The Emission Standards Division (ESD) of the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards plans to use the survey responses to develop legally defensible maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards. The focus of the survey is on determinations of HAP emissions, emission controls, and control performance, which are critical elements in the development of technology-based standards. Other questions in the survey provide information that ESD will use to develop reasonable estimates of impacts associated with potential standards, including emission reductions, cost, and economic impacts.

Specifically, the information will be used by ESD to develop estimates of emissions of HAP, make determinations with respect to probable "major" sources, and develop MACT standards for both new and existing foundries. The data base compiled from the results will be used to make a determination of the MACT floor for existing sources based on the average emission limitation achieved by the bestperforming 12 percent of sources. The results will also aid in identifying the best controlled sources for a determination of MACT for new foundries. In addition, the data base will be invaluable to make defensible estimates of the impacts of the standards, including emissions and emission reductions, costs of control options and their cost effectiveness, and economic impacts. Because many foundries meet the definition of small entities, the survey is necessary for EPA to meet the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on June 3. 1997 (62 FR 30322); three comments were received. All three commenters supported the need for the survey to gather information to develop MACT standards and recommended an expeditious distribution to allow EPA to meet statutory deadlines.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 24 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended

by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Iron and steel foundries.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 742.

Frequency of Response: 1. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 5,681 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No 1809.01 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 23, 1997.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 97–25650 Filed 9–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5899-1]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Labeling

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C.

3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Labeling (OMB control number 2060–0342 expiring September 30, 1997). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 27, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1757.02.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Labeling (OMB Control No. 2060–0342; EPA ICR No. 1757.02.) expiring 09/30/97. This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The regulations in 40 CFR 82.100 through 82.124 require all products containing or made with a class I substance and all containers of class I and class II ozone-depleting substances to have an applicable warning label. The disclosure, in the form of labeling, will be used by consumers to make product choices based on environmental information and consumer preferences. These requirements are mandatory as authorized under section 611 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 2/26/97 (62 FR 8724); no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public burden for disclosure of this information is estimated to average 63 hours per respondent. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and

providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Manufacturers, distributors, retailers, importers, and recyclers/reclaimers of class I and class II ozone-depleting substances.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 48.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 3024 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1757.02 and OMB Control No. 2060–0342 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 23, 1997.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 97–25651 Filed 9–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed September 15, 1997 through September 19, 1997, pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970365, Draft EIS, FHW, NM, New Mexico Highway 126 (NM–126), Cuba-La Cueva Road (also Known as Forest Highway 12) Improvement, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Sandoval and Rio Arriba Counties, NM, Due: November 14, 1997, Contact: Richard J. Cushing (303) 969–5910.

EIS No. 970366, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Swan Lake-Lake Tyee Intertie Project, Electrical Transmission Line and Associated Facilities Construction and Operation, Northwestern Portion of Revillagigedo Island from Upper Carroll Inlet to Behm Canal and the Northeastern Portion of Cleveland Peninsula from Spacious Bay to Bradfield Canal, Special-Use-Permit Issuance, Tongass, AK, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Bill Angelus (907) 225–2148.

EIS No. 970367, Final EIS, BLM, CA, Soledad Mountain Open Pit Leap Leach Gold Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan-of-Operations Approval, Mojave, Kern County, CA, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Ahmed Mohsen (760) 384– 5421.

EIS No. 970368, Final EIS, BLM, NM, Little Rock Open-Pit Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan of Operations Approval, and Several Permits Issuance, Grant County, NM, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Juan Padilla (505) 525–4376.

EIS No. 970369, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Wayup Mine/Fourth of July Road Access, Right-of-Way Grant, Kootenai National Forest, Libby Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Due: November 10, 1997, Contact: Jon Jeresek (406) 293–7773.

EIS No. 970370, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Meadow Timber Sales, Implementation, Timber Harvesting, Road Construction and Prescribed Burning, Fortine Ranger District, Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, MT, Due: November 25, 1997, Contact: Joleen Dunham (406) 882–4451.

EIS No. 970371, Final EIS, USN, PR, VA, Relocatable over the Horizon Radar (ROTHR) System Construction and Operation, New and Updated Information on Fort Allen as Potential Site, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and Chesapeake, VA, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Ms. Linda Blount (757) 322–4892.

Dated: September 23, 1997.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 97–25638 Filed 9–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared August 25, 1997 through August 29, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FRC-E02006-MS Rating EC2, Destin Natural Gas Pipeline Project, Construction and Operation, Six Major Interstate Pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico, Southern Natural Gas, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Right-of-Way and Special-Use Permits.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns over the loss of forested wetlands, the need for numerous water body crossings, the need to address potential environmental justice concerns, and the need for documentation of annual nitrogen oxide emissions of the proposed new compressors.

ERP No. D-MMS-E02009-00 Rating EC2, Central Planning Area, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales 169, 172, 175, 178 and 182, Lease Offering, Offshore Marine Environment and Coastal Counties/Parishes of AL, MS, LA and TX.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding inadequate protection of benthic marine habitats and MMS allowing pipeline projects to be reviewed independent of production projects. EPA preferrers the Alternative B.

ERP No. DS-COE-K67020-CA Rating EO2, Syar Mining Operation and Reclamation Plan, Six Sites Selected along the Russian River, New and Updated Information, Construction, Mining-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Healdsburg, Sonoma County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the proposed Project because it appears to be the alternative which is most damaging to the aquatic environment, especially in terms of adverse impacts to riffle-pool complexes and anadromous fisheries, including Federally-listed