Guston, D.H. and K. Keniston. 1994. The fragile contract: university science and the federal government. Cambridge, MA and London, England. The MIT Press.

Hammitt, W.E. and D.N. Cole. 1987. Wildland recreation and ecology. New York. John Wiley and Sons.

Harmon, D. (ed.). 1994. Coordinating research and management to enhance protected areas. Cambridge, UK. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources.

Leopold, A.S. and D.L. Allen. 1977. A review and recommendations relative to the National Park Service science program. Memorandum to the Director of the National Park Service.

Washington, DC. National Park Service.

Lewis, M.S., D.W. Lime, and D. Anderson. 1996. Paddle canoeists' encounter norms in Minnesota's Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness. Leisure Sciences 18(2):143–160.

Lime, D.W. 1993. Analysis of emerging issues in national parks: a 1992 employee study in the midwest region. Univ. of Minnesota, Cooperative Park Studies Unit. National Park Service.

Lindblom, C.E. and D.K. Cohen. 1979. Usable knowledge: social science and social problem solving. New Haven, CT and London. Yale Univ. Press.

Machlis, G.E. 1992. Social science and protected area management: the principles of partnership. Paper to Fourth World Congress on National Parks and Protected Areas. Caracas, Venezuela. February.

Machlis, G.E. 1996. Usable knowledge: a plan for furthering social science and the national parks. Washington, DC. National Park Service.

Manning, R.E. 1986. Studies in outdoor recreation. Corvallis: Oregon State University Press.

Manning, R.E., D.W. Lime, M. Hof, and W. Freimund. 1995. The visitor experience and resource protection (VERP) process: the application of carrying capacity to Arches National Park. The George Wright Forum 12(3):41–56.

Manning, R.E., D.W. Lime, and M. Hof. 1996a. Social carrying capacity of natural areas: theory and application in the U.S. national parks. Natural Areas Journal 16(2):118–127.

Manning, R.E., D.W. Lime, W.A. Freimund, and D.G. Pitt. 1996b. Crowding norms at frontcountry sites: a visual approach to setting standards of quality. Leisure Sciences 18(2):39–59.

Manning, R.E., D. Johnson, and M. VandeKamp. 1996c. Norm congruence among tour boat passengers to Glacier

Bay National Park. Leisure Sciences 18(2):125–142.

Marion, J.L. 1993. A social science plan for the mid-Atlantic region. Virginia Tech. Inst. Cooperative Park Studies Unit. Blacksburg, VA. National Park Service.

National Academy of Sciences. 1992. Science and the national parks. Washington, DC. National Academy Press.

National Park Service. 1988. Management policies. Washington, DC. National Park Service.

National Park Service. 1992. National parks for the 21st century: the Vail agenda. Post Mills, VT. Chelsea Green Publishing Company.

National Park Service. 1993. Visitor experience and resource protection process. Denver: Denver Service Center, National Park Service.

National Park Service. 1995. Visitor experience and resource protection implementation plan for Arches National Park. Denver: Denver Service Center, National Park Service.

Osborne, D. and T. Gaebler. 1992. Reinventing government: how the entrepreneurial spirit is transforming the public sector. New York. Penguin Books USA Inc.

Shelby, B. and T. Heberlein. 1986. Carrying capacity in recreation settings. Corvallis: Oregon State University Press.

Vaske, J.J., A.R. Graefe, B. Shelby, and T. Heberlein. 1986. Backcountry encounter norms: theory, method, and empirical evidence. Journal of Leisure Research 18(3):137–153.

Williams, D., J.W. Roggenbuck, and S.P. Bange. 1991. The effect of norm encounter compatibility on crowding perceptions, experience, and behavior in river recreation settings. Journal of Leisure Research 23:154–172.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* A Proposed Process for OMB Approval of NPS-Sponsored Public Surveys.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: To be requested.

Expiration date: To be requested.

Type of request: Request for new

Description of need: The National Park Service needs information concerning park visitors and visitor services to provide to park managers information for improving the quality and utility to the public of park programs.

Automated data collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information, since the information gathering process involves asking visitors to evaluate services and facilities that they used during their

park visits. The intrusion on individual visitors is minimized by rigorously designing visitor surveys to maximize the ability of the surveys to use small samples of visitors to represent large populations of visitors and by coordinating a program of surveys to maximize the ability of new surveys to build on the findings of prior surveys.

Description of Respondents: A sample of visitors to parks or of people who have relationships to parks.

Estimated average number of respondents: The proposal does not identify the number of respondents because that number will differ from individual survey to individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each individual survey.

Estimated average number of responses: The proposal does not identify the average number of responses because that number will differ from individual survey to individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each individual survey. For most surveys, each respondent will be asked to respond only one time, so in those cases the number of responses will be the same as the number of respondents.

Estimated average burden hours per response: The proposal does not identify the average burden hours per response because that number will differ from individual survey to individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each individual survey.

Frequency of response: Most individual surveys will request only 1 response per respondent.

Estimated annual reporting burden: The proposal identifies the requested total number of burden hours annually for all of the surveys to be conducted under its auspices to be 8000 burden hours per year. The total annual burden per survey for most surveys conducted under the auspices of this proposal would be within the range of 100 to 300 hours.

## Diane M. Cooke,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, WASO Administrative Program Center, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 97–25850 Filed 9–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **National Park Service**

Notice of Boundary Revision, Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL

**AGENCY:** Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of Boundary Revision, Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL.

**SUMMARY:** Pub. L. 91-660 (84 Stat. 1967) dated January 8, 1971, authorized the establishment of the Gulf Islands National Seashore. Sections 7(c)(i) and 7(c)(ii) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, as amended by the Act of June 10, 1977 (Pub. L. 95-42, 91 Stat. 210), the Act of March 10, 1980 (Pub. L. 96-203, 94 Stat. 81) and the Act of November 12, 1996 (Pub. L. 104-333, 110 Stat. 4194) further authorized the Secretary to make minor revisions in the boundaries whenever the Secretary of the Interior determines that it is necessary for the preservation, protection, interpretation or management of an area.

Notice is given that the boundary of Gulf Islands National Seashore has been revised pursuant to the above Acts, to encompass lands as depicted on Segment Map 05 of Gulf Islands National Seashore prepared by the National Park Service. The revisions to the boundary are along the western boundary of the Perdido Key, Florida Unit of the Seashore.

This map is on file and available for inspection in the Land Resources Division, Southeast Regional Office, 100 Alabama Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, and in the Offices of the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20013–7127.

Dated: September 4, 1997.

# Jerry Belson,

Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 97–25851 Filed 9–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463) that the Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission will meet on Friday, October 17, 1997. The meeting will convene at 7:00 p.m. in the Ste-Agathe Parish Hall on Main Street, Saint Agatha, Aroostook County, Maine.

The Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission was appointed by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Act (Pub. L. 101– 543). The purpose of the Commission is to advise the National Park Service with respect to:

 The development and implementation of an interpretive program of Acadian culture in the state of Maine.

• The selection of sites for interpretation and preservation by means of cooperative agreements.

The Agenda for this meeting is as follows:

- 1. Review and approval of the summary report of the meeting held August 15, 1997
- A talk by Charlotte Cormier of Moncton, New Brunswick, on "Acadian Folk Songs"
- 3. Report of the National Park Service Maine Acadian project staff
- 4. Opportunity for public comment
- Proposed agenda, place, and date of the next Commission meeting

The meeting is open to the public. Further information concerning Commission meetings may be obtained from the Superintendent, Acadia National Park. Interested persons may make oral/written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made at least seven days prior to the meeting to: Superintendent, Acadia National Park, P.O. Box 177, Bar Harbor, ME 04609–0177; telephone (207) 288–5472.

#### Paul F. Haertel.

Superintendent, Acadia National Park.
[FR Doc. 97–25852 Filed 9–29–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **National Park Service**

Revision of National Environmental Policy Act Procedures: Extension of Time for Filing Public Comments

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Revision of National Environmental Policy Act Procedures, Extension of Time for Filing Public Comments.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) requested public comments on August 26, 1997 about its revisions to its procedures under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 62 FR 45270. In order to provide for additional time for the public to review and provide comments to the NPS the review period has been extended.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by November 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Documents can be requested from and comments should be sent to National Park Service Environmental Quality Division, Room 2749, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240. Comments can also be sent electronically to the following Internet address: jacob\_hoogland@nps.gov.

Electronic copies of the draft document can be downloaded from the Internet at the NPS's web page at http://www.nps.gov/planning/nepa.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jacob J. Hoogland, Chief, Environmental Quality Division, National Park Service Room 2749, 1849 C Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20240. Telephone (202) 208–5214. Internet address: jacob\_hoogland@nps.gov.

## Michael Soukup,

Associate Director, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science. [FR Doc. 97–25854 Filed 9–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Park Service**

# Notice of Realty Action; California

Notice of Realty Action for Minor Boundary Revision and Proposed Exchange of Federally-owned land for Privately-owned land in Marin County, California.

In order to resolve the minor and unintentional encroachment of a private residence on Federal land, it is necessary for the National Park Service to effect a minor boundary revision and land exchange at Point Reyes National Seashore. The park boundary will be revised to include a 0.12 acre parcel of land adjacent to the boundary and delete a 0.10 acre parcel of land within the boundary. Upon approval of the boundary revision, the National Park Service will acquire the 0.12 acre parcel and convey the 0.10 acre encroachment parcel to the private landowner. The subject properties are located on Blackberry Lane, Inverness, Marin County, California.

The authority for the minor boundary revision is Section 318 (a) of Public Law 95–625, approved November 10, 1978; 16 U.S.C. 459c–1(a). The authority for the land exchange is Section 3 (a) of Public Law 87–657, approved September 13, 1962, 16 USC 459c–2.

The National Park Service has determined that there will be no adverse impacts as a consequence of the exchange. The value of the lands to be exchanged are of equal value. Each site was surveyed for the presence of hazardous substances and none were found. The mineral rights of both properties will be conveyed.

The land to be conveyed by the United States of America and deleted from the boundary is identified as Tract No. P13–130. Title to the land will be conveyed subject to title encumbrances of record as well as existing easements