

permits under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System, or Records of Decision for cleanup of Superfund sites).

In selecting the five standards for review and reevaluation EPA will consider a variety of factors including any new information since the standards were originally promulgated, as follows:

- New scientific information or new data regarding adverse health effects on children;
- New understanding of routes of exposure to children;
- Whether the regulated substance/pollutant is persistent and bioaccumulative;
- New methodologies of evaluating human health risks;
- New epidemiology studies;
- New toxicity studies; and
- New environmental monitoring studies.

As part of this effort, EPA will convene a balanced, broad-based external Advisory Committee, chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, to give advice to the Administrator on various issues of children's environmental health protection. Notice of the establishment of this Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee (CHPAC) was published on September 9, 1997 (62 FR 47494). CHPAC will consider recommendations received by EPA as a result of this notice and other information. Comments and other information received as a result on this notice will be placed in a docket that will be established for CHPAC. EPA will ask the Committee to recommend five standards that EPA should reevaluate with respect to children's health protection. CHPAC meetings will be announced in the **Federal Register** and open to the public. The Administrator will consider the Committee's recommendations and the recommendations and comments received in response to this Notice. EPA intends to announce the five selected standards in a **Federal Register** notice in early Summer of 1998.

This EPA effort will help fulfill President Clinton's Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks, signed on April 21, 1997. This Order, in part, directs each Federal agency to set as a high priority the identification and assessment of environmental health risks and safety risks that may disproportionately affect children; and ensure that its policies, programs, activities, and standards address disproportionate risks to

children that result from environmental health risks or safety risks.

Dated: September 26, 1997.

E. Ramona Trovato,

Director, Office of Children's Health Protection.

[FR Doc. 97-26320 Filed 10-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5902-8]

Consent Decree: Phoenix Federal Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed consent decree; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed consent decree in litigation instituted against the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") regarding implementation of the contingency measure provisions of the Carbon Monoxide (CO) Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) for Phoenix, Arizona.

EPA originally promulgated CO FIP contingency measures for Phoenix in 1991 pursuant to a court order in *Delaney v. EPA*, 898 F.2d 687 (9th Cir. 1990). 56 FR 5458 (Feb. 11, 1991). In 1996 EPA approved CO contingency measures submitted by the State of Arizona, and withdrew the previously promulgated FIP contingency measures for Phoenix. 61 FR 51599 (Oct. 3, 1996). This action was challenged by the Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest (ACLPI), and was recently overturned by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. *DiSimone v. Browner*, 1997 U.S. App. LEXIS 19796 (July 31, 1997).

Subsequently, ACLPI filed an action in District Court to compel implementation of the FIP contingency provisions. *DiSimone v. Browner*, No. CIV 97-1987 PHXRGs, D. Ariz. In order to resolve this matter without protracted litigation, ACLPI and EPA have reached agreement on a proposed consent decree which has been signed by the parties and lodged with the court on Sept. 25, 1997. The consent decree provides that, unless EPA previously approves a state submitted attainment demonstration for CO for Phoenix, EPA will sign an initial notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to the FIP contingency provisions by no later than Nov. 26, 1998, and will complete the remainder of the requirements of the FIP contingency

provisions according to the timeframes specified in those procedures.

For a period of thirty [30] days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed consent decree if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act.

Copies of the proposed consent decree are available from Sara Schneeberg, Air and Radiation Division (2344), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 260-5145. Written comments should be sent to Sara Schneeberg at the above address and must be submitted on or before November 3, 1997.

Dated: September 26, 1997.

Scott C. Fulton,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97-26318 Filed 10-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 22, 1997 Through September 26, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970372, DRAFT EIS, FRC, MT, Missouri-Madison Hydroelectric (FERC No. 2188) Project, Issuing a New licence (Relicence) for Nine Dams and Associated Facilities, MT, Due: December 2, 1997, Contact: John McEachern (202) 219-3056.

EIS No. 970373, FINAL EIS, AFS, UT, Western Uinta Basin Oil and Gas Leasing, Implementation, Federal Oil and Gas Estate on Land Administred by the Uinta and Ashley National Forests in the western portion of the Uinta Basin, Wasatch and Duchesne Counties, UT, Due: November 3, 1997, Contact: Laura Jo West (801) 781-5167.

EIS No. 970374, DRAFT EIS, COE, CA, San Francisco Bay to Stockton Phase III (John F. Baldwin) Navigation Channel Project, Construction and Operation, For Deliver of Petroleum to Refineries, Storage Terminals and

Other Facilities, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, U.S. Coast Guard Permit, Contra Costa County, CA, Due: November 17, 1997, Contact: Craig Vassel (415) 977-8546.

EIS No. 970375, FINAL EIS, IBR, AZ, Programmatic EIS—Pima-Maricopa Irrigation Project, Construction and Operation, Maricopa and Pinal Counties, AZ, Due: November 3, 1997, Contact: Bruce D. Ellis (602) 395-5685.

EIS No. 970376, FINAL EIS, NAS, CA, WA, UT, X-33 Advanced Technology Demonstrator Vehicle Program, Final Design, Construction and Testing, Implementation, Approvals and Permits Issuance, CA, UT and WA, Due: November 3, 1997, Contact: Kenneth M. Kumor (202) 358-1112.

EIS No. 970377, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan, Implementation, Oil and Gas Leasing Analysis, Upper Missouri River Basin, several counties, MT, Due: November 3, 1997, Contact: Robin Strathy (406) 791-7726.

Dated: September 30, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-26329 Filed 10-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared September 1, 1997 Through September 5, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

DRAFT EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J61098-MT Rating EC2, Lost Trail Ski Area Expansion Project, Implementation, New Master Development Plan, Bitterroot National Forest, Sula Ranger District, Ravalli County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential increased wastewater pollutant loadings

to area ground water, and lack of analysis and disclosure of potential indirect effects of induced development. Additional information is needed to fully assess and mitigate all potential environmental impacts of the management actions.

ERP No. D-MMS-L02026-AK Rating LO, Beaufort Sea Planning Area Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sale 170 (1997) Lease Offering, Offshore Marine, Beaufort Sea Coastal Plain, North Slope Borough of Alaska.

Summary: EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-J60018-UT Price Coalbed Methane Gas Resources Project, Construction, Federal and Non-Federal Lands, Permit-to-Drill Application, Right-of-Way Grants and COE Section 404 Permits, Carbon and Emery Counties, UT.

Summary: The Final EIS addresses most of EPA's air quality concerns on the proposed project and EPA still recommend a cumulative effects analysis in the Price area.

ERP No. F-BLM-J60019-WY Cave Gulch-Bullfrog-Waltman Natural Gas Development Project, Implementation, Platte River Resource Area, Natrona County, WY.

Summary: While the Final EIS addresses most concerns expressed in our comment letter on the draft EIS, EPA still maintains environmental concerns about the protectiveness of the proposed plans for ground water and surface water.

ERP No. F-DOE-L36109-00 Watershed Management Program Standards and Guidelines, Implementation, ID, NV, MT, OR, WA and WY.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-UAF-G11031-TX Programmatic EIS—Kelly Air Force Base (AFB), Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, San Antonio County, TX.

Summary: EPA has reviewed the lead agency's responses to EPA comments offered on the draft statement. EPA finds the FEIS has reasonably addressed our concerns and therefore we have no further comments.

ERP No. F-UAF-K11080-CA Programmatic EIS—McClellan Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse Including Rezoning of the Main Base, Implementation, Federal Permits, Licenses or Entitlements, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA was generally satisfied with the additional information provided, but continues to express concerns about groundwater overdraft.

ERP No. F-UMC-K11067-00 Yuma Training Range Complex Management, Operation and Development, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Goldwater Range, Yuma and La Paz Cos., AZ and Chocolate Mountain Range, Imperial and Riverside Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA commented that the Final EIS addresses the concerns that were expressed in the Draft EIS.

ERP No. F-UMC-K24018-CA Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Implementation, Lower Santa Margarita Basin, Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA commented that while additional alternatives were not developed or advanced in the Final EIS, the additional information regarding Clean Water Act Section 404 requirements that EPA requested was provided.

ERP No. F-USA-K11073-AZ Western Army National Guard Aviation Training Site Expansion Project, Designation of an Expanded Tactical Flight Training Area (TFTA), Development or Use of a Helicopter Gunnery Range and Construction and Operation of various Facilities on the Silver Bell Army Heliport (SBAH), Maricopa, Pima and Pinal Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA commented regarding analysis of water, noise, biological resources and NEPA issues that the Army has addressed our concerns.

ERP No. FR-USA-G11029-AR Disposal of Chemical Agents and Munitions Stored at Pine Bluff Arsenal, Site-Specific Impacts Associated with On-Site Disposal, Construction and Operation and Approval of Permits, Jefferson County, AR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the Revised Final EIS.

Other: ERP No. LD-AFS-J65268-CO Rating EO2, North Fork of the South Platte and the South Platte Rivers, Wild and Scenic River Study, To Determine their Suitability for Inclusion into the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Pike and San Isabel National Forests, Comanche and Cimarron National Grasslands, Douglas, Jefferson, Park and Teller Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the first agency preferred alternative (local community protection) because the DLEIS did not include how this would be accomplished or what the impacts would be. EPA expressed environmental concerns with the second agency preferred alternative (congressional