Energy Policy Act of 1992, ARCO Products Company, a Division of Atlantic Richfield Company, (ARCO), Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. (Texaco), and Mobil Oil Corporation (Mobil) (jointly referred to as Complainants) have filed a Complaint against SFPP, L.P. (SFPP).

Complainants challenge all of the jurisdictional interstate rates and charges of SFPP, whether "gathering," "trunkline," or some other classification, including those contained in SFPP FERC Tariff Nos. 17, 25, 26, and 27 (and any predecessors or successors to these tariffs), in addition to presently untariffed charges exacted by SFPP that are properly subject to the Commission's jurisdiction under the ICA.

Complainants assert that SFPP violated and continues to violate Sections 1(5), 2, 3(1), 4, 6, and 8 of the ICA by:

- (a) Establishing and charging unjust and unreasonable rates for its jurisdictional services;
- (b) Charging unduly discriminatory or preferential rates and charges for its jurisdictional services; and
- (c) Assessing untariffed rates and charges for jurisdictional interstate services. 49 U.S.C. app. §§ 1(5), 2, 3(1), 4, 6, and 8 (1994).

Complainants request that SFPP be ordered to reduce its rates and pay refunds, reparations, damages, and attorneys' fees in accordance with the ICA, including Sections 8, 9, 15, and 16 of the ICA, and such other relief as may be appropriate in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 21, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before November 21, 1997.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–28843 Filed 10–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-886-000]

Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P.; Notice of Issuance of Order

October 28, 1997.

Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. (Brooklyn) filed an application for authorization to sell power at market-based rates, and for certain waivers and authorizations. In particular, Brooklyn requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by Brooklyn. On October 15, 1997, the Commission issued an Order Conditionally Accepting for Filing Proposed Market-Based Rates (Order), in the above-docketed proceeding.

The Commission's October 15, 1997 Order granted the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (D), (E), and (G):

(D) Within 30 days of the date of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by Brooklyn should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(E) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (D) above, Brooklyn is hereby authorized, pursuant to section 204 of the FPA, to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as guarantor, indorser, surety or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Brooklyn, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

(G) The Commission reserves the right to modify this other to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of Brooklyn's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities * * *.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is November 14, 1997.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–28897 Filed 10–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-38-000]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

October 27, 1997.

Take notice that on October 20, 1997, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Šprings, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP98-38-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, and 157.212, of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to operate in interstate commerce the Big Thompson Delivery Point, previously constructed and operated to effectuate transportation services performed pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA), under CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ČIG seeks authority to operate the Big Thompson Delivery Point located in Weld County, Colorado. CIG states that it believes that it would experience no significant impact on its peak day or annual requirements resulting from the operation of the subject facilities in interstate commerce, and that operation other than strictly for Section 311 purposes can be performed without detriment or disadvantage to CIG's other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn

within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–28838 Filed 10–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-40-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Application

October 27, 1997.

Take notice that on October 20, 1997, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP98-40-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing East Tennessee to construct and operate facilities and uprate the operating pressure of portions of its system in order to provide additional capacity for the Roanoke Gas Company (Roanoke), all as more fully described in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, East Tennessee proposes to construct and operate approximately 9.95 miles of 12-inch diameter loop pipeline on its 3300-line in Washington and Wythe Counties, Virginia and upsize the existing Solar Saturn turbine comressor at Compressor Station 3313 on the 3300 line in Wythe County, Virginia from a T–1200 model to a T–1600 model. East Tennessee also proposes to test various segments of its 3100 and 3300 lines in order to increase the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP).

East Tennessee says that the proposed facilities and uprating will create 10,300 dth per day of new firm capacity. East Tennessee has provided a precedent agreement with Roanoke Gas for 5,150 dth per day, for a term of 20 years. East Tennessee says that it will use the remaining 5,150 dth per day for system reliability and flexibility until it has sold the capacity on a firm basis.

East Tennessee proposes to charge its existing Part 284 rates under Rates Schedule FT–A. Further, East Tennessee requests that the Commission make a determination that the costs of the facilities and uprating will qualify for

rolled-in rate treatment when East Tennessee files its next rate case.

East Tennessee estimates that the proposed facilities and uprating will cost \$8,642,366 and says that the project will be financed with funds on hand and funds generated internally.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 17, 1997, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission by** Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that approval for the proposed application is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for East Tennessee to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–28839 Filed 10–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-42-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

October 27, 1997.

Take notice that on October 21, 1997, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, filed in Docket No. CP98-42-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point in Hillsborough County, Florida for TECO Peoples Gas (TECO), under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposed to construct, operate, and own an additional delivery point for TECO at or near mile post 17.7 on its existing St. Petersburg/Sarasota Connector in Hillsborough County, Florida. FGT states that the subject delivery point will include a tap, minor connecting pipe, electronic flow measurement equipment, and any other related appurtenant facilities necessary for FGT to transport for and deliver to TECO up to 24,000 MMBtu per day and 8,760,000 MMBtu per year of natural gas. FGT states that TECO will reimburse FGT for the \$67,000 estimated construction costs. FGT further states that TECO will construct, own, and operate the meter and regulation station.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for