11, 1997.

Tri-Valley Corporation (Tri-Valley) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which Tri-Valley will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. Tri-Valley also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Tri-Valley requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Tri-Valley.

On August 6, 1997, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Tri-Valley should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Tri-Valley is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Tri-Valley's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is September 5, 1997. Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–21612 Filed 8–14–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-3361-000, et al.]

# Indianapolis Power & Light Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 8, 1997.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

# 1. Indianapolis Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER97-3361-000]

Take notice that on June 18, 1997, Indianapolis Power & Light Company (IPL), tendered for filing a power sales agreement executed between IPL and Commonwealth Edison Company.

Copies of this filing were sent to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission and Commonwealth Edison Company.

Comment date: August 22, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 2. Louisiana Public Service Company v. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. EL97-50-000]

Take notice that on February 5, 1997, the Louisiana Public Service Commission filed a second amended complaint under Sections 205 and 206 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 824d and 824e against Entergy Services, Inc. As the representative of Entergy Corporation and its operating companies. The complaint seeks a revision of the Entergy System Agreement based upon allegations that the terms of the agreement, under current circumstances, are unjust and unreasonable. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the absence of any provision in the System Agreement excluding curtailable load from the determination of a company's load responsibility under the System Agreement results in an unjust and unreasonable cost allocating to companies that do not cause these costs to be incurred, and results in crosssubsidation among the companies. Additionally, it is alleged that the absence of any provision in MSS-3 for allocating marginal energy costs to customers that purchase energy under Entergy's "real time pricing" rate schedules at the retail level discriminates against a company that offers real time pricing.

Comment date: September 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 3. Zond Development Corporation; Zond Minnesota Development Corporation II

[Docket Nos. ER97-2532-001; ER97-2904-001]

Take notice that on August 1, 1997, **Zond Development Corporation and** Zond Minnesota Development Corporation II tendered for filing in compliance with the Commission's July 17, 1997 order in the above-referenced dockets, a compliance filing informing the Commission that they are currently bound by a code of conduct governing their relationship with Portland General Electric Company. That code of conduct, which is attached to the filing for informational purposes, was initially filed with the Commission by Enron Power Marketing, Inc., on September 20, 1996, in Docket No. ER96-3065-000, and was accepted by the Commission in Enron Corp., 78 FERC ¶ 61,179 (1997).

Comment date: August 22, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 4. San Diego Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-3638-000]

Take notice that on July 28, 1997, San Diego Gas & Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-docketed proceeding.

Comment date: August 22, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.