Community Transportation Field Contacts	
Paul Dickman, Albuquerque Operations Office	505-845-4313, FAX 845-5508.
Gary Stegner, Fernald Environmental Management Site	513-648-3153, FAX 648-3073.
Ken Osborne, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	208-526-0805, FAX 526-8789.
Dave Porco, Miamisburg Area Office	513-865-3649, FAX 865-4489.
Darwin Morgan, Nevada Operations Office	702-295-3521, FAX 295-0154.
Bob Hamilton, Oak Ridge Operations Office	423-576-7723, FAX 576-6363.
Gene Pressoir, Pinellas Area Office	813-541-8062, FAX 541-8370.
Mike Dabbert, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant	614-897-5525, FAX 897-2982.
Mark Coronado, Richland Operations Office	509-376-3502, FAX 376-8142.
Mike Bolles, Rocky Flats Office	303-966-2473, FAX 966-6633.
Sam Glenn, Savannah River Operations Office	803-725-2425, FAX 725-1910.

Appendix B.—Listing of Defense Nuclear Facilities

The list below reflects facilities receiving funding for Atomic Energy Defense activities of the Department of Energy, with the exception of activities under Naval Reactor Propulsion. It is recognized that these facilities have varying degrees of defense activities, ranging from a total defense dedication to a very small portion of their overall activity. This may cause certain difficulties in implementing the intent of the section 3161 legislation. Regardless, this listing will be used by the Office for possible application of funding received for defense worker assistance and community transition purposes.

Kansas City Plant Pinellas Plant Mound Facility Fernald Environmental Management Project Site Pantex Plant Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, including the Oxnard Facility Savannah River Site Los Alamos National Laboratory Sandia National Laboratory Argonne National Laboratory Brookhaven National Laboratory Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Oak Ridge National Laboratory Nevada Test Site Y-12 Plant

K-25 Plant Hanford Site Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Waste Isolation Pilot Project Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Appendix C.—Quarterly Progress Report: (Date)

Project Title: (a name selected by the site for the specific activity or activities—e.g.; incubator loan fund; entrepreneurial training. The site and the CRO will determine the best method for project definition, consistent with the way funds were requested and approved.

DOE Site Contact: (name of DOE Field or Area Office point of contact)

CRO Contact: (name of CRO point of contact [if different from the project manager])

Project Manager: (name, address, and phone number of the primary applicant of the project under review)

Project start date: (date funding recipient is authorized to proceed by the field office) Expected completion date: (Date funding recipient is expected to complete the

project)

Description of project: (a short narrative description of the project.)

description of the project.)
Funding History: (a record of the project funding. Committed means funds released to a field organization by the Office of Worker and Community Transition [the Office]; obligated means monies released to

the CRO or other recipient by the field organization; and *costed* means expended by the CRO or other recipient.)

Status of the office funds	Cumulative amount
Committed by the Office. Obligated by the field organization. Costed by the recipient. Unobligated by the field organization.	

For the Office funding, identify the cumulative amount committed by the Office; the cumulative amount obligated by the field office; the amount unobligated; and the amount costed by the recipient. For leveraged funds, identify each source and the cumulative amount from that source.

Funding source	Cash	In-kind

Accomplishments: (project outcomes todate: report on performance measures identified and jointly agreed to by DOE field and the CRO)

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Category	Scheduled date	Projected outcome	Actual date	Progress to-date (or to the end of the project)
(e.g., create new businesses)(e.g., create new jobs)		Start-up 2 businesses		3 new businesses. 30 jobs.

Date (Joint signature) DOE Field Office Date (Joint signature) CRO

Appendix D.—Requirement for Financial Assistance—10 CFR Part 600

Section 600.142 of 10 CFR Part 600 contains a requirement for recipients of financial assistance to maintain written standards of conduct governing the performance of employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts. Since organizations involved in economic development activities may engage in activities other than contracting, in which potential conflicts of interest may arise (e.g.,

providing loans to local businesses), the following provision should be included in all financial assistance awards to such entities:

The requirements of 10 CFR 600.142 should be applied to the activities of employees, agents and consultants of financial assistance recipients whenever these activities involve decisions about the award of DOE funds, regardless of the type of agreement or arrangement to be supported by DOE funds (e.g., lease, loan, contract, etc.).

[FR Doc. 97–2933 Filed 2–6–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11499-000 Tennessee]

Armstrong Energy Resources; Notice of Public Scoping Meetings

February 3, 1997.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) are reviewing a proposal from Armstrong Energy Resources to construct and operate the 1,500-megawatt Laurel Branch Pumped Storage Project No. 11499. The Laurel Branch Project would be located in Bledsoe County, Tennessee, seven miles northeast of Dunlap, Tennessee.

Since the July 1996 Scoping Document I was issued for Armstrong Energy Resources' (AER) proposed Laurel Branch Project No. 11499 and Reynolds Creek Project No. 11500, AER has decided not to pursue the Reynolds Creek Project. AER, by letter filed January 9, 1997 with the FERC, has withdrawn its proposal, and surrendered its preliminary permit for the Reynolds Creek Pumped Storage Project No. 11500. AER, in deciding to pursue only the Laurel Branch Project, has also defined the preferred transmission line corridor and alternative corridors for the project and reduced the initial project boundary.

FERC and TVA staff will not prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) only for the Laurel Branch Project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). FERC will be a cooperating agency, with the TVA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in the preparation of the EIS.

Under the joint cooperative EIS process, scoping and draft EIS preparation will occur prior to the filing of a final license application with FERC. Participation by interested agencies and members of the public in the early initiation of the NEPA process is essential because this process will not be repeated upon the filing of a final license application.

The EIS will objectively consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project and reasonable alternatives. It will also address economic, financial and engineering analysis. A draft EIS will be circulated to all interested parties for review. Comments will also be requested. FERC and TVA will also hold a joint public meeting to elicit comments on the draft EIS. All comments filed on the draft EIS will be analyzed by staff and will be considered in a final EIS. The staffs' conclusions and recommendations will be presented to the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Corps of Engineers, and the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission for** consideration in reaching final permit and licensing decisions, respectively.

Scoping Process

The first scoping meeting was held at the Bledsoe High School in Pikeville, Tennessee, on August 6, 1996. FERC and TVA will jointly conduct a second public scoping meeting for Armstrong Energy Resources' revised proposal on March 4, 1997. The second public scoping meeting will be held at

Sequatchie County High School on the west side of Highway #28 in Dunlap, Tennessee. The March 4 meeting will focus on the proposed changes to Laurel Branch Project and the proposed transmission corridor and alternative corridors. Prior to the formal public meeting, an Information Open House will be held from 5:00 pm to 6:30 pm. The formal public meeting will be held from 6:30 pm to 9:30 pm, CDT, with registration beginning at 5:00 pm. It will not be necessary for participants to stay for the whole meeting in order to have their comments recorded. During both the Information Open House or the Public Meeting, oral comments can be recorded in a private setting. Anyone needing sign language interpretation or other special arrangements, please contact Ruth Horton at (423) 632-8521 no later than February 29, 1997.

The Information Open House is an informal opportunity for questions and information about the overall project scope and environmental review process. the public meeting is a formal meeting where a panel of representatives from the cooperating agencies will receive public comments concerning the proposed project and transmission corridors. The meeting will be recorded by a stenographer and will become a part of the formal record of the FERC and TVA proceeding Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to sign in before the meeting starts and to clearly identify themselves for the record.

To help focus discussions at the meetings, we have prepared a Revised Scoping Document I to reflect changes in AER's proposal and to provide information on (1) the proposed transmission corridor and alternative corridors; (2) the proposed Laurel Branch Project; (3) the environmental review process to be followed; and (4) preliminary issues to be addressed. The Revised Scoping Document I will be mailed to agencies and interested individuals. Revised Scoping Document I will also be available at the scoping meeting.

At the scoping meeting, FERC and TVA staff will: (1) identify preliminary environmental issues related to the proposed project and the proposed transmission facilities; (2) identify preliminary resource issues that are not important and do not require detailed analysis; (3) identify reasonable alternatives to be addressed in the EIS; (4) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resource issues; and (5) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EIS, including

points of view in opposition to, or in support of, the staffs' preliminary views.

We are interested in your thoughts on the issues to be addressed, especially the proposed transmission line corridor and alternative corridors. Your comments previously expressed on Scoping Document I relative to the Laurel Branch Project will be considered and need not be repeated for the Revised Scoping Document I.

Persons choosing not to speak at the meetings, but who have views on the issues or information relevant to the issues, may submit written statement for inclusion in the public record at the meetings. In addition, written scoping comments may be filed with the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20425, and with Linda Oxendine, Senior Specialist, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, WT8C-K, Knoxville, TN 37902. All written correspondence should be filed no later than March 31, 1997, in order to be included in the final scoping document, and clearly show the following captions on the first page: Laurel Branch Pumped Storage Project, FERC Project No. 11499-000.

For Further Information on This Process, please contact Eddie R. Crouse, FERC, (202) 219–2794, or Linda Oxendine, TVA, (423) 632–3440. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–3037 Filed 2–6–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP97-55-002]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 3, 1997.

Take notice that on January 29, 1997, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes) tendered for filing, as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, the following tariff sheets proposed to become effective June 1, 1997:

Second Revised Volume No. 1

Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 4 Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 4A Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 5 Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet No. 7 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 8 Sub 1st Rev First Revised Sheet No. 9 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 11 Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 13 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 17 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 20 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 23 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 25 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 25 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 26 Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 41 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 41